

(1) Number of pages 12

(2) Date of receipt 2-1-1928

(3) Name of the Officer ...

CONCERNS BOTH BRITISH INDIA
AND INDIAN STATES.

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE

Government of Madras

Revenue DEPARTMENT

CO. No. 7/28

1928

20th 2nd - 1928

Not to be folded or roughly handled

522

No. 625. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 8th November 1876, No. 2,777, Forest No. 97:—

Read the following letter from C. G. DOUGLAS, Esq., Deputy Conservator of Forests, South Coimbatore Division, to A. WEDDERBURN, Esq., Collector of Coimbatore, dated Teonacadvoo, 27th October 1876, No. 190.

I HAVE the honor to acknowledge receipt of your official docket, No. 149, dated 24th instant, forwarding a copy of Board's Proceedings, No. 2,565, dated 12th instant, "with a request for my views."

2. With reference to the probable cost of clearing and demarcating the Travancore-Coimbatore boundary, which the Board do not think will exceed the rate per mile for similar work in the Tanjore District, viz., Rupees 140, I think it would be safer to allot at least Rupees 160. It will be observed that the Dewan of Travancore in his letter to the Resident, dated 23th August, describes the boundaries with Coimbatore and Madura as about the most difficult part of the whole line.

3. As to the probable time required to complete the work, it is satisfactory that an officer of Mr. Munro's experience expects to be so far advanced as the top of the Gudalur Pass by the middle of next April; I had not anticipated such rapid progress, and thought we should not do badly if we succeeded in reaching the Madura, Coimbatore and Travancore tri-junction by that date. Perhaps the boundary path mentioned is, at first, only intended as a guide, and is to be widened and improved afterwards.

4. I recently addressed a letter to you (No. 183, dated 21st October), suggesting a postponement of the meeting of representatives, fixed for the 20th November at Punnimadkuttai, for the settlement of the tri-junction point of Travancore, Cochin and Coimbatore. That letter was written under a misapprehension, for I thought that I had already been duly nominated as the representative of the British Government, not only for settlement of the tri-junction as above and a line connecting it with Kalyanapandal, but also for that of the boundary eastward to the Madura, Coimbatore and Travancore tri-junction. I notice, however, that the Board only now recommend my employment on this latter duty; but as the reasons for the course proposed in my letter still hold good, I trust they may not be lost sight of, in the event of the Board's arrangements receiving the sanction of Government.

5. When explaining the necessity for my remaining this year as long as possible at work in the forest, I remarked that boundary operations would not suffer much by the proposed delay. I was not aware that the circumstances under which Mr. Munro's services have been secured for the settlement of the boundary are such as would render their loss for a month or six weeks, out of the short time they will be available, a great objection to the change of programme submitted. If, however, matters could be arranged, consistently with other interests and conveniences, so as to enable Mr. Munro to begin the Madura portion of the line before meeting me and, in communication with an officer of that district, settle boundary sufficient to keep a party employed for some time, Travancore would not be deprived of his services to any serious extent and, judging from the progress Mr. Munro expects to make, we shall have ample time to finish the Coimbatore section.

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
23th November 1876.*

No. 220.

SUBMITTED to the Board of Revenue with reference to their Proceedings, No. 2,565, of 12th October 1876.

2. Supposing the distance of the boundary line is 40 miles, the estimate of cost at Rupees 160 per mile would be Rupees 6,400.

3. Mr. Douglas' letter, No. 183, of 21st October, quoted in paragraph 4, was submitted to the Board under date the 25th idem.

COIMBATORE,
30th October 1876.

(Signed) A. WEDDERBURN,
Collector.

SUBMITTED to Government in continuation of Board's Proceedings, dated 6th November 1876.

2. Rupees 140 per mile may be accepted as the estimated cost until experience has shown that the nature of the country necessitates a larger expenditure. The Board intended to nominate Mr. Douglas for the settlement of the whole boundary of the Coimbatore District.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) H. E. STOKES,
Acting Secretary.

No. 526. ORDER THEREON, 28th November 1876, No. 1,828.

Miscellaneous.

RECORDED with reference to G.O.,
dated 23rd November 1876, No. 1,773.

2. The Government approve the selection of Mr. Douglas for the whole boundary of the Coimbatore District.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) D. F. CARMICHAEL,
Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.

Edw. F. R. Dainton.

*on 27 November 1876 Coimbatore boundary
which*

STATES INDIAN AND
CONCERNS BOTH BRITISH INDIA

Revenue Department

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue

Dated 21 November 1876

No 2777; Trans, 4097

Read the following:

To

From

C. J. Douglas Esquire
Deputy Commissioner of Lands
South Circular Division

To

A. C. Esquire
Collector of Circular

By

3/2

I have the honor to acknowledge
Receipt of your Official Letter No 1167
dated 12th inst. and in forwarding a copy
of Board's Proceedings No 2566 dated 12th
inst. with a request for my views.

2 With reference to the probable
Cost of clearing and demarcating
the Transverse, Circular boundary
which

as possible, at work in the Forest I
reminded that boundary operations
would not suffer much by the proposed
delay. I was not aware that the Census
shows under which Mr. Munro's
Census have been taken for the
settlement of the boundary, are such
as would render these lines for a month
or two weeks out of the thick line they
will be available, a great objection to
the change of programme submitted.
If however, matters could be arranged,
consistently with the interests and
convenience, as far as the Mr. Munro
to begin the Madras portion of the
line before meeting me and in
communication with an officer
of that District, settle boundary
sufficient to keep a party employed
for some time. I am sure would
not be deprived of his services to any
Census extent, and judging from
the progress Mr. Munro expects to
make, we shall have ample time

to finish the Coromandel section.

I have the honor to be

Sir

Camp, Pondicherry
27th October 1876

Your most obedient servant
(Sd) C. G. Douglas
Deputy Conservator of Forests
South Coromandel Dist.

No 220

Submitted to the Board of
Revenue with reference to their Proceedings
No 2565 of 12th October 1876 -

Supposing the distance of the
boundary line is 140 miles, the
cost of work at Rs 100 per mile
would be Rs 14,000 -

Mr Douglas's Letter No 183 of
21st October quoted in para 4 was
submitted to the Board under date
the 25th idem. -

Conservator of Forests
Office 30th October 1876

(Sd) A. Wedderburn
Collector

Submitted to Government
in continuation of Board
Proceedings, dated 6th November
1876

1876

At \$100 per mile may be
accepted as the estimated cost.
Local experience has shown that
the nature of the country necessi-
tates a longer expenditure.

The Board intended to nomi-
nate Mr. Douglas for the settle-
ment of the whole boundary of the
Comptrolerie District.

(See copy and Extract)

W. L. H. H.

Acting Secretary

To

The Secretary to Government
Revenue Department

B. A. S. P.

W. 1828

Records in the office of C. C. 23 Nov/61, 1972

2. 12 fol. ephora te sup alctini

44. ~~surface~~ for the whole boundary 7

to Catherine Dickel

107 Prof. Weiss 9/30/14 28th 2000 16.10.76

Worcester 1896
 2 No 47779
 Foundry of the
 Grand of Rivers
 Date 8: 11: 1906
 Date 8: 11: 1906
 Recd 9: 11: 1906
 11/11/1906
 With 1000
 Foundry - Worcester
 Foundry of the
 Grand of Rivers
 Date 8: 11: 1906
 Date 8: 11: 1906
 Recd 9: 11: 1906
 11/11/1906

Received 1896 of the
 O. H. H. H. H.
 donation of the

Grandchildren
 Robert S. Burdick
 Ruth S. —
 Ned S. —

1874

1845
 1846
 1847
 1848
 1849
 1850
 1851
 1852
 1853
 1854
 1855
 1856
 1857
 1858
 1859
 1860
 1861
 1862
 1863
 1864
 1865
 1866
 1867
 1868
 1869
 1870
 1871
 1872
 1873
 1874
 1875
 1876
 1877
 1878
 1879
 1880
 1881
 1882
 1883
 1884
 1885
 1886
 1887
 1888
 1889
 1890
 1891
 1892
 1893
 1894
 1895
 1896
 1897
 1898
 1899
 1900