

- (1) Number of Pages 4
(2) Date of repair 4-8-68
(3) Initials of the Referencer _____

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

Revenue DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.	1803	Press
B.P. No.		Mis.
Dated	25-11-1876	196 . .

Not to be folded or roughly handled

1876

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
25th November 1876.*

No. 474. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 10th November 1876, No. 2,793:—

Read the following letter from J. G. HORSFALL, Esq., Acting Collector of Madura, to H. E. STOKES, Esq., Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated 13th October 1876, No. 380.

ADVERTING to the correspondence marginally noted, I have the honor to report that the peon and laborers formerly employed on the Nelayur Channel in the Madura Taluq now demand the balance of the wages due to them, and threaten, as in the case of the Peshcar, to file suits against us unless their requests are complied with and the money paid.

2. The circumstances of the case have already been fully reported, and although their claims are clearly barred under Article 7 of Schedule II of the Limitation Act, I am of opinion that, as in the case of the Peshcar, this technical plea ought not to be raised and that they ought to be paid. The amount due is Rupees 910-8-4, and I request sanction for the payment to them of this sum.

3. I would at the same time point out that this charge was incurred in carrying out the duty, that is, of keeping the irrigation channels in order, incumbent upon the eighteen villages under the Nelayur Channel, and that the ryots of the several villages alone responsible for the expenditure incurred. I would suggest, therefore, the recovery from them of the above expenditure under the provisions of Section 6, Act I of 1858.

Submitted to Government, with reference to G.O., dated 27th March 1876, No. 423, and with request that payment of the arrears may be sanctioned.

2. The Board are clearly of opinion that the money cannot be recovered under Act I of 1858. The Act applies only to the execution of emergent works, whereas the main object of the Irrigation Cess Fund was to provide an establishment for the regulation of the water-supply.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) H. E. STOKES,
Acting Secretary.

No. 475. ORDER THEREON, 25th November 1876, No. 1,803.

Irrigation, No. 6.

The payment of the arrears is sanctioned as proposed. It is presumed that the establishment has been dispensed with, and that the arrears due have been correctly calculated.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) D. F. CARMICHAEL,
Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.
„ the Accountant-General.
Exd. F. R. Dantleman.

11/2/77
No. 5627/76
No. 843/77

CT 8309/84

1807/1

No. 2,793.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 10th November 1876.

Read the following letter from J. G. HORSFALL, Esq., Acting Collector of Madura, to H. E. STOKES, Esq., Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated 13th October 1876, No. 380:—

ADVERTING to the correspondence marginally noted, I have the honor to report that the peon and laborers formerly employed on the Nelayur Channel in the Madura Taluq now demand the balance of the wages due to them, and threaten, as in the case of the Peshear, to file suits against us unless their requests are complied with and the money paid.

2. The circumstances of the case have already been fully reported, and although their claims are clearly barred under Article 7 of Schedule II of the Limitation Act, I am of opinion that, as in the case of the Peshear, this technical plea ought not to be raised and that they ought to be paid. The amount due is Rupees 940-8-4, and I request sanction for the payment to them of this sum.

3. I would at the same time point out that this charge was incurred in carrying out the duty, that is, of keeping the irrigation channels in order, incumbent upon the eighteen villages under the Nelayur Channel, and that the ryots of the several villages are alone responsible for the expenditure incurred. I would suggest, therefore, the recovery from them of the above expenditure under the provisions of Section 6, Act I of 1858.

SUBMITTED to Government, with reference to G.O., dated 27th March 1876, No. 428, and with request that payment of the arrears may be sanctioned.

2. The Board are clearly of opinion that the money cannot be recovered under Act I of 1858. The Act applies only to the execution of emergent works, whereas the main object of the Irrigation Cess Fund was to provide an establishment for the regulation of the water supply.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

H. E. Stokes
Acting Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department.

Copy to the Acting Collector of Madura.

Exd. T. D. Ryan.

L.O. 112 May 175 of 1875-76
27 March 1876 - 268-64

Revenue Department

Rs. 4933
1876.

BOARD OF SUPERINTENDENCE,
MADRAS.

RECEIPTS, 10TH NOVEMBER 1876, No. 2,793.

Rs. 1591 10/16

Emol.

Received 16 votes of 1876

NOVEMBER.

No. 2,793.

Madras General-in-Madras District -
Requesting Government to sanction payment
of wages claimed by peon and laborers for-
merly employed on —, and stating that the
amount cannot be recovered from ryots under
Act I of 1858.

With order

Rs. 1803 3/4

The payment of the amount is
sanctioned as proposed. It is
assumed that the establishment
has been dispensed with, and that
the amount due, has been
correctly calculated.

14.7.1876
F. J. J. J. J. J.

25 November 1876

100-

C. 201/1876 Q. 20/1876

10