

(1) Number of Pages 68
(2) Date of repair 2-8-68
(3) Initials of the Referencer _____

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

Revenue DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.
B.P. No.

1801

Press
Mis.

Dated 25-11-1876 196 .

Not to be folded or roughly handled

180

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
25th November 1876.*

No. 471. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 27th September 1876, No. 2,446 :—

Read again Board's Miscellaneous Proceedings, dated 13th January 1875, No. 237.

Read also the following papers :—

From W. S. WHITESIDE, Esq., Collector of North Arcot, to H. E. STOKES, Esq., Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Palmanair, 30th September 1875, No. 529.

IN returning herewith the Petitions, Nos. 2,455 and 2,925 of 1874 and Nos. 154 and 990 of 1875, received with the Board's Proceedings, dated 30th July last, No. 2,140, I have the honor to state that from the report of the Tahsildar of Vellore it appears that Mahomed Motafar Khan, the eldest son of the late Jaghiredar of Rangangadi, possesses Inam lands in the town of Arcot measuring Cawnies 31-14-0 enfranchised by the Inam Commissioner, and a house in the Vellore Town, the former worth about Rs. 700 and the latter Rupees 200. A portion of the Inam lands has been leased out for building purposes to some persons who pay an annual rent of Rupees 210, the other portion being left waste from time immemorial as it is not fit for cultivation. Of the above sum, Rupees 90 are paid annually on account of the salary of a servant employed in collecting rent and as quit-rent due on the Inam in question. The difference between these two sums, viz., Rupees 120, is paid to the niece widow, Zenatim Nissa Begum, as interest on a partition bond of Rupees 1,000 executed by the eldest son of the Jaghiredar on mortgaging the Inam lands and the house above alluded to. Besides this, the family has contracted debts to the extent of Rupees 1,000 on the mortgage of certain jewels. Under these circumstances, it will be seen that the family of the late Jaghiredar is by no means in a prosperous condition.

ENCLOSURES Nos. 1 to 4.—Petitions.

From J. H. GARSTIN, Esq., Collector of South Arcot, to H. E. STOKES, Esq., Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Cuddalore, 25th November 1875, No. 507.

IN reply to the Board's Proceedings of the 30th July, No. 2,140, I have the honor to enclose an abstract of a report from the Tittagudi Deputy Tahsildar relative to the property possessed by the late Mahomed Jaffer Hussain Khan, Jaghiredar of Rangangadi.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.

Abstract of an Arzi from the Deputy Tahsildar of Tittagudi.

It appears that none of the relatives of the late Mahomed Jaffer Hussain Khan, Jaghiredar of Rangangadi, formerly resided or now resides in the village of Tittagudi or in any other village of that division. He had Cawnies 33-10-3 of punjah worth Rupees 350, Cawnies 4-4-0 of nunjah worth Rupees 1,000, and a house and shed worth Rupees 200, in the village of Malayanoor, in Virdachellum Taluq. Of these, Cawnies 36-3-3 of punjah and nunjah are mortgaged to one Veerachi Reddy of Sirupakam for Rupees 2,606-4-0. In addition to this, the mortgagee is also in enjoyment of Cawnies 0-11-0 of the land; the remaining 1 Cawny of punjah has been given by the Jaghiredar to one Syed Rahiman alias Syed Jalal Sahib. Some of the late Jaghiredar's relations (fifty-nine in number, including some servants) reside at Vellore and ten others at Triplicane. One Alli Raja Sahib Kalami, late Jaghiredar's grandson by his daughter, who holds a Power of Attorney

281-3010-6

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
25th November 1876.*

from the widow of the said Mahomed Jaffer Hossain Khan Bahadoor, resides at Rangungadi. This man and one Saravana Pillay who had been in the service of the Jaghiredar (it appears his forefathers also were in the service of the Jaghiredar's family) state that, besides the above-said property, the Jaghiredar possessed also property (land, trees, and fort), valued at Rupees 17,885, in seven villages in the taluq of Perambalor in the district of Trichinopoly. He also owned twenty-four godowns or shops worth Rupees 10,000 at Triplicane, and a tiled house of 150 Rupees value at Vellore. Of the property situated in the Perambalor Taluq, Rupees 7,216 worth has been mortgaged for Rupees 6,425 and the mortgagees are in the enjoyment thereof, the remainder 469 Rupees worth having been endowed for the maintenance of a Musjeed, &c. The shops at Triplicane are rented out and the income therefrom, amounting to between 50 and 70 Rupees a-month, is appropriated by the Jaghiredar's widow towards the cost of the establishment and repair of the shops and the liquidation of debts incurred by the Jaghiredar, and the house at Vellore is in the occupation of the Jaghiredar's widow and children.

(Signed) J. H. GARSTIN,
Collector.

From H. SEWELL, Esq., Acting Collector of Trichinopoly, to C. A. GALTON, Esq.,
Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated 12th April 1876, No. 89.

ADVERTING to the Board's Proceedings, dated 30th July 1875, No. 2,140, I have the honor to submit the following report.

2. The family of the deceased Mahomed Jaffer Khan possesses property no where in the district except Perambalor Taluq.

	rs.	
23.72 Acres of wet land situated in seven villages and valued at ...	5,317	3. The property consists of the items noted in the margin, but it is stated to be heavily encumbered with debt, not a single acre being in the possession of the family.
49 Acres of dry land do. do. ...	1,948	
20 Tamarind trees do. do. ...	20	
3 Buildings do. do. ...	200	
Total ...	7,885	4. The Tahsildar of Perambalor, in submitting his report on the subject in October last, simply stated that the lands

in question were in the enjoyment of persons who have advanced money upon them. Not satisfied with this vague statement, I required him to find out upon what title they enjoyed the land, and after a protracted delay and repeated calls he submitted the result of his inquiry, which I still think not complete. It now turns out that most of the documents upon which these money-lenders base their claim are of recent dates, so recent as 24th February last, in some cases that the Deputy Collector in charge of the division doubts their genuineness. Farther inquiries regarding them are being made, and the result will be communicated in due course.

5. There is a fort in Thevayur belonging to the family which goes by the name of Rangungadi Fort. It is a fine building and in tolerable preservation, though greatly overgrown with prickly-pear. The fort has no money value except on account of its stones, and there is not likely to be any demand for them. It is a picturesque object and of some historical interest, and I hope it will be preserved. I entered it in the list of buildings sent to Board.

From the same Officer, dated 7th August 1876, No. 206.

REFERRING to my letter, No. 89, dated the 12th of April last, I have the honor to inform you that I have received a further report from the Deputy Collector on General Duties, and the following particulars are elicited therefrom.

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
25th November 1876.*

2. The extent given in my letter, No. 89, dated the 12th of April last, was, for convenience of reference, divided in the statement furnished by the Deputy Collector on the 6th idem into sixteen lots as follows:—

Lot No.	Village.	Wet.	Dry.
1	Thevayur ...	9.57	4.69
2	Do. ...	...	.75
3	Do. ...	1.50	5.46
4	Do. ...	.19	...
5	Do. ...	...	.87
6	Do. ...	...	2.6
7	Do. ...	...	3.85
8	Do. ...	...	5.71
9	Mungalum ...	...	2.32
10	Do. ...	4.40	10.93
11	Do. ...	1.90	...
12	Mettupalaiyam...	1.16	1.69
13	Tirumandurai ...	4.72	...
14	Koneripalaiyem..	...	3.1
15	Neikuppai ...	.28	1.96
16	Veppanthatei ...	...	5.70
	Total ...	23.72	49.

3. Lot No. 1.—The Deputy Collector's statement above referred to sets forth that the lands comprised in this lot were, with the exception of a portion thereof, viz., acres .88 wet and acres 1.21 dry, mortgaged to one Venkatachella Reddi for Rupees 2,700 by Mariyam Begam, the Jaghiredar's widow, under a deed of mortgage, dated the 28th of October 1868, and that the entire lot was sold by her to Krishna Reddi, son of the said Venkatachella Reddy, for Rupees 3,000 under a deed of sale, dated the 24th of September 1875, and registered on the 18th December following.

(1.) The statement now submitted shows that the lands conveyed under the deed of sale in question were acres 10.71 wet and acres 8.46 dry. The difference, viz., acres 1.14 wet and acres 3.77 dry, does not form a part of the extent entered in my letter No. 89, but appears to be quite a new block not hitherto accounted for.

(2.) The Deputy Collector states that the lands parted with in excess of the mortgaged portion are worth several times the sum (Rupees 300) for which they have now been sold.

No. 1,663 ...	.75 dry.	margin. In the papers forwarded in the
" 94-C ...	5.46 "	first instance, No. 1,663 was stated to
" 95-B ...	1.50 wet.	have been mortgaged to one Saravana
Total ...	7.71	Pillay for Rupees 190, Nos. 94-B and 94-C
		to have been held by him, the former as

additional security for the loan and the latter as a subsistence grant made to him by the Jaghiredar in recognition of the services rendered by him.

5. In the papers now submitted, No. 1,663, valued at Rupees 5, together with a house ground, is stated to have been sold to the said Saravana Pillay by Allirayakalam, authorized agent of the Jaghiredar, for Rupees 50 under an unregistered deed of sale, dated the 15th of December 1875, and Nos. 94-B and 94-C to have been mortgaged to one Nattu Nallapareddi for Rupees 200 under a deed, dated the 21st February 1876,

executed to him by the said agent. The incongruity of the two statements is not explained. The sale deed in question does not allude to the alleged previous mortgage.

6. The Lot No. 4 containing 19 decimals of wet land, valued at Rupees 20, was in the original statement stated to have been mortgaged to one Lakshmana Naiken for Rupees 20. The papers now submitted show that it was sold to him by the aforesaid agent for Rupees 10 under a deed of sale, dated the 19th December 1875. The sale-deed contains no reference to the previous mortgage.

7. The Lots Nos. 5 and 6, valued each at Rupees 100, were sold by the aforesaid agent, the former for Rupees 95 to one Muthi Nallappa Reddy on the 19th December 1875, and the latter for the same sum to one Natu Subbi Reddy on the following day.

8. The Lot No. 7 consisting of three fields as per margin was in the former statement said to be mortgaged to one Khadar Hussain. The papers now sent show that Field No. 26 was given to him as a remuneration for his service under the late Jaghiredar, and that the remaining two fields were sold by the agent to the aforesaid Saravana Pillay and Lakshmana Naiken on the 19th December 1875 for Rs. 50. These two fields are said to be rich with fruit trees and are supposed to have been the Jaghiredar's garden once.

S. No.	A. D.
26 dry	0.98
" 28 "	0.38
" 90 "	2.49
	3.85

said Saravana Pillay and Lakshmana Naiken on the 19th December 1875 for Rs. 50. These two fields are said to be rich with fruit trees and are supposed to have been the Jaghiredar's garden once.

9. The Lot No. 8 was in the original statement stated to be the maniem assigned for the support of the Musjeed within the Rangangadi Fort. The information now furnished shows that it was on the 24th February 1876 given to the aforesaid Lakshmana Naiken in exchange for certain other land included in Lot No. 1.

10. The Lot No. 9 is not encumbered. It is valued at Rupees 20 and is in possession of the family.

11. Lot No. 10, valued at Rupees 1,200, was mortgaged for Rupees 1,000 to one Muthi Nallappa Reddy under a deed of mortgage of the 9th October 1868. He transferred the deed to another, Lenganam Nallappa Reddy, on the 7th January 1874, and the latter purchased the lot for the same sum from the Jaghiredar's widow on the 24th September 1875. The Deputy Collector is of opinion that the property is undervalued and that the wet portion of the alienated extent ought to have alone fetched far more than the alleged purchase money.

12. Lot No. 11, valued at Rupees 170, was sold on the 23rd February 1876 by the agent in two parts for Rupees 99 and Rupees 43-2-0 to the aforesaid Saravana Pillay and Lakshmana Naik respectively in settlement of certain alleged previous mortgage debts and other dealings.

13. Lot No. 12 is said to have been sold to one Adinapudiyar for Rupees 150 by the late Jaghiredar in 1872. The sale-deeds are alleged to have been lost.

14. Lot No. 13 was sold by the Jaghiredar's widow to one Muthi Reddy for Rupees 2,000 on the 25th September 1875 in liquidation of certain previous mortgage.

15. Lot No. 14 is an endowment of a pagoda at Perambalore; the grant is not supported by any document.

16. A portion of Lot No. 15 has been let to one Ibrahim Beg who pays the assessment. The other portion is under attachment for Government dues.

17. Lot No. 16 is let out to one Mannaar Udaiyan who pays taram assessment on it.

18. From the foregoing the Board will perceive that (with the exception of Lots 9, 15, and 16, acres 28 wet and acres 9.98 dry in extent which seem to belong still to the Jaghiredar's family, and Lot No. 14 which is said to form an endowment made in favor of a pagoda) all other lots have, save Lot No. 12 alleged to have been sold by the Jaghiredar in 1872, been alienated chiefly by sale and partly by mortgage since September 1875. The Deputy Collector entertains grave doubts as to the good faith with which the alienations in question have been made, chiefly because these alienations were made subsequent to the inquiry instituted under Board's Proceedings, No. 2,140, dated the 30th of July 1875, and the consideration in some cases for which the property has been parted with is barely nominal.

19. This information has been obtained mainly from Saravana Pillay, one of the principal purchasers. The extent of holdings as given in my former letter was acres 23.72 wet and acres 49 dry; the accounts now received show an increase of acres 1.14 wet and acres 3.77 dry under Lot No. 1.

20. I must apologise for the delay in submitting this final report, but the Board will see that the matter is somewhat complicated and the inquiry into details necessarily took time.

From C. CUNDASAWMY MOODELLIAR, Acting Deputy Collector, to J. HUNTER-BLAIR, Esq., Collector of Madras, dated Madras, 20th October 1875, No. 1,360.

ADVERTING to your Official Memorandum, No. 761, of 11th August last, requesting information as to the property left by deceased Nawab Jaffer Hussain Khan Bahadoor in Madras and referred to in Revenue Board's Proceedings, No. 2,140, of 30th July last, I have the honor to inform you that the deceased Nawab is reported to have a house property in Triplicane, Mylapore, High Road Survey No. 350, extent eight grounds and odd, and yielding a monthly rental of 40 Rupees.

2. This property it is also reported is mortgaged, but to whom and for what amount cannot be ascertained.

3. It does not appear that he possesses any other property in Madras.

No. 349.

SUBMITTED to the Board of Revenue with reference to paragraph 2 of their Proceedings, No. 2,140, dated 30th July 1875.

MADRAS,
26th October 1875.

(Signed) J. HUNTER-BLAIR,
Collector of Madras.

From the same Officer, dated 27th October 1875, No. 1,402.

In continuation of my letter, No. 1,360, of the 20th instant, I have the honor to report that from further inquiries instituted it appears that Jaffer Hussain Khan Bahadoor's house in Triplicane is mortgaged to one Kanchi Kristina Row for 250 Rupees the house itself being worth about 5,000 Rupees.

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
25th November 1876.

No. 359.

SUBMITTED to the Board of Revenue with reference to their Proceedings, No. 2,140, dated 30th July 1875, in continuation of this office docket, No. 349, of the 26th October 1875.

MADRAS,
2nd November 1875.

(Signed) J. HUNTER-BLAIR,
Collector of Madras.

THE Board's Petitions Nos. 2,455 and 2,925 will be submitted for the orders of Government.

2. A reduced pension of Rupees 250 a-month was continued to Mahomed Jaffer Hussain Khan Bahadoor for life as sanctioned by the Government of India—G.O., dated 14th June 1871, No. 182, Political Department. He died in 1874 leaving two widows (one niece) and two sons and two daughters by his shadi wife. His eldest son Mahomed Motafar Khan petitioned the Board requesting the continuance of the pension for the support of the family, which he said was left quite destitute and wholly unprovided for. The petition was referred* to the Collector of Madras for report in communication with the Collector of North Arcot where the family resided, and with Mahomed Yusuf, Magistrate of Madras, who was supposed to be acquainted with the circumstances of the family. As the information afforded was meagre, and as the family had at different times resided in Madras, North Arcot, South Arcot, and Trichinopoly, the Collectors of these districts were required to report the nature, extent, and value of the property belonging to the family in their respective districts—Board's Proceedings, dated 30th July 1875, No. 2,140.

* Board's Procs., 13th January 1875, No. 237.

3. From the replies received it appears that the family has property in each of the districts above mentioned.

In North Arcot† they have Inam land, Cawnies 31-14-0, valued at Rupees 700, and

† Letter, dated 30th September 1875, No. 529. a house, value Rupees 200, both mortgaged since the pensioner's death for Rs. 1,000.

The net income of the Inam, Rupees 120 a-year, is paid to the niece widow as interest on a partition bond for Rupees 1,000. Some jewels are pawned for Rupees 1,000.

In Madras‡ there is house which is valued at Rupees 5,000 and rents for Rs. 40

‡ Letters, 26th Oct. and 2nd Nov. 1875. a-month, but it is said to be mortgaged

§ Letter recorded in Bd.'s Procs., 30th July 1875. for Rupees 250. Mahomed Yusuf says§ he heard that the deceased had some rice bazaars in Triplicane, but the Madras Deputy Collector only mentions the house above referred to.

South Arcot.—It appears from the Tahsildar's report that in this district there are

Letter dated 25th November 1875.

Cawnies 37-14-3, valued at Rupees 1,350, and a house worth Rupees 200. Cawnies 36 are mortgaged for Rupees 2,606-4-0 and the mortgagee is in possession. One Cawny has been given away. The Tahsildar also gives information obtained from persons acquainted with the family regarding property in Trichinopoly and Triplicane, viz., Trichinopoly, land, trees, and fort, valued at Rupees 17,885, of which land, valued at Rupees 7,216, is mortgaged for Rupees 6,425 and the mortgagees are in possession. The rest of the land, valued at Rupees 469, is said to be a Mosque endowment. In

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
25th November 1876.

Triplicane there are said to be twenty-four godowns or shops worth Rupees 10,000, and renting for between Rupees 50 and 70 a-month, which is received by the pensioner's widow. These appear to be the rice bazaars referred to by Mahomed Yusuf but of which the Madras Deputy Collector makes no mention.

Trichinopoly.—In this district the family had in seven villages acres 24·86 wet and acres 52·77 dry as detailed in the annexed statement, but of this they now have only acres ·28 wet and 9·98 dry. With this exception and Lot No. 14, which is said to be a religious endowment all has been sold or mortgaged and for the most part only recently since September 1875, except Lot No. 12 which was sold in 1872. The Deputy Collector entertains grave doubts as to the *bona fides* of the alienations, because they were made subsequent to Board's Proceedings, dated 30th July 1875, directing

* See list annexed.

inquiry as to the circumstances of the family and the consideration in some of the cases is barely nominal.*

4. The pension of Rupees 250 a-month was continued to the deceased in express terms for life, and his eldest son requests its continuance for the support of the

G.O., dated 14th June 1871, No. 182.

family in consideration of its being "left destitute and wholly unprovided for," a statement which is now shown to be exaggerated. The Board see no sufficient reason for recommending the continuance of the pension either in full or in part, and consider that there are no circumstances in the case which entitle the claimant to any special indulgence.

Particulars of Property in the Trichinopoly District.

Lot No. 1—Acres { 10·71 wet.
8·46 dry.

MORTGAGED in 1868 for Rupees 2,700 and sold September 1875 for Rupees 3,000.

Lot No. 2—Acres ·75 dry.

This and a house sold December 1875 for Rupees 50.

Lot No. 3—Acres { 1·50 wet.
5·46 dry.

Mortgaged for Rupees 200 February 1876.

Lot No. 4—Acres ·19 wet.

Sold for Rupees 10 December 1875.

" " 5— " ·87 dry.

Do. do. 95 do. do.

" " 6— " 2·6 dry.

Do. do. 95 do. do.

" " 7— " 3·85 dry.

Portion sold for Rupees 50 December 1875, and the rest given away in consideration of service rendered. Portion sold contains many fruit trees and was formerly the Jaghiredar's garden.

Lot No. 8—Acres 5·71 dry.

Given in exchange for other land included in Lot No. 1.

Lot No. 9—Acres 2·32 dry.

No encumbrance; is in possession of the family. Value Rupees 20.

Lot No. 10—Acres { 4·44 wet.
10·93 dry.

Mortgaged in 1868 for Rupees 1,000 and sold September 1875 for the same sum which Deputy Collector says is much

below its value, the wet portion alone being worth much more.

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
25th November 1876.

Lot No. 11—Acres 1.90 wet.

Sold in settlement of outstanding claims.

Lot No. 12—Acres { 1.66 wet.
1.69 dry.

Said to have been sold in 1872 for Rupees 150. Sale-deed lost.

Lot No. 13—Acres 4.72 wet.

Sold September 1875 for Rs. 2,000 in liquidation of previous mortgage.

Lot No. 14—Acres 3.1 wet.

Said to be Mosque endowment. No document in support of grant.

Lot No. 15—Acres { .28 wet.
1.96 dry.

Portion let to a person who pays the assessment, the rest attached for arrears of revenue.

Lot No. 16—Acres 5.70 dry.

Let to a person who pays the assessment.

There is an old fort belonging to the family which has no money value but for its stone for which there is no demand. The Collector says it is a picturesque object of some historical interest and he hopes it will be preserved.

(True Copies and Extract.)

(Signed) E. GIBSON,
Acting Sub-Secretary.

No. 472.

ORDER THEREON, 25th November 1876, No. 1,801.

Miscellaneous.

As there is a large family, many of them very young, application will be made to the Government of India for a

pension of 84 Rs., being 22 Rs. a month for each child:--the allowance to cease, in the case of the two boys, at the age of 21; and in the case of the two girls, at the same age unless they are married sooner.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) D. F. CARMICHAEL,
Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.

To the Accountant General.

1801/6
No. 2,140.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 30th July 1875.

Read again Board's Proceedings, dated 13th January 1875, Miscellaneous No. 237.
Read also the following letter from J. HUNTER-BLAIR, Esq., Collector of Madras, to H. E. STOKES, Esq., Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated 31st May 1875, No. 137:—

ADVERTING to the Proceedings of the Board, No. 237, of the 23rd January last, I have the honor to enclose a statement showing the names and ages of the several members of the family left by the deceased Mahomed Jaffer Hussain Khan, and to state they were all dependants on deceased during his life-time.

2. The Nicka widow lives separately on some property received from the Shadi widow about three months ago, but the others have no means of subsistence.

3. Copies of letters received from the Collector of North Arcot and Mahomed Yusuf, a Magistrate of the Madras Town, are also submitted for information.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.

From MAHOMED YUSUF, Esq., Magistrate of Police, Madras, to J. HUNTER-BLAIR, Esq., Collector of Madras, dated 28th January 1875, No. 9.

In reference to your letter of the 26th instant, No. 50, enclosing copy of the Board's Proceedings, Miscellaneous No. 237, dated 13th instant, I have the honor to state, I knew the deceased Nawab Jaffer Hussain Khan, Bahadur, Jaghiredar of Runjungud, and his son Mahomed Abid Hussain Sahib, for some time past. I have heard that he had another boy and two girls, I do not remember their names; but of the other members of his family, I know little, much less of their means of subsistence. There was some landed property, consisting of dry and wet lands and gardens in some villages, I do not remember their names, in Perambalur, one of the two taluqs I was in charge of in Trichinopoly; but I think the deceased Jaghiredar disposed of some of them by sale, or otherwise, before the family left Trichinopoly for Vellore. I have heard that he had some rice-bazaars in Triplicane; what the rental is I do not know. Jaffer Hussain Khan was a man who spoke very little about his family to others. Some time prior to his death, I heard he had made Nicka with a dancing-girl, named Sinee Zeenuth Oonissa.

(True Copy.)

(Signed) J. HUNTER-BLAIR,
Collector of Madras.

ENCLOSURE No. 2.

From W. S. WHITESIDE, Esq., Collector of North Arcot, to J. HUNTER-BLAIR, Esq., Collector of Madras, dated Palmanair, 5th May 1875, No. 487.

With reference to your letter, dated 25th January 1875, No. 48, I have the honor to forward, herewith, copy of the Acting Sub-Collector's letter, dated 28th ultimo, No. 258, and the statement showing the names and ages of the several members of the family left by the late Mahomed Jaffer Hussain Khan, &c., as requested in your letter under reply.

2. The enclosures of your letter are herewith returned.

(True Copy.)

(Signed) J. HUNTER-BLAIR,
Collector of Madras.

Obt. of 1876: 30 Madras.

REVENUE.

THE BOARD OF REVENUE.

Dated November, 25th.

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., 25th November 1876, No. 1,801.

NOVEMBER.
Nos. 471-472.

Pension of Rs. 250 enjoyed by late Mahomed Jaffer Hussain Khan in North Arcot.—Resolving to apply to the Government of India for the continuance of a pension of 84 Rs. a month to the children of the deceased incumbent, the allowance ceasing in the case of boys at the age of 21; and in the case of girls at the same age or on marriage proper.

ENCLOSURE No. 3.

Statement showing the Names and Ages of the several Members of the Family left by the deceased Mahomed Jaffer Hussain Khan.

No.	Names.	Age.	How related to the deceased.	Remarks.
1	Mariem Begum	35	(Shadi) widow.	
2	Mahomed Motafur Khan	16	Son by do.	
3	Gulam Mahomed Khan	8	Do. do.	
4	Asumathalli Shan Begum	12	Daughter do.	
5	Kyurallu do. do.	10	Do. do.	
6	Zeenatim Missa Begum	35	(Nicka) do.	
7	Amotholli Hussain Kalam	45		
8	Oossainalliraja Kalam	50	Maternal uncle's son.	
9	Mahomed Mathin	38		
10	Gulam Kadar	10	Sons of maternal uncle's deceased	
11	Hussain Modeen	8	sons.	
12	Raburanni Missa Begum	35	Daughter of do. do. in Madras.	

(Signed) A. L. LISTER,
Acting Sub-Collector.

(True Copy.)

(Signed) W. S. WHITESIDE,
Collector.

(True Copy.)

(Signed) J. HUNTER-BLAIR,
Collector of Madras.

ENCLOSURES Nos. 4 to 6.—Petitions.

As these papers contain little information regarding the family's present circumstances and means of support, the Collector of North Arcot, in whose district the family now resides, will be good enough to inquire and report on these points.

2. As it appears that the family has at different times resided in Madras, North Arcot, South Arcot, and Trichinopoly, the Collector of these districts are requested to report at an early date, whether the family has any property in their respective districts, and, if so, to state its nature, extent, and value.

(True Copies and Extract.)

(Signed) C. A. GALTON,
Acting Sub-Secretary.

To the Collector of Madras.

„ the Collector of North Arcot, with Petitions Nos. 2,455 and 2,925 of 1874, and Nos. 154 and 990 of 1875.

„ the Collector of South Arcot, with copy of Board's Proceedings, dated 23rd January 1875, Miscellaneous No. 237.

„ the Collector of Trichinopoly, with do.

Exd. W. H. French.

Particulars of Property in the Trichinopoly District.

Lot No. 1—Acres { 10.71 wet.
8.46 dry.

MORTGAGED in 1868 for Rupees 2,700 and sold September 1875 for Rupees 3,000.

Lot No. 2—Acres .75 dry.

This and a house sold December 1875 for Rupees 50.

Mortgaged for Rupees 200 February 1876.

Lot No. 3—Acres { 1.50 wet.
5.46 dry.

Sold for Rupees 10 December 1875.

Lot No. 4—Acres .19 wet.

Do. do. 95 do. do.

„ „ 5— „ .87 dry.

Do. do. 95 do. do.

„ „ 6— „ 2.6 dry.

Portion sold for Rupees 50 December 1875, and the rest given away in consideration of service rendered.

Portion sold contains many fruit trees and was formerly the Jaghiredar's garden.

Lot No. 8—Acres 5.71 dry.

Given in exchange for other land included in Lot No. 1.

Lot No. 9—Acres 2.32 dry.

No encumbrance; is in possession of the family. Value Rupees 20.

Lot No. 10—Acres { 4.44 wet.
10.93 dry.

Mortgaged in 1868 for Rupees 1,000 and sold September 1875 for the same sum which Deputy Collector says is much below its value, the wet portion alone being worth much more.

below its value, the wet portion alone being worth much more.

Lot No. 11—Acres 1.90 wet.

Sold in settlement of outstanding claims.

Lot No. 12—Acres { 1.66 wet.
1.69 dry.

Said to have been sold in 1872 for Rupees 150. Sale-deed lost.

Lot No. 13—Acres 4.72 wet.

Sold September 1875 for Rs. 2,000 in liquidation of previous mortgage.

Lot No. 14—Acres 3.1 wet.

Said to be Mosque endowment. No document in support of grant.

Lot No. 15—Acres { .28 wet.
1.96 dry.

Portion let to a person who pays the assessment, the rest attached for arrears of revenue.

Lot No. 16—Acres 5.70 dry.

Let to a person who pays the assessment.

There is an old fort belonging to the family which has no money value but for its stone for which there is no demand. The Collector says it is a picturesque object of some historical interest and he hopes it will be preserved.

1875.
Board of Revenue,
MADRAS.

PROCEEDINGS, 30TH JULY 1875, No. 2,140.

Received

JULY.
No. 2,140.

Yeomich Pension—of Rupees 250 per mensem enjoyed by the deceased Mahomed Jaffer Hussain Khan.—Collector of North Arcot to report regarding present circumstances and means of subsistence possessed by the family of the deceased. Certain other Collectors to report whether the family has any property in their districts, and, if so, to state its nature, extent, and value.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 27th September 1876.

Read again Board's Miscellaneous Proceedings, dated 13th January 1875, No. 237.

Read also the following papers:—

From W. S. WHITESIDE, Esq., Collector of North Arcot, to H. E. STOKES, Esq., Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Palmanair, 30th September 1875, No. 529.

IN returning herewith the Petitions, Nos. 2,455 and 2,925 of 1874 and Nos. 154 and 990 of 1875, received with the Board's Proceedings, dated 30th July last, No. 2,140, I have the honor to state that from the report of the Tahsildar of Vellore it appears that Mahomed Motafar Khan, the eldest son of the late Jaghiredar of Rangangadi, possesses Inam lands in the town of Arcot measuring Cawnies 31-14-0 enfranchised by the Inam Commissioner, and a house in the Vellore Town, the former worth about Rs. 700 and the latter Rupees 200. A portion of the Inam lands has been leased out for building purposes to some persons who pay an annual rent of Rupees 210, the other portion being left waste from time immemorial as it is not fit for cultivation. Of the above sum, Rupees 90 are paid annually on account of the salary of a servant employed in collecting rent and as quit-rent due on the Inam in question. The difference between these two sums, viz., Rupees 120, is paid to the niece widow, Zenatim Nissa Begum, as interest on a partition bond of Rupees 1,000 executed by the eldest son of the Jaghiredar on mortgaging the Inam lands and the house above alluded to. Besides this, the family has contracted debts to the extent of Rupees 1,000 on the mortgage of certain jewels. Under these circumstances, it will be seen that the family of the late Jaghiredar is by no means in a prosperous condition.

ENCLOSURES Nos. 1 to 4.—Petitions.

From J. H. GARSTIN, Esq., Collector of South Arcot, to H. E. STOKES, Esq., Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Cuddalore, 25th November 1875, No. 507.

IN reply to the Board's Proceedings of the 30th July, No. 2,140, I have the honor to enclose an abstract of a report from the Tittagudi Deputy Tahsildar relative to the property possessed by the late Mahomed Jaffer Hussain Khan, Jaghiredar of Rangangadi.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.

Abstract of an Arzi from the Deputy Tahsildar of Tittagudi.

It appears that none of the relatives of the late Mahomed Jaffer Hussain Khan, Jaghiredar of Rangangadi, formerly resided or now resides in the village of Tittagudi or in any other village of that division. He had Cawnies 33-10-3 of punjah worth Rupees 350, Cawnies 4-4-0 of nunjah worth Rupees 1,000, and a house and shed worth Rupees 200, in the village of Malayanoor, in Virdachellum Taluq. Of these, Cawnies 36-3-3 of punjah and nunjah are mortgaged to one Veerachi Reddy of Sirupakam for Rupees 2,606-4-0. In addition to this, the mortgagee is also in enjoyment of Cawnies 0-11-0 of the land; the remaining 1 Cawny of punjah has been given by the Jaghiredar to one Syed Rahiman alias Syed Jalal Sahib. Some of the late Jaghiredar's relations (fifty-nine in number, including some servants) reside at Vellore and ten others at Triplicane. One

Alli Raja Sahib Kalami, late Jaghiredar's grandson by his daughter, who holds a Power of Attorney from the widow of the said Mahomed Jaffer Hussain Khan Bahadoor, resides at Rangangadi. This man and one Saravana Pillay who had been in the service of the Jaghiredar (it appears his forefathers also were in the service of the Jaghiredar's family) state that, besides the above-said property, the Jaghiredar possessed also property (land, trees, and fort), valued at Rupees 17,885, in seven villages in the taluq of Perambalore in the district of Trichinopoly. He also owned twenty-four godowns or shops worth Rupees 10,000 at Triplicane, and a tiled house of 150 Rupees value at Vellore. Of the property situated in the Perambalore Taluq, Rupees 7,216 worth has been mortgaged for Rupees 6,425 and the mortgagees are in the enjoyment thereof, the remainder 469 Rupees worth having been endowed for the maintenance of a Musjeed, &c. The shops at Triplicane are rented out and the income therefrom, amounting to between 50 and 70 Rupees a-month, is appropriated by the Jaghiredar's widow towards the cost of the establishment and repair of the shops and the liquidation of debts incurred by the Jaghiredar, and the house at Vellore is in the occupation of the Jaghiredar's widow and children.

(Signed) J. H. GARSTIN,
Collector.

From H. SEWELL, Esq., Acting Collector of Trichinopoly, to C. A. GALTON, Esq.,
Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated 12th April 1876, No. 89.

ADVERTING to the Board's Proceedings, dated 30th July 1875, No. 2,140, I have the honor to submit the following report.

2. The family of the deceased Mahomed Jaffer Khan possesses property no where in the district except Perambalore Taluq.

	Rs.	
23.72 Acres of wet land situated in seven villages and valued at ...	5,717	3. The property consists of the items noted in the margin, but it is stated to be heavily encumbered with debt, not a single acre being in the possession of the family.
49 Acres of dry land do. do. ...	1,948	
20 Tamarind trees do. do. ...	20	
3 Buildings do. do. ...	200	
Total ...	7,885	4. The Tahsildar of Perambalore, in submitting his report on the subject in October last, simply stated that the lands

in question were in the enjoyment of persons who have advanced money upon them. Not satisfied with this vague statement, I required him to find out upon what title they enjoyed the land, and after a protracted delay and repeated calls he submitted the result of his inquiry, which I still think not complete. It now turns out that most of the documents upon which these money-lenders base their claim are of recent dates, so recent as 24th February last, in some cases that the Deputy Collector in charge of the division doubts their genuineness. Further inquiries regarding them are being made, and the result will be communicated in due course.

5. There is a fort in Thevayur belonging to the family which goes by the name of Rangangadi Fort. It is a fine building and in tolerable preservation, though greatly overgrown with prickly-pear. The fort has no money value except on account of its stones, and there is not likely to be any demand for them. It is a picturesque object and of some historical interest, and I hope it will be preserved. I entered it in the list of buildings sent to Board.

From the same Officer, dated 7th August 1876, No. 206.

REFERRING to my letter, No. 89, dated the 12th of April last, I have the honor to inform you that I have received a further report from the Deputy Collector on General Duties, and the following particulars are elicited therefrom.

2. The extent given in my letter, No. 89, dated the 12th of April last, was, for convenience of reference, divided in the statement furnished by the Deputy Collector on the 6th idem into sixteen lots as follows:—

Lot No.	Village.	Wet.	Dry.
1	Thevayur ...	9.57	4.69
2	Do. ...	...	.75
3	Do. ...	1.50	5.46
4	Do. ...	.19	...
5	Do. ...	...	.87
6	Do. ...	...	2.6
7	Do. ...	...	3.85
8	Do. ...	...	5.71
9	Mungalum ...	...	2.32
10	Do. ...	4.40	10.93
11	Do. ...	1.90	...
12	Mettupalaiyam...	1.16	1.69
13	Tirumandurai ...	4.72	...
14	Koneripalaiyem..	...	3.1
15	Neikuppai ...	.28	1.96
16	Veppanthatei ...	...	5.70
	Total ...	23.72	49.

3. Lot No. 1.—The Deputy Collector's statement above referred to sets forth that the lands comprised in this lot were, with the exception of a portion thereof, viz., acres .88 wet and acres 1.21 dry, mortgaged to one Venkatachella Reddi for Rupees 2,700 by Mariyam Begam, the Jaghiredar's widow, under a deed of mortgage, dated the 28th of October 1868, and that the entire lot was sold by her to Krishna Reddi, son of the said Venkatachella Reddy, for Rupees 3,000 under a deed of sale, dated the 24th of September 1875, and registered on the 18th December following.

(1.) The statement now submitted shows that the lands conveyed under the deed of sale in question were acres 10.71 wet and acres 8.46 dry. The difference, viz., acres 1.14 wet and acres 3.77 dry, does not form a part of the extent entered in my letter No. 89, but appears to be quite a new block not hitherto accounted for.

(2.) The Deputy Collector states that the lands parted with in excess of the mortgaged portion are worth several times the sum (Rupees 300) for which they have now been sold.

No. 1,663 ...	.75 dry.	margin. In the papers forwarded in the
" 94-C ...	5.46 "	first instance, No. 1,663 was stated to
" 95-B ...	1.50 wet.	have been mortgaged to one Saravana
Total ...	7.71	Pillay for Rupees 190, Nos. 94-B and 94-C
		to have been held by him, the former as

additional security for the loan and the latter as a subsistence grant made to him by the Jaghiredar in recognition of the services rendered by him.

5. In the papers now submitted, No. 1,663, valued at Rupees 5, together with a house ground, is stated to have been sold to the said Saravana Pillay by Allirayakalam, authorized agent of the Jaghiredar, for Rupees 50 under an unregistered deed of sale, dated the 15th of December 1875, and Nos. 94-B and 94-C to have been mortgaged to one Nattu Nallapareddi for Rupees 200 under a deed, dated the 21st February 1876,

executed to him by the said agent. The incongruity of the two statements is not explained. The sale deed in question does not allude to the alleged previous mortgage.

6. The Lot No. 4 containing 19 decimals of wet land, valued at Rupees 20, was in the original statement stated to have been mortgaged to one Lakshmana Naiken for Rupees 20. The papers now submitted show that it was sold to him by the aforesaid agent for Rupees 10 under a deed of sale, dated the 19th December 1875. The sale-deed contains no reference to the previous mortgage.

7. The Lots Nos. 5 and 6, valued each at Rupees 100, were sold by the aforesaid agent, the former for Rupees 95 to one Muthi Nallappa Reddy on the 19th December 1875, and the latter for the same sum to one Nattu Subbi Reddy on the following day.

8. The Lot No. 7 consisting of three fields as per margin was in the former statement said to be mortgaged to one Khadar Hussain. The papers now sent show that Field No. 26 was given to him as a remuneration for his service under the late Jaghiredar, and that the remaining two fields were sold by the agent to the aforesaid Saravana Pillay and Lakshmana Naiken on the 19th December 1875 for Rs. 50. These two fields are said to be rich with fruit trees and are supposed to have been the Jaghiredar's garden once.

S. No.	dry...	A.	D.
26	dry...	0	98
28	"	0	33
90	"	2	49
		3	85

9. The Lot No. 8 was in the original statement stated to be the manim assigned for the support of the Musjeed within the Rangangadi Fort. The information now furnished shows that it was on the 24th February 1876 given to the aforesaid Lakshmana Naiken in exchange for certain other land included in Lot No. 1.

10. The Lot No. 9 is not encumbered. It is valued at Rupees 20 and is in possession of the family.

11. Lot No. 10, valued at Rupees 1,200, was mortgaged for Rupees 1,000 to one Muthi Nallappa Reddy under a deed of mortgage of the 9th October 1868. He transferred the deed to another, Lenganam Nallappa Reddy, on the 7th January 1874, and the latter purchased the lot for the same sum from the Jaghiredar's widow on the 24th September 1875. The Deputy Collector is of opinion that the property is undervalued and that the wet portion of the alienated extent ought to have alone fetched far more than the alleged purchase money.

12. Lot No. 11, valued at Rupees 170, was sold on the 23rd February 1876 by the agent in two parts for Rupees 99 and Rupees 43-2-0 to the aforesaid Saravana Pilla and Lakshmana Naik respectively in settlement of certain alleged previous mortgage debts and other dealings.

13. Lot No. 12 is said to have been sold to one Adinapudiyar for Rupees 150 by the late Jaghiredar in 1872. The sale-deeds are alleged to have been lost.

14. Lot No. 13 was sold by the Jaghiredar's widow to one Muthi Reddy for Rupees 2,000 on the 25th September 1875 in liquidation of certain previous mortgage.

15. Lot No. 14 is an endowment of a pagoda at Perambalore; the grant is not supported by any document.

16. A portion of Lot No. 15 has been let to one Ibrahim Beg who pays the assessment. The other portion is under attachment for Government dues.

17. Lot No. 16 is let out to one Mannaur Udaiyan who pays taram assessment on it.

18. From the foregoing the Board will perceive that (with the exception of Lots 9, 15, and 16, acres 28 wet and acres 9-98 dry in extent which seem to belong still to the Jaghiredar's family, and Lot No. 14 which is said to form an endowment made in favor of a pagoda) all the other lots have, save Lot No. 12 alleged to have been sold by the Jaghiredar in 1872, been alienated chiefly by sale and partly by mortgage since September 1875. The Deputy Collector entertains grave doubts as to the good faith with which the alienations in question have been made, chiefly because these alienations were made subsequent to the inquiry instituted under Board's Proceedings, No. 2,140, dated the 30th of July 1875, and the consideration in some cases for which the property has been parted with is barely nominal.

19. This information has been obtained mainly from Saravana Pillay, one of the principal purchasers. The extent of holdings as given in my former letter was acres 23-72 wet and acres 49 dry; the accounts now received show an increase of acres 1-14 wet and acres 3-77 dry under Lot No. 1.

20. I must apologise for the delay in submitting this final report, but the Board will see that the matter is somewhat complicated and the inquiry into details necessarily took time.

From C. CUNDASAWMY MOODELLIAR, Acting Deputy Collector, to J. HUNTER-BLAIR, Esq., Collector of Madras, dated Madras, 20th October 1875, No. 1,360,

ADVERTING to your Official Memorandum, No. 761, of 11th August last, requesting information as to the property left by deceased Nawab Jaffer Hussain Khan Bahadoor in Madras and referred to in Revenue Board's Proceedings, No. 2,140, of 30th July last, I have the honor to inform you that the deceased Nawab is reported to have a house property in Triplicane, Mylapore, High Road Survey No. 350, extent eight grounds and odd, and yielding a monthly rental of 40 Rupees.

2. This property it is also reported is mortgaged, but to whom and for what amount cannot be ascertained.

3. It does not appear that he possesses any other property in Madras.

No. 349.

SUBMITTED to the Board of Revenue with reference to paragraph 2 of their Proceedings, No. 2,140, dated 30th July 1875.

MADRAS,
26th October 1875.

(Signed) J. HUNTER-BLAIR,
Collector of Madras.

From the same Officer, dated 27th October 1875, No. 1,402.

In continuation of my letter, No. 1,360, of the 20th instant, I have the honor to report that from further inquiries instituted it appears that Jaffer Hussain Khan Bahadoor's house in Triplicane is mortgaged to one Kanchi Kristna Row for 250 Rupees, the house itself being worth about 5,000 Rupees.

No. 359.

Submitted to the Board of Revenue with reference to their Proceedings, No. 2,140, dated 30th July 1875, in continuation of this office docket, No. 349, of the 26th October 1875.

MADRAS,
2nd November, 1875.

(Signed) J. HUNTER-BLAIR,
Collector of Madras.

THE Board's Petitions Nos. 2,455 and 2,925 will be submitted for the orders of Government.

2. A reduced pension of Rupees 250 a-month was continued to Mahomed Jaffer Hussain Khan Bahadoor for life as sanctioned by the Government of India—G.O., dated 14th June 1871, No. 182, Political Department. He died in 1874 leaving two widows (one niece) and two sons and two daughters by his shadi wife. His eldest son Mahomed Motafar Khan petitioned the Board requesting the continuance of the pension for the support of the family, which he said was left quite destitute and wholly unprovided for. The petition was referred* to

* Board's Procs., 13th January 1875, No. 237. the Collector of Madras for report in communication with the Collector of North Arcot where the family resided, and with Mahomed Yusuf, Magistrate of Madras, who was supposed to be acquainted with the circumstances of the family. As the information afforded was meagre, and as the family had at different times resided in Madras, North Arcot, South Arcot, and Trichinopoly, the Collectors of these districts were required to report the nature, extent, and value of the property belonging to the family in their respective districts—Board's Proceedings, dated 30th July 1875, No. 2,140.

3. From the replies received it appears that the family has property in each of the districts above mentioned.

In North Arcot† they have Inam land, Cawnies 31-14-0, valued at Rupees 700, and a house, value Rupees 200, both mortgaged since the pensioner's death for Rs. 1,000. † Letter, dated 30th September 1875, No. 529. The net income of the Inam, Rupees 120 a-year, is paid to the niece widow as interest on a partition bond for Rupees 1,000. Some jewels are pawned for Rupees 1,000.

In Madras‡ there is house which is valued at Rupees 5,000 and rents for Rs. 40 a-month, but it is said to be mortgaged for Rupees 250. Mahomed Yusuf says§ he heard that the deceased had some rice bazaars in Triplicane, but the Madras Deputy Collector only mentions the house above referred to.

§ Letter, 26th Oct. and 2nd Nov. 1875. § Letter recorded in Bd's Procs., 30th July 1875. South Arcot.—It appears from the Tahsildar's report that in this district there are Cawnies 37-14-3, valued at Rupees 1,350, and a house worth Rupees 200. Cawnies 36 are mortgaged for Rupees 2,606-4-0 and the mortgagee is in possession. One Cawny has been given away. The Tahsildar also gives information obtained from persons acquainted with the family regarding property in Trichinopoly and Triplicane, viz., Trichinopoly, land, trees, and fort, valued at Rupees 17,885, of which land, valued at Rupees 7,216, is mortgaged for Rupees 6,425 and the mortgagees are in possession. The rest of the land, valued at Rupees 469, is said to be a Mosque endowment. In

1801
Triplicane there are said to be twenty-four godowns or shops worth Rupees 10,000, and a pension for between Rupees 50 and 70 a-month, which is received by the pensioner's widow. These appear to be the rice bazaars referred to by Mahomed Yusuf but of which the Madras Deputy Collector makes no mention.

Trichinopoly.—In this district the family had in seven villages acres 24-86 wet and acres 52-77 dry as detailed in the annexed statement, but of this they now have only acres 28 wet and 9-98 dry. With this exception and Lot No. 14, which is said to be religious endowment all has been sold or mortgaged and for the most part only recently since September 1875, except Lot No. 12 which was sold in 1872. The Deputy Collector entertains grave doubts as to the *bona fides* of the alienations, because they were made subsequent to Board's Proceedings, dated 30th July 1875, directing

* See list annexed.

inquiry as to the circumstances of the family and the consideration in some of the cases is barely nominal.*

4. The pension of Rupees 250 a-month was continued to the deceased in express terms for life, and his eldest son requests its continuance for the support of the family in consideration of its being "left destitute and wholly unprovided for," a statement which is now shown to be exaggerated. The Board see no sufficient reason for recommending the continuance of the pension either in full or in part, and consider that there are no circumstances in the case which entitle the claimant to any special indulgence.

(True Copies and Extract.)

E. W. J. Lewis
Acting Sub-Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department,
with 2 Petitions, List and copies of Board's Proceedings,
dated 13th January 1875, Miscellaneous No. 237,
and 30th July 1875, No. 2,140.

Copy to the Collector of Madras.

" " " of North Arcot.
" " " of South Arcot.
" " " of Trichinopoly.

Ed. W. J. Lewis.

R. & 1801/2

Prop. Bd. Rev. 27/9/76

No 2446.

I think it will be harsh to withdraw at once all pensionary assistance to this family - The last pensioner has left a very large family - many of them young - I should be disposed to make for the future of India to allow a further continuance of Rs 84 per mensem 1/3rd of the village of the Tajin was continued up cash pension - & that this should be the last continuance - All reasons for continuing

This is a case of a rapid expansion, last enjoyed by a man who was the representative of a military feudatory or retainer of the habits of the farmatic - styled Jajindar of Panjagadi - 2. There were 31 of them Jajins at the ceiling of the farmatic - they were mostly resumed - This one shared that fate, but as the Ben Tajindar had been granted by the British Govt - an allowance equivalent to the rent of the village of the Tajin was continued to him, for life.

This grant, in successively reduced proportions - has however, from motives of compassion - been continued to

- 1st - his son,
- 2^d - the son's widow
- 3^d - the surviving male representative of the family.

3. The 3^d is now dead & the family endeavor to establish a claim to a further stoppage of Rs 84 Nupers on the ground of poverty, but the Bd. think has not been made out; & they decline to support it.
4. He taken to C. I. of 18/9-55, & the memo attached to the taken to same of Oct 25/70 are the previous references.
5. 22 Nupers per

Q.O. 20/10/76/104

Dec 1876

1875. 0704333

Board of Revenue,
MADRAS.

PROCEEDINGS, 27TH SEPTEMBER 1876, No. 2446.

Dec 1876

Received No.

SEPTEMBER.

No. 2446.

Yomich Pension - of Rupees 250 a-month enjoyed by deceased Mahomed Jaffer Hussain Khan - Submitting to Government Board reviews with reference to petitions from Mahomed Mostafar Khan praying for continuance of -

N. 4/7/76
20/10/76
15/11/76
1/12/76

The persons allowed to
each ^{son} to cease on ~~the~~

Respective persons allowing
age of 21 - & of each
daughter on attaining that
age or marrying -

This would I think effect
what is needed - My 19/6

Case of a
Lapsed stipend

for orders

2288
1874
10/9/74

2456
1874
2/10/74

The Honorable the President
and Members of the Board of Revenue
Madras.

The humble Petition of Mohun
Motahar Khan eldest son of the
late Nawab Mohunmud Jaffer
Hussain Khan Bahadur Jagheer
of Rungunada, Trichinopoly
District and now at Madras.

Respectfully Sheweth.

That your Honorable Board
petitioner who is the lineal descendant of the
original Jagheerdar respectfully begs leave
to bring to the notice of the Board of Revenue
the deeply lamented demise of his father who
unexpected melancholy event occurred on the
26th July 1874.

2. That to convince the Honorable Board
of the illustrious nature of the Family of
which the Petitioner is a direct heir and
representative he has taken the opportunity
of enclosing herein Copy of the Petition presented
by his late Father on the 15th August 1870

marked A.

which

As there is a large family
many of them very young, a
petition will be made to the
G. I. for a pension of Rs 400,
being Rs 200 a month for
each child: - the allowance to
cease, in the case of the 2 boys,
at the age of 21; and in the
case of the 2 girls, at the same
age unless they are married
dooner.

11/6 B. R. M.
2 Acct. Sub. 23-2-77

Oct 6. His Grace. 15. Nov R 17. Nov
C 1. Nov 16. 23. Nov 16.

which having been duly considered as it deserves, the Petitioner's Father's Claim was in accordance with the principles of Equity and Justice and in consideration of the Meritorious Services rendered by the Petitioner's ancestors the particulars of which have been fully described in the petition referred to above and having had in view the extensive benefit which the Madras Government derive by the resumption of the valuable Jaghire of Rungungada which yielded a very large revenue annually, justly and properly treated and he was granted the Jaghire Stipend of Rs: 250 per mensem which proved to be quite inadequate to maintain his large Family and dependants.

3. That by the demise of the late Nawab Akhunnud Jaffer Hoossain Khan Bahadur the petitioner, his brother and sisters (orphans) his mother and another Nika widow of the deceased as well as the several members of the Family of the former Jagheerdar's sister, Inceeroo Nesa Begum who were supported by petitioner's Father and many other old dependants are now left quite destitute and wholly unprovided for, and that as the petitioner's father who was admitted as rightful heir and granted the pension was not destined to enjoy the same for more

1807/5
than 3 years, the same having been granted him only in June 1871, but was cut off in the prime of his youth while endeavouring to obtain his proper and well-founded right of the full pension of Rs: 500 enjoyed by his aunt and adoptive mother Zahra Begum Sahib the petitioner therefore cannot but be deemed worthy of the bounty of Government.

4. And that the petitioner having been informed that the hereditary Jaghire stipend which was enjoyed by the demise of the Petitioner's Father is one which should in accordance with the provisions made in the Despatch of the late Honorable Court of Directors dated 11 March 1846 be continued in the name of his son, the petitioner respectfully begs leave to solicit the favor of the Honorable Board interceding in behalf of the petitioner and others of his Family as also other dependants ^{&c} who are altogether about 50 in number and whose support, entirely devolves on your petitioner, and laying the same with their best recommendation and support before the Government for the continuance in his name of the Jaghire Stipend enjoyed by his late Father, as the Board of Revenue have in their standing order No: 178 expressly stated that pensions granted in

lieu

heir of Jaghira will not be declared extinct until the existence of the Family of the original grantee notwithstanding the length of time which might elapse in their existence.

5. And your Honorable Board's petitioner further begs leave to state that his request will not be found an unprecedented one as several other similar cases will be found in the Records of the Board of Revenue as well as Government.

6. Under these special circumstances and for the cogent reasons mentioned above petitioner most fervently and humbly craves be generous & graciously and mercifully pleased to continue in his name the full stipend of Rs. 250 per mensem enjoyed by his late and lamented Father and will not allow the descendants of a Family once so noble and exalted to perish under degradation and misery, as the Jaghira stipend now claimed for has, by documentary evidences in the Records of the Government and the Revenue Board, been acknowledged as perpetual, and the petitioner is the legal

heir

180/16
heir according to Law and usage.

For which act of benevolence petitioner as in duty bound

Shall ever pray.

محمد عرفان

General Patter's Road

Madras

Door No: 1

9th September 1874

Mohammed Motobar Khan

Re. Submitted for the favourable Consideration
of the Board being

1st October 1874

محمد عرفان

(Copy)

1870

To The Right Honorable
Francis Lord Napier
Governor in Council
Fort Saint George.

The humble address of Mahomed
Jaffer Hussain Khan Bahadur,
the legal heir and Head of the
family of the late Nabob
Matalabar Khan Bahadur
Taher Sufder Jung Joghirdar
of Rungjunge, and nephew
of Zorah Baigum deceased.

Most Respectfully Sheweth,

The the said Zorah Baigum
who was in receipt of Rupees 600 a month
as the widow of the late Matalabar Khan
Bahadur the last Joghirdar of Rungjunge
in the District of Trichinopoly, departed this
life on the 14th May 1870, and that consequent
on her death, the allowance has ceased to be
disbursed

disbursed.

2. The object of the present address is to submit for consideration, certain facts connected with the original grant of the pension in view that on a consideration of the circumstances set forth it may please the Government to continue the allowance.

3. That the Jaghirs of Runjungada was originally conferred by the Emperor of Delhi as per ^{*}Perwans Dated 10th Rasmjan 1116 Hijry.

^{*} Referred to in clause I of Perwans of this address.

4. As per ^{*}Perwannah the abstract translation of which is herein submitted the Jaghirdar was styled with the word "Mousavir Carnatic" (Assistant to the Carnatic) and the enclosed original documents marked B.C. & D. can show that Petitioner's Ancestors were addressed as Nabob by the Nabobs of the Carnatic as equal in position, but they were not servants of the Nabobs of the Carnatic as has been supposed.

^{*} which can be submitted if necessary.

Translations accompany

5. On the demise of Ahmed Tahir Khan the first Jaghirdar, the Jaghirs was enjoyed by his son Mahomed Ally styled Aliid Khan (the maternal grand son of the

Appendix EXT
(the original Perwannahs can be submitted when required.)

the late Nabob of the Carnatic Sad ulloah Khan Bahadur) and not by Mahomed Hussain Khan Bahadur. Tahir after his death the Jaghirs was conferred to his son the above mentioned Mahomed Hussain Khan Bahadur Tahir who fell a victim in action in the year 1789 when rendering assistance to the Carnatic against Chunda Sahab and the French.

6. After the death of the said Mahomed Hussain Khan Bahadur Tahir, the Jaghirs passed to his son Moatabar Khan Bahadur Tahir a favorite of the late Nabob Wallajah and on his demise to his eldest son Ahmed Tahir Khan styled Mahomed Hussain Khan Bahadur on whose death it devolved on his nephew and adopted son Mahomed Hussain Khan Bahadur Tahir who was in the uninterrupted enjoyment of the same up to the year 1801. when the Carnatic was ceded to the British Government as per Ratified Treaty of 1801. between the Nabob of the Carnatic and the late Honorable East India Company. The Jaghirs having then been resumed by the British Government, it was evidently on a question of Pecuniary, it was restored

restored in 1804 to the said Mahomed Hulsaim
Khan Bahadur Sahib in consideration of the
valuable assistance rendered to the British
Government, and on the demise of Mahomed
Hulsaim Khan Bahadur Sahib in 1815 the
Jaghir was again resumed by the Govern-
ment and a comparatively small pension
of 6000 Pagodas or 21000 Rupees per annum
was sanctioned in the name of his son
Moostab Khan Bahadur Sahib who died
in 1854 leaving a large family encumbered
with debt, in consequence of the distressed
condition of the late Moostab Khan's
family, the Board of Revenue recommended
the continuance of the moiety of the pension
in Petitioner's name, that the Government
were pleased to sanction a pension of only
Rupees 500 per mensem in the name of
the aged widow Zorab Baigum Sahiba
That this old Lady after enjoying the
pension for a short period of 15 years has
now breathed her last, leaving a grand
daughter who is married to Petitioner the
lawful heir and head of the family that
they have five children together with
several

several other relatives and dependants to maintain
but are really without means of doing so.
That your Lordship's Petitioner
having thus stated the facts connected with
the Jaghir begs to lay before your Lordship
the grounds on which he bases his claims
for the continuance in his name of Zorab
Baigum's Pension.

* As per Perwannah
dated 10th Ramee 1266
116 Hijry

* Appendix marked
A Original case
as produced when
required.

1st That the Jaghir which was
resumed by the British Government was
originally granted to the Petitioner's ancestors
by the Emperor of Delhi as a perpetual
grant as per Perwannah taken by Govern-
ment through the Collector of Ferozepore
after the cession of the Jaghir
the Mirasid Omrah Bahadur
in his letter of condolence (herewith submitted
with Translation) on the occasion of the
demise of the late Ahmed Sahib Khan
styled Mahomed Hulsaim Khan Bahadur
Sahib wrote with reference to the Jaghir
that it will be continued to be enjoyed
by the members of his family in posterity
and that Nobleman's statement may well
be taken as evidence of the fact of the
perwannah.

permanency of the Jaghirs.

2nd That the pension in question is not a charitable grant though it is so stated somewhat erroneously, that it was granted in lieu of the valuable Jaghirs yielding a large annual revenue, that further the pension was originally granted for life no mention to that effect being made in the letter from the Secretary to Government to the Members of the Board of Revenue dated 30th September 1817.

3rd That the British as well as the Mohammedan Government are indebted to Petitioners Ancestors for assistance given at critical times at the risk of their own lives.

4th That the Collector of South Arcot and the Board of Revenue having considered the validity of Petitioners claim as the only heir of the late Moatabar Khan Bahadoor's family recommended the continuance of a pension of Rupees 875 per mensem in Petitioners name as it was the unanimous wish of the family of the deceased Moatabar Khan Bahadoor, that the late Moatabar

Khan

181/3
Khan Bahadoor had also during the life time requested the Honorable Government to transfer his pension in the name of the Petitioner, and even the late Zorah Baigum and her grand daughter had in 1855 & 56 applied to the Government to the same effect and that it is now the wish of the Petitioners wife who is the only surviving grand daughter of the late Moatabar Khan that the late Zorah Baigum's pension should now be continued in Petitioners name.

* Vide Pro. of the Board of Revenue 17th August 1856 & 1857. Vide letter from the Board of Revenue to Govt. of 30th January 1858 N. 525.

5th That the correspondence entered into by the late Zorah Baigum and her grand daughter clearly established the fact of the Petitioner's assertion that the Pension was intended for the support of the family and certainly not to their exclusion to the advantage of any one single member. That on the death of the late Ahmed Sahir Khan the late Moatabar Hussain Khan Bahadoor Sahir continued to enjoy the Jaghirs of Purnjunga on the ground that he was the adopted son and nephew of the former taking Petitioners claim in the very same light. Petitioners begs to state he has every right to claim the

the continuance in his name of the Pension
enjoyed by the late Nooratab Khan and
Zorah Baigum Sahiba as Petitioner is
descended from Mohammed Zewan Khan the
second son of Ahmed Sahir Khan 1st Jaghirdar
of Runjungad and connected with the
above Jaghirdar's family both by the male
and female lines, that is Paternal and Maternal
grand son of Ahmed Sahir Khan Jaghirdar
and the nephew of the late Zorah Baigum
Sahiba, and not that Petitioner is only the
son of a female cousin of the last recipient
or erroneously supposed as will be seen by the
Genealogical Tree. The name of Aliid Khan * Appendix H
which seems to have been entirely omitted
should have preceded Mahomed Hussain Khan
Bahadur Sahir, Doubtless these misstatements
have had a great share in misleading the
Government as to the Petitioner's position
and claims.

7th That in the 9th Article of the ratified
Treaty of 1801. between the Honorable East
India Company and the Nabob Azemood Dowlat,
the British Government engaged to take into
consideration

180/2
consideration the actual situation of the
families of their Highness the Nabobs Wallajah
and Comdath Comrah Bahadur as well as
the situation of the principal officers of His
late Highness Government and the British
Government engaged to charge itself with the
expense of suitable provision for their respective
maintenance.

8th That the late Court of Directors who
had a perfect knowledge of the affairs of the
Carnatic after a series of correspondences on
the subject were pleased to direct the Madras
Government by their despatch N^o 1 of 1850
dated 22nd May Para 51 to the following effect
The Acting Government Agent Dr. Balfour
considers the rules which relate to the Carnatic
stipends too, favourable for the case of
what are called Jaghire Pension, many of
these having been originally granted for life
only. We are of opinion however that the
rule ought not to be that of reduction as an
successive lapses since as we have repeatedly
remarked such a rule is liable to interfere
with the life interests of persons receiving
and

and entitled to receive support from the stipends,
we are willing that each of these cases should
be decided by Government on its own particular
merits.

9th That the similar pensions granted
to Jaghirdars of Sunkrapoorina have been
up to the present moment continued to
the heir of the original grantees and that none
of the surviving relatives and dependants are
now left without the means of support.

8. That Petitioner trusts that on
a consideration of the above grounds, the
Government will be able to admit the validity
of the Petitioner's claim on behalf of himself
and other relatives and dependants of the late
Moutabar Khan whose ancestors rendered
meritorious and valuable assistance to the
British Government. Petitioner would here
observe that, while the Government are
indebted to the Petitioner's family for the special
services rendered in critical times it is difficult
to conceive that its recognition by the British
Government should be characterized by the
resumption of the Jaghire reduction of allowances
and

and finally by its treatment as a life grant,
when the position of the Petitioner and his
family for centuries rendered it impracticable
to seek a livelihood by other means, and he would
further state that unless indeed the British
Government were pleased to protect the
interests of the family, it must as a sequence
be utterly ruined.

9. That by the Government justly
granting the Petitioner's request Petitioner's
family will not only be made partakes of
the Government's kind patronage but they
will be greatly relieved from the trouble
debts contracted by the late Goral Brigham
Sahaba and Moutabar Khan Bahadur for
the due support of the family owing to the
inadequacy of the pension passed to them.

10. And in conclusion your Petitioner
most respectfully solicits that the Government
will after looking to the antiquity of the family
the high position which they have occupied
and the assistance which Petitioner's ancestors
rendered to the British Government and also
the value of the Jaghire resumed with, be pleased
to continue in Petitioner's name for the support.

of

of the family as already recommended by the Collector of South Arcot and the Board of Revenue the pension drawn by the late Zorah Baigum Sahiba, Petitioner who in the first instance was admitted to have just claims to the continuance of the allowance in her hand of the family, but which however was granted by Government to Zorah Baigum Sahiba respectfully prays that her position may now be recognized and the pension continued to her, the object of its grant being for the support of the family. It is for the Government to treat the case with that peculiar consideration which has characterized its proceedings towards others in like family circumstances. For which act of justice and benevolence your Lordships Petitioner as in duty bound shall ever pray.

Madras
General Posters Road
Class, to Madras
Club Door No 1
15th August 1870.

(Sealed) Mahomed Jaffer Hussain
Khan Bahadur
Jaghirdar of Rungunzaba.

A

1801/27

Dargah Muna
lik Althamud Od
Dowlat Khan Bahadur
Khan Ghia Bahadur
Khan Ghia Bahadur
Mahomed Shah
Badshah Gazy

Ordered that a Munsib of Puzsadi and the title of (Mow-i-Farnat) be conferred upon Ahmed Sahir to the Farnat has been conferred upon Ahmed Sahir Khan alias Mahomed Zaman son of the late Ahmed Sahir Khan.

B

Amir order
Khan Bahadur

It is written to the servants of the Cankar who received salaries that they should in part payment of the salaries receive fanoms according to the market rate as shall be paid by the kind Nabob Sahib Mohommud Hoosain Khan Sahir and should not demand the Rupees only. consider this as a peremptory order.

C A

Be it submitted to the perusal
of the Nabob Sahib. Mohammed Hoofscain
Khan Bahadoor Saifdar Jung who is kind to
the sincere friends may Almighty God keep
him in safety.

Regarding the dependants of Syed
Mohammed Ameer and Syed Mohammed Peer
L. D. A.

The Seal of

Ameer
ool Hoofscain
Khan Bahadoor

D. B

Be it submitted to the perusal
of the Nabob Sahib. Mohammed Hoofscain Khan
Bahadoor Saifdar who is kind to the friends,
may Almighty God keep him in safety.

Moussunool
ool Hoofscain
Khan Bahadoor

Be

E.

Be it known to the Chief and others
Officers of the Village, that a Jaghere yielding
a revenue of eleven Lacks, and Sixty thousand
Dams has been allowed to Mohomed Ally the
Kalladar or the Governor of the Fort of Rungun-
gader attached to the Salug of Larnatic below
the Lyhat. belonging to the said Province from
the date of the demise of Ahmed Sahib Khan.
It is required that the said Lyhat may be left
in charge of his Governor. Afterwards whenever
a formal demand of Assignment shall be
received it may be acted upon accordingly.

(Remark)

From the date of the demise of Ahmed
Sahib Khan who was the Governor of the Fort
of Rungun-gader in the Salug of Larnatic below
the Lyhat of the said Sooba, the Jaghere has been
continued to Mahomed Ally son of the late Khan
and the grand son of the late Sadutoolah Khan
& he has been succeeded his father. It is necessary
that the said may be left in his charge and
things may be done according to the Rules after
the receipt of a Summad.

Summad of Pany's adder has been
conferred on Mahomed Ally son of the late
Ahmed Sahib Khan and that a Summad of
Sadutoolah

Ladopingabee has been conferred on Mahomed
Zamam the second son of the deceased.

E

Muzammil Khan
of
Bichhal.

Muzammil
Khan
Bahadur
Bichhal
Bichhal
Bichhal

Be it known to the Chief and
other officers of the Village, that a jaghira
yielding a revenue of eleven Lacks and sixty
thousand Dams has been allowed to Mahomed
Rufsaan Khan Sahib alias Mahomed Aliid
the Governor of the Fort of Pungungada
attached to the Salug of Carnatic below the
ghat belonging to the said Province from
the date of the demise of Aliid Khan alias
Mahomed Ally. It is required that the said
jaghira may be left in charge of his Gomastah
Afterwards whenever a formal Summad of
assignment shall be received it may be acted
upon accordingly.

(Remark)

From the date of the demise of
Aliid Khan the Governor of the Fort at Pungung
= gada in the Salug of Carnatic below the ghat

of

180/25
of the Subah, a jaghira has been continued to
Mahomed Rofsaan Khan Sahib the son of the
late Khan and he has been succeeded his father.
It is necessary that the said jaghira may be
left in his charge and things may be done
according to Rules after the receipt of a
Summad.

G.

Translation of a Persian letter from Amiroob Khid
Wallajah Comdathool Comrah to Mahomed
Rufsaan Khan Bahadur Sahib dated 7th
day of Rabeesafar 1211 Hijri.

It is known that,

The death of your Maternal Uncle
the late Mahomed Rofsaan Khan Bahadur
Sahib who had a great friendship and
intimacy with us has occasioned great sorrow
and regret. The Divine Will is beyond the
power of any one to resist, and we are from
God and we shall return again to God. It
is required that you be patient and render
consolation and comfort to those who are
left by the deceased behind him.

As

180/2

F-I

Ahmed Sahib Khan the first jaghir dar of
Rungingabad, and the son in law of the late
- late Khan Bahadur the holder of the Curantia

Mahomed Ally Killohid
Khan the grand son of
- late Khan Bahadur
the holder of the Curantia

Mahomed Guman Khan
alias Mahomed Shoor
- late Khan Bahadur

Mahomed Hoofsa Khan
Bahadur Sahib Shaker
married Shahrbanoo
Baigum and of the A
- late Khan Bahadur
alias Fakhersahib

Fatimah
Baigum
B

Ghoolam Mahomed
Khan married
Fatimah Baigum
B

Shahrbanoo
Baigum
A

Mootabar Khan
Bahadur

Mookli
Khan Bahadur
- 1801

Jaffer Baigum
married Ghoolam
Mahomed Khan
alias Fakhersahib
C

Ghoolam Mahomed Khan
alias Fakhersahib
married Jaffer Baigum
C

Mahomed Sahib Khan
Sita Mahomed Baigum
Khan Bahadur

Alid Ally
Khan
Bahadur

Ayashah
Khanum
Baigum D

Jaffer Baigum Khan
Bahadur married
Ayashah Khanum
Baigum D

Ghoolam Mahomed
Khan married
Shurfa Baigum
G

Shahr Banoo
Baigum alias
Bunoo Baigum
E

Mahomed Hussain
Khan Bahadur
married Shahr
Banoo Baigum
E

Coornath
Baigum
F

Fathimah
Baigum

Shirfunnissa
Baigum B
married Ghoolam
Mahomed Khan

Mohideen Baigum Khan Bahadur
married Coornath Baigum
F

Mootabar Khan Bahadur
Sufier Jung married
Baigum - 3

Ameroonissa Baigum
married Mohideen
Hussain Khan J

Mohideen
Hussain
Khan J

Khyroon
Baigum
I

Ghoolam Mahomed
Khan Bahadur married Khyroon
Baigum I

Zaharah Baigum
H

Radroonissa Baigum
married Mahomed Sibgat
- late Hussain Bahadur
K

Mahomed Sibgatullah
Hussain Bahadur
K

Mahomed Jaffer Hussain Khan Bahadur
the petitioner, married Marium Baigum
grand daughter of late Mootabar Khan
Bahadur

Jaffer Baigum
L

Marium Baigum L

2.

As the jaghir of Rungingabad
Fort which is conferred from the time of your
ancestors for generation to generation it will
by grace of God and through the favour of
Mahomed and his holy posterity prove
prosperous to you, it is incumbent upon
you to consider us always to be your
cordial friend assistant and defender and
exercise full power as was done by your
ancestors and for falling in managing
and administering the affairs of the state.
We have conferred upon you the title of
Mahomed Hoofsa Khan Bahadur Sahib
and out of our cordial favour we have sent
an embroidered robe of honour together with
"jeega and Surpach" ornaments set
with precious stones by way of a good
omen which will reach you. What more
is to be written. Consider us always your
true friend and well wishes. Set your
mind at rest.

Filed in Court 19th June 1811.

(Signed) L. A. Higginson
Register

A

Copy of the Petition
 presented to Governor
 by the late Naval
 Master and Captain Thomas
 Shaw Robinson,
 dated 15th August 1870.

Ln No. 22887u
 Ln No 24557u

fo. 25. 11. 76 u 1801

Col. No. 1 of 1875.
1874.
**Board of Revenue,
MADRAS.**

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

Petition No. 2455 of 1874.

From *Abraham Isotabaz*
Isotabaz, eldest son of the late
Isotabaz
Occupation *Isotabaz*
Isotabaz
Village *Isotabaz*
Taluk *Isotabaz*
District *Isotabaz*
Dated *October 4* 1874.
Received 2^o *Do. 4* 1874.
Enclosure *1*

On a Court Fees Stamp of 1 Rupee
ABSTRACT. *English*

Former Petition (No. 2288/74)
resubmitted on proper
Stamp.

27/8/75

27/8/75

✓ In. 500. In. Rec. 1873.



To, The Honorable the President & Members
of the Board of Revenue, (Chopank,
Madras)

2925
del
26/10/75

The humble address of Isotabaz
-ore Nipa Begum, widow of
Widow of the late Isotabaz, Ma-
homed Jaffer Hoosain of the
Bahadur, Grand son of the
late Jaffer Hoosain of the
in the District of Trichinopoly,
now residing at Vellore, in
Arab.

Most Respectfully Sheweth,

That your honorable Board's
Petitioner who is at present a poor & helpless Wi-
-dow, most respectfully begs leave to bring to
your kind notice the undermentioned circumstances
in connection with her valued & reasonable claim
for a moiety of her husband's jagher & piece of
Rs. 250 per annum, & prays that the Board of
Revenue may be graciously pleased to take her
claim into such benevolent consideration as your
honorable Board may deem it just & charitable.

That your petitioner's husband,
who belonged to the high & noble family of Pungunda
Jagherdar was up to his demise, maintaining your honorable
Board's Petitioner with every comfort & support,
by allowing her monthly 50 Rs. besides providing her
with every necessaries of life at his own expense, and
that her subsistence as becoming her rank & dignity

as the Wife of such a respectable Mahomedan
Gentleman was much cared for by her deceased
husband. And your Petitioner deeply regrets that
her husband, for whom the Honorable Government
had a very high regard as it will be clearly evident
by the perusal of the recommendation made to the
Government of India by the late Governor of Madras
Lord Napier, was cut off in the prime of his youth,
to the irreparable mortification & serious detriment
of the interest of the Petitioner & others who were res-
pectably maintained by him.

That the Petitioner in particular
having now been left quite destitute, without any
means of subsistence whatever, and as the deceased
Nabob Mahomed Jaffer Hoossein Khan & Shadoon
has in his death-bed, which occurred at the Petitioner's
own house did advise her, that the Honorable Govern-
ment will not neglect to take her claim into
benevolent Consideration when the same is laid
before them, your Honorable Board's Petitioner has now
taken the liberty of addressing your Honorable Board
on the subject, with the full assurance, that the Board
of Revenue will take her respectable position as the
rich Widow of the above Gentleman into such deserv-
ing view, as your Board may deem her case is entitled to,
as becoming her rank & dignity; and then submit her
fulfil case for the favorable Consideration of the
Honorable Government, & see that some portion of her
husband's Jaghere pension be continued in her name
with arrears from the date of his demise, & thereby make
her the partaker of your Honorable Board's Graciously &

Kind

Kind Patronage.

And in conclusion, your Honorable
Board's Petitioner most respectfully begs leave
to state, that as the Pension enjoyed by the Petitioner's
husband, was originally granted in lieu of the valu-
able Jaghere by the presumption of which the Honorable
British Government have been very highly benefited,
and as the Jaghere Pension, have been & are in similar
other Cases continued to the heirs of the Original Jaghere
without any interruption whatever; your Honorable
Board's Petitioner humbly solicits, that this important
& essential ground may be benevolently taken into
Consideration in the disposal of her claim, as she is
in accordance with the Principles of Mahomedan Law
entitled to a fair portion of her husband's Jaghere Pension
under a separate Receipt without the interference of
any others in the family.

For which act of justice & benevolence
your poor & helpless Petitioner as in duty bound;—
Shall ever pray,

Vellore
November
25 1874

مستد
زینب النساء

Miscellaneous No. 237

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue

Dated 13 January 1876

Read Petitions Nos: 2455 & 2925 of 1874,
Sunn Mahomed Motabar Khan and
Zeemathun Mussa Begum, eldest son &
Inckah widow respectively of the late
Nawab Mahomed Jaffer Hussain Khan
Bahadur, Jaghirdar of Runjurgader
Inchinsopoly District.

Referred to the Collector of Madras for report
as to the family left by the late Mahomed
Jaffer Hussain Khan, their names
and age, their circumstances, and
the means of subsistence possessed by
them.

The Board believe that Mahomed
Essoff, Magistrate of the Town of
Madras is acquainted with the
family and will be able to give the

No 2925 of 1874
From Zeemathun Mussa
Begum, wife of
the late Nabob Mahomed
Jaffer Hussain Khan
Bahadur grandson of the
late Jaghirdar of
Runjurgader District
at Vellore.
Dated 25th Nov 1874
Read 25th Nov 1874
C. M. F. S. of 2 Refers
July 1875
States that her husband
belonged to the family
of the Jaghirdar of
Runjurgader and was
drawing a monthly
stipend of Rs 250.
He died in July last
leaving her quite
destitute.
The pension having
been granted in
view of the Jaghire.
Prays that the Board
will recommend to
Govt that a pension
of Rs 125 be given
to her, with arrears
from her husband's
death.
In this case the Board
will be able to give the

the Collector information as to their
circumstances. The other information
should be obtained through the collector
of North Arcot from the widow who
resides at Vallu.

(True Extract)

(20) H. E. Stokes

Ag. Sub Secretary

To the Collector of
Madras.

(True copy)

L. A. S. S. S.

Ag. Sub Secretary.

Ex. 2. 14

Ex. 2. 14

Eucorone in
Thos. S. Hecardrup
27 Sept. 1896, No. 2446.
1885 Secretary Street.
K.S.

Nov. 11 56 976

Dec 4 25 976

Qd 25 Nov 1896
N^o 1881

Copy of
Ms. No. 1881: 200: 237
of 13 Jan 1895.