

(1) Number of pages 10
(2) Date of repair 26.8.1967
(3) Initials of the Referencer _____

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

Revenue DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.	2047	Pres.
B.P. No.		Dis.
Dated	15.12.1967	1967

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
15th December 1876.*

No. 347. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 16th October 1876, No. 2587:—

Read the following letter from J. HOFF, Esq., Acting Collector of the Godavery District, to C. A. CALTON, Esq., Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Cocanada, 12th September 1876, No. 287.

In G.O.* dated the 9th October 1875, No. 4,928, Government sanctioned the

leasing out, for purposes of cultivation, the land formerly occupied at Samaleotta by the cantonment, except a small portion to be reserved for camping purposes.

The land that could be so given up was demarcated, surveyed, and assessed under my orders. There were three applicants, and the Assistant Collector, in charge of the division, of which Samaleotta is a part, assigned the land to the first applicant, Bachu Ramesam, on full assessment, and directed the land to be made over after the expiration of fifty days under the Barchast Rules. The Zemindar of Partapur, from whom the land was originally obtained for the cantonment, has since applied for the land on the ground that it was taken up for a particular purpose for which it is no longer required, and that it is a part of his old fort. He offers to pay the peishush which was formerly paid on the land, and, in a subsequent communication, expressed his willingness to pay even the full assessment on the land if it cannot be given him on peishush. He proposes that, if the land is ever again required for a regiment, he would readily give it up. Pending the disposal of the question, I suspended Mr. Tate's order confirming the land to the first applicant.

2. From a reference to the correspondence that passed when the land was acquired, it would appear that the land was obtained from the then Minor Zemindar and his guardian in consideration of an annual remission of Rspees 109-3-9. The annual remission was made till 1860, when Mr. Purvis, the then Collector, proposed, on behalf of the present Zemindar, then a minor under the Court of Wards, a permanent deduction from the peishush payable by the Zemindar, and this was sanctioned in G.O., dated 10th January 1861, No. 43.

3. Under these circumstances, I am induced to think that the Zemindar is entitled to recover his land on payment of the peishush which it used to pay, subject, of course, to the condition that it shall be given up if it is ever required for any purpose by Government, and request that the Board will obtain the necessary sanction of Government.

4. The extent of land to be given up, and the amount of peishush payable thereon, will be reported when the Government sanction is proposed.

* To Collector from Board of Revenue, dated 11th September 1873.
From Collector to Board of Revenue, dated 31st May 1871.
To Collector from Board of Revenue, dated 26th June 1871.
From Collector to Board of Revenue, dated 27th July 1871.
To Collector from Board of Revenue, dated 25th July 1871.
From Collector to Board of Revenue, dated 24th November 1871.
To Collector from Board of Revenue, dated 26th January 1872.
From Collector to Board of Revenue, dated 6th June 1872.
To Collector from Board of Revenue, dated 6th July 1872.
From Collector to Board of Revenue, dated 26th September 1872.
From Board of Revenue to Government, dated 25th October 1872.
Government reply, No. 1,602.

2 *Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department.*
15th December 1876.

Submitted for the orders of Government. The Board recommend that the Collector's proposal be sanctioned.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) H. L. STOKES,
Acting Secretary.

No. 243. ORDER DATED, 15th December 1876, No. 2,047.

Land Revenue No. 3.

The Government observe that the Zamindar would have the best claim to the land if they had resolved to finally surrender it for the purpose to which it was formerly applied. At present and until any further orders the Collector will continue to grant out on yearly grazing leases.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) D. F. CARMICHAEL,
Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.

For the Board of Revenue.

30-10-1831

No. 2,587.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 16th October 1876.
Read the following letter from J. HOPE, Esq., Acting Collector of the Godavery District, to C. A. GALTON, Esq., Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Coimbatore, 12th September 1876, No. 287:—
In G.O.,* dated the 9th October 1875, No. 4,928, Government sanctioned the

* Communicated with Board's Proceedings, dated 2nd November 1875, No. 3,246.
leasing out, for purposes of cultivation, the land formerly occupied at Samalotta by the cantonment, except a small portion to be reserved for camping purposes. The land that would be so given up was demarcated, surveyed, and assessed under my orders. There were three applicants, and the Assistant Collector, in charge of the division, of which Samalotta is a part, confirmed the land to the first applicant, Bachu Hanasani, on full assessment, and donated the land to him made over after the expiration of fifty days under the Dechrist Rules. The Zemindar of Pittapur, from whom the land was originally obtained for the cantonment, has since applied for the land on the ground that it was taken up for a particular purpose for which it is no longer required, and that it is a part of his old fort. He offers to pay the peishch which was formerly paid on the land, and in a subsequent communication, expressed his willingness to pay even the full assessment on the land if it cannot be given him on peishch. He promises that, if the land is ever again required for a regiment, he would readily give it up. Pending the disposal of the question, I suspended Mr. Tate's order confirming the land to the first applicant.

- 1. To Collector from Board of Revenue, dated 11th September 1824.
- 2. From Collector to Board of Revenue, dated 31st May 1831.
- 3. To Collector from Board of Revenue, dated 9th June 1831.
- 4. From Collector to Board of Revenue, dated 7th July 1831.
- 5. To Collector from Board of Revenue, dated 25th July 1831.
- 6. From Collector to Board of Revenue, dated 24th November 1831.
- 7. To Collector from Board of Revenue, dated 20th January 1832.
- 8. From Collector to Board of Revenue, dated 9th June 1832.
- 9. To Collector from Board of Revenue, dated 6th July 1832.
- 10. From Collector to Board of Revenue, dated 20th September 1832.
- 11. From Board of Revenue to Government, dated 10th October 1832.
- 12. Government in reply, No. 1,092.

2. From a reference to the correspondence that passed when the land was acquired, it would appear that the land was obtained from the then Major Zemindar and his guardian in consideration of an annual remission of Rupees 108-5-8. The annual remission was made till 1800, when Mr. Purvis, the then Collector, proposed, on behalf of the present Zemindar, then a minor under the Court of Wards, a permanent deduction from the peishch payable by the Zemindar, and this was sanctioned in G.O., dated 10th January 1801, No. 59.

3. Under these circumstances I am inclined to think that the Zemindar is entitled to recover his land on payment of the peishch which it used to pay, subject, of course, to the condition that it shall be given up if it is ever required for any purpose by Government, and request that the Board will obtain the necessary sanction of Government.

4. The exact extent of land to be given up and the amount of peishch payable thereon will be reported when the Government sanction my proposal.

Sanctions for the orders of Government. The Board recommend that the Collector's proposal be sanctioned.

(The Copy and Extract)

W. Galt
Acting Secretary

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department,
Coimbatore, and to the Secretary to the Board of Revenue,
Madras.

[Faint, mostly illegible handwritten notes at the top of the page, possibly a list or a set of instructions.]

[Vertical handwritten notes on the right margin of the top section.]

[Faint handwritten notes and stamps in the middle section, including what appears to be a date '1876' and some official markings.]

[Large area of faint handwritten notes and stamps at the bottom of the page, including a prominent 'DEPARTMENT' stamp at the very bottom.]

I suppose to the same -
 - cluster of land near
 - made near to present
 - until it be more fully
 - established that there
 - is no probability of such
 - land ever becoming
 - again occupied by the
 - State.
 In the case of Samul-
 - cotta there is reason
 - to make it desirable
 - to enter possession of
 - the site of the station
 - present. Samulcotta is
 - a place of considerable
 - commercial importance
 - and a kind that is due
 - to be taken up at

Samulcotta
 There is no doubt
 - the land should be
 - got cleared up & put
 - be returned to the
 - Government on payment
 - of some of the original
 - purchase - but I
 - think the question of
 - obtaining the title
 - by purchase is a
 - very important
 - consideration -
 - It appears to have

with the Board of
Communication
with the Board of
Circuit System
the Board of
a Board of
Circuit System
with the Board of

27/4

Board of
Circuit System
with the Board of

27/4

San Carlos. The cat
of the Board of
108 pages from
deduction from the
Board of
What may be the
Board of

The Board of
to the Board of
Board of
Board of

27/4