

(1) Number of Pages 14(2) Date of repair 2.8.1969

(3) Initials of the Referencer _____

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE**GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS**Revenue DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.	1804	Press
B.P. No.		Mis.
Dated 25 - 11 - 1876 196 .		

Not to be folded or roughly handled

1804

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
25th November 1876.*

No. 476. From R. H. HOLLINGBERY, Esq., Assistant Secretary to the Government of India, Financial Department, to the Hon. W. HUDLESTON, Chief Secretary to the Government of Madras, dated Simla, 9th September 1876, No. 3,251.

<i>Salt Revenue.</i>					
To the end of the month of August 1876.					Madras.
					£
1875-76	...	...	...	...	522,528
1876-77	...	...	...	...	487,393
Decrease					35,135

With reference to the statement annexed on the margin, showing the progress of the Salt Revenue during the current year in comparison in the collections in 1875-76, I am directed to request that, with the permission of His Excellency the Governor in Council, you will be good enough to submit an explanation

of the backward state of the revenue in the present year.

(True Copy.)

(Signed) D. F. CARMICHAEL,
Secretary to Government.

Ed. F. R. Bantleman.

No.	Districts.	APRIL			MAY			JUNE			JULY			AUGUST			TOTAL		
		Rs.	Rs.	Differ- ence.	Rs.	Rs.	Differ- ence.	Rs.	Rs.	Differ- ence.	Rs.	Rs.	Differ- ence.	Rs.	Rs.	Differ- ence.	Rs.	Rs.	Differ- ence.
1	Ganjam ...	1,76,298	1,19,783	-56,515	92,786	1,29,893	37,107	1,28,322	1,10,410	-17,912	1,08,612	1,09,455	843	98,919	1,29,341	30,422	6,04,932	5,98,892	-6,040
2	Vizagapatam ...	53,233	71,540	18,293	51,133	59,194	8,061	82,691	66,328	-16,363	53,455	65,080	11,625	44,154	50,507	6,353	2,84,680	2,12,665	-72,015
3	Godavary ...	26,767	34,223	8,155	20,617	52,918	26,299	42,097	42,734	687	80,361	51,019	-9,342	34,013	47,987	13,975	1,89,854	2,29,628	39,774
4	Kistna ...	1,07,181	64,753	-42,428	95,144	44,699	-50,445	87,983	40,465	-17,517	1,28,010	65,288	-57,722	36,324	35,838	-496	4,19,651	2,51,043	-1,68,608
5	Nellore ...	1,04,542	78,869	-25,673	78,024	98,906	20,882	72,345	70,469	-1,876	69,927	66,017	-3,910	68,698	61,549	-7,150	3,93,536	3,75,809	-17,727
6	Cuddapah ...	3,043	2,207	-836	205	315	50	158		-158		25					3,468	3,547	-919
7	Bellary ...	189	743	553	191	297	106	148	123	-26	51	118					833	1,312	729
8	Kurnool ...	431	295	-136	390	175	-215	614	270	-844	586	131							
9	Madras ...		48	48		14	14	7	13	6	71	24		10	14	4	88	113	25
10	Chingleput ...	2,02,103	1,90,256	-11,847	2,46,419	8,02,430	55,981	2,38,155	2,25,020	-8,135	3,21,718	2,94,850	-26,868	2,52,267	2,63,363	11,095	12,55,692	12,75,918	20,226
11	South Arcot ...	83,557	51,166	-32,391	26,555	50,709	24,154	25,982	59,800	33,928	56,560	68,688	12,029	53,696	71,978	18,282	1,96,239	2,02,331	1,06,102
12	Tanjore ...	96,470	76,934	-19,536	1,11,559	1,04,816	-6,743	1,09,121	1,18,058	8,937	1,35,926	1,16,338	-19,588	1,19,598	1,00,272	-19,326	5,72,674	5,15,818	-56,856
13	Madura ...	41,942	87,125	45,183	65,905	54,044	-11,861	36,748	41,285	7,492	33,497	52,206	18,719	36,762	36,608	-1,159	2,14,839	2,23,213	8,374
14	Tinnevely ...	63,917	67,423	3,505	95,809	99,968	4,094	1,01,308	87,487	-13,821	85,931	95,209	9,278	99,705	1,10,645	10,940	4,46,680	4,60,676	13,996
15	South Canara ...	78,536	49,264	-29,272	65,437	45,583	-19,854	19,074	15,132	-3,942	9,861	8,207	-1,654	11,638	9,985	-1,653	1,84,061	1,28,231	-55,830
16	Malabar ...	1,55,380	26,312	-1,29,068	20,853	9,132	-11,721	1,68,179	1,54,817	-13,362	11,059	5,652		5,407	1,01,252	7,985	4,56,223	2,03,948	-2,52,275
	Total ...	11,43,604	8,71,044	-2,72,560	9,76,677	10,53,136	76,459	10,77,906	10,35,450	-42,456	10,70,064	9,98,267	-71,797	9,58,976	9,28,906	-30,070	62,25,227	48,84,898	-13,40,329

1804

1804
19

REVENUE DEPARTMENT,
FORT ST. GEORGE,
25th November 1876.

No. 477.

No. 1,804.

To

THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF INDIA,

FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

Separate Revenue, No. 21a.

Salt.

SIR,—With reference to your letter, No. 3,251, dated 9th September 1876, requesting explanation in regard to the backward state of the Salt revenue in the present year, I am directed to sub-

mit a comparative statement of collections of Salt revenue in each district for each month from April to August last.

2. A steady decrease is observable in the districts of Kistna and Malabar, and is attributed, in the former case, to Bombay salt being taken to the Nizam's territories by rail instead of salt from Kistna as formerly; and in the latter to the import of salt by private traders on payment of duty from Bombay; the difference between the monopoly price (Rs. 2-5-0) for Malabar and the import duty being under existing arrangements lost to this Government. This loss is, however, only nominal, as the cost of purchasing the salt is saved. The sales in Government depôts in Malabar show a large falling off, and while the salt supply in the district during the current year may not, on the whole, be materially less than in the last year, no useful comparison can be instituted after so short a period from the date of the introduction of the system of private importation for the first time. The Collector of Malabar is of opinion that fresh stimulus has been given to the increased consumption of illicit salt produced in the district. But this is a circumstance requiring fuller investigation, which has been ordered.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

(Signed) D. F. CARMICHAEL,

Secretary to Government.

Ed. F. R. Bantleman.

1804

No.	Districts	April		May		June		July		August		Total	
		1285	1286	1285	1286	1285	1286	1285	1286	1285	1286	1285	1286
1	Ganjam	176,293	1,19,783	58,510	92,786	1,28,322	1,10,410	108,612	109,455	98,919	1,29,341	60,122	5,98,882
2	Vizagapatnam	53,253	41,546	18,293	57,133	82,641	66,325	53,465	65,080	44,154	57,504	6,353	2,84,668
3	Godavari	26,467	34,922	8,155	26,614	42,094	42,164	687	51,019	34,012	47,987	13,945	1,09,852
4	Kistna	1,07,181	64,753	42,428	95,144	57,982	40,465	123,010	65,288	36,334	35,838	4,96	4,09,667
5	Kolleru	1,04,542	78,869	25,613	78,024	72,345	70,467	69,927	66,014	65,698	61,518	7,150	3,93,534
6	Kudachah	3,043	2,207	836	265	158	158	158	25	25	25	3,466	2,547
7	Belary	189	742	533	191	106	122	57	118	67	33	29	583
8	Turnool	431	295	136	290	215	614	586	131	12	1898	1,886	2,032
9	Maras	"	48	48	"	14	13	71	24	10	14	4	88
10	Chingelpet	2,02,103	1,99,256	11,844	2,46,444	2,33,153	2,25,121	3,21,718	2,94,850	2,52,267	2,63,262	11,095	12,55,642
11	South Arcot	33,557	51,166	17,609	24,555	24,154	59,962	56,554	88,588	53,546	71,978	18,382	1,96,229
12	Tanjore	96,470	76,331	20,136	1,11,559	1,09,121	118,152	1,35,926	1,16,338	1,14,594	1,00,272	19,326	5,72,674
13	Madurai	41,942	34,125	4,814	65,905	64,433	44,235	7,492	18,719	34,762	35,603	1,159	2,44,839
14	Tirunelveli	63,917	67,424	3,575	95,809	4,094	1,01,308	85,681	95,209	99,705	1,10,645	10,940	4,46,600
15	South Cannor	78,586	49,264	29,292	65,134	19,854	19,014	9,361	8,267	11,653	9,985	1,668	1,84,064
16	Malabar	1,55,380	26,312	1,29,068	20,353	9,182	11,117	11,057	5,652	101,252	7,985	93,267	4,55,223
	Total	41,43,604	8,71,044	2,72,564	9,76,677	7,64,531	10,74,926	12,45,555	10,70,064	9,74,267	9,56,916	27,980	52,55,227

1804

1804/5

No 3251

To The Chief Secretary to the Government of Madras

Financial Deptt Sir,

Salt Revenue.

To the end of the month of August 1876 -

	Madras
1875-76	522,528
1876-77	487,393
Decrease	35,135

With reference to the statement annexed on the margin, shewing the progress of the Salt revenue during the current year in comparison in the Collection in 1875-76, I am directed to request that, with the permission of His Excellency the Governor in Council, you will be good enough to submit an explanation of the backward state of the revenue in the present year.

Yours
The 9th September 1876

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient servant.
W. M. M. M.
Asst Secy to the Govt of India.

4-10

CD. 25 November 1876
1876

Revenue 1876 Department

No 4297

Proamthe Asst Secretary to the
Public India, Simla,
No 3257

Dated 9 September 1876
Received 16 October

Requesting to submit
an explanation of the backward
state of the Salt Revenue
in the present year.

Letter of the 25 November 76
No 1854

Referred to the 22 of that
for report.

22 Nov 76 R 23? Mr

ms copy
1876
1877
1878
1879
1880
1881
1882
1883
1884
1885
1886
1887
1888
1889
1890
1891
1892
1893
1894
1895
1896
1897
1898
1899
1900

Revenue Dept

1804

So

The Secretary to the Govt of India
Financial Department

Si

With reference to your
letter No. 3257 dated 9th
September 1876, requesting
explanation in regard to
the backward state of the
Salt Revenue in the present
year, I am directed to
submit a comparative
statement of collections
of Salt Revenue in each
District for each month
from April to August
last.

A steady
demand is observable.

in the Districts of Kistna
and Malabar, and is
attributed, in the former case,
to Bombay salt being taken
to the Nizam's territories by
rail instead of salt from
Kistna as formerly; and in
the latter to the import of
salt by private traders
on payment of duty from
Bombay; the difference
between the monopoly
price (Rs 2.50) for
Malabar and the import
duty being under existing
arrangements lost to the
Government. The sales
in Govt. depots in Malabar
show a large falling off,
and while the salt supply
in the District during the

This loss is however
only nominal as the
cost of purchasing the
salt is saved.

1804/5
current year may well
on the whole be materially
less than in the last
year, no useful comparison
can be instituted after
so short a period from
the date of the introduction
of the system of private
importation for the first
time. The Collector
of Malabar is of opinion
that fish stimulus has
been given to the increased
consumption of illicit salt
produced in the District.
But this is a circumstance
requiring further investigation,
which has been ordered.

Port St. George
25th Nov. 176.

[Signature]

John J. Smith
Barnes & Co.
New York

