

18-1-62-HJ

11

MINISTRY OF RECORDS

(1) Number of pages 4

(2) Date of receipt 18-1-62

(3) Name of the Officer [Signature]

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE

Government of Madras

DEPARTMENT

COPIES	1
ORIGINAL	1
TOTAL	2

Not to be used for other purposes

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
19th December 1876.*

No. 436. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 21st November 1876, No. 2,910:—

Read the following letter from R. W. BARLOW, Esq., Collector of the Chingleput District, to H. E. STOKES, Esq., Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Sydapet, 13th November 1876, No. 636.

ADVERTING to Board's Miscellaneous Proceedings, No. 6,823, dated 30th September 1874, and No. 9,363, forwarding G.O., Revenue Department, No. 1,472, the 12th ultimo, I have the honor to solicit the early settlement of the question as to whether the Kazy Inam village of Coromandal recently resumed is to remain under the management of the Revenue authorities or not.

2. The Deputy Director of Revenue Settlement in his No. 493-258, dated 2nd ultimo, asks for instructions as to whether putta should be made out in the name of the Kazy, who claims to be the sole Mirassidar or in those of the occupying ryots, the two parties being at daggers drawn. For reasons unnecessary to detail here, I am of opinion that the latter should have puttas; but whichever way it is decided, there will probably be a civil action, and, if the village is to be handed back to the Kazy, I do not see why Government should undertake the responsibility of settlement and issue of puttas.

The Board observe that the recent Government Order does not contemplate any change in the mode of management. The Inam has been resumed and the village is on a par with others in which the land revenue has not been assigned. The Board are of opinion that the puttas should be in the names of the occupying ryots.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) H. E. STOKES,
Acting Secretary.

No. 437. ORDER THEREON, 15th December 1876, No. 2,092.

Miscellaneous.

The Inam village was placed under the Collector's management in 1873, and in the recent order of 12th October 1876,

No. 1,472, the entire net proceeds were ordered to be made over to the Kazi. The latter's right does not, therefore, extend beyond the enjoyment of the net proceeds, and it was not intended to disturb the present arrangement under which the Collector retains the management of the village.

2. There is no objection to the puttas being in the names of the occupying ryots.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) D. F. CARMICHAEL,
Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue
" the Accountant-General

Edw. F. R. Bantleman

No. 2,910.

PROCEEDINGS TO THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 21st November 1876.

Read the following letter from R. W. BARLOW, Esq., Collector of the Chingleput District, to H. E. STOKES, Esq., Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Sydapet, 13th November 1876, No. 636 :—

ADVERTING to Board's Miscellaneous Proceedings, No. 6,823, dated 30th September 1874, and No. 9,863, forwarding G.O., Revenue Department, No. 1,472, of the 12th ultimo, I have the honor to solicit the early settlement of the question as to whether the Kazy Inam village of Coromandal recently resumed is to remain under the management of the Revenue authorities or not.

2. The Deputy Director of Revenue Settlement in his No. 493-258, dated 2nd ultimo, asks for instructions as to whether putta should be made out in the name of the Kazy, who claims to be the sole Mirassidar or in those of the occupying ryots, the two parties being at daggers drawn. For reasons unnecessary to detail here, I am of opinion that the latter should have puttas; but whichever way it is decided, there will probably be a civil action, and if the village is to be handed back to the Kazy, I do not see why Government should undertake the responsibility of settlement and issue of puttas.

The Board observe that the recent Government Order does not contemplate any change in the mode of management. The Inam has been resumed and the village is on a par with others in which the land revenue has not been assigned. The Board are of opinion that the puttas should be in the names of the occupying ryots.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

H. E. Stokes
Acting Secretary.

To the Collector of the Chingleput District.

Copy to the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department.

28th 1876. Sept

BOARD OF REVENUE,
MADRAS.

10579

PROCEEDINGS, 21ST NOVEMBER 1876, No. 2,910.

29780/76

Dist.

Enrolled 78900

NOVEMBER.

No. 2,910.

Copy from Village of Chingleput District. — Showing Board's view as to whether — is to remain under management of Revenue authorities or not, and observing that public should be in the name of occupying title.

Ch. 8092

The same village was placed under the Collector's management.

— under in 1873, and as the

— cost more of 12 Rs. 10 p. 10 m.

the village with payments which

amount to be made over

to the village. The Collector

will do so and therefore

should require the village to

be placed under the management of

the Revenue authorities or not.

30th Nov 76

Copy to the Collector

10579

10579