M.R.O. 1—20,002—12-8-65.

(1) Number of Pages 81

(2) Date of repair 30.7.69

(3) Initials of the Referencer ✓

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

Revenue DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.	1734	Press
B.P. No.		Mis.
Dated	21-11-1876	

Not to be folded or roughly handled

No. 347. Read the following letter from Colonel W. H. HESSEY, Superintendent of Revenue Survey, to the Hon. D. F. CARMICHAEL, Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, dated Chepauk, 6th November 1876, No. 3,404/133:—

I HAVE the honor to acknowledge the receipt of G.O., No. 1,528, of the 30th ultimo, passing with remarks the Survey Budget for 1877-78.

2. In paragraph 2 Government call on me to state why the pay of the Superintendent is provided for at Rs. 18,000 while the provision in the last Budget was only Rs. 12,000. It is remarked in the same paragraph that the actuals and regular estimate amount to about Rs. 20,000, and in the absence of any explanation for these various entries, the Government cannot pass the provision for the ensuing year at Rs. 18,000, which probably includes the increase of Rs. 500 lately proposed, but not sanctioned by the Government of India. In paragraph 3 it is observed that no provision has been made for the military allowance of the Superintendent, and that this omission requires to be explained, the item having found a place in former estimates.

3. In explanation, I have to submit that the provision in the last Budget was restricted to Rs. 12,000, as Colonel Hawkesley drew Civil pay as Superintendent at Rs. 1,000 per mensem, or Rs. 12,000 per annum, in addition to Staff Corps pay at Rs. 827-14-0 per mensem, or Rs. 9,935 per annum, provided for under head of "Regimental Allowance." On my succeeding him in July last, the Accountant-General ruled that "I was entitled to draw pay at the rate of Rs. 1,500 with effect from the 6th instant," or Rs. 18,000 per annum, being the consolidated pay sanctioned by the Government of India in their order, dated 31st October 1872, No. 3,927. A sum of Rs. 18,000 has, therefore, been provided for in the estimate for 1877-78.

Memorandum, dated 6th July 1876.

4. As regards the actuals and regular estimate, amounting to Rs. 19,900 and 20,200, respectively, I beg to explain that in the former is included the Civil pay of the Superintendent, that of a Deputy Superintendent for the period he was engaged on special duty in North West Provinces, and that of a 2nd-class Assistant Superintendent doing duty in the Central Office; the latter sum, Rs. 20,200, is composed of Civil pay of Superintendent at Rs. 1,000 for four months and at Rs. 1,500 for eight months and of the Assistant Superintendent's pay for the whole year.

5. I beg to represent that the increase of Rs. 300 (not Rs. 500) proposed, but not sanctioned by the Government of India, is not included in the sum of Rs. 18,000 estimated under head of Superintendent of Revenue Survey for the ensuing year. Under these circumstances, I request that Government will be pleased to authorise the Accountant-General to include Rs. 6,000 cut off from the sanctioned pay of the Superintendent.

6. As regards military allowance alluded to in paragraph 3 of the order, I have to explain that no provision on account of this allowance has been made in the estimate, inasmuch as such allowance, under the ruling above quoted, is inadmissible.

7. From the statement marginally given Government will observe that the actuals of the Central Office Establishment, including Lithographic Department, were Rs. 38,163 against the sanctioned allotment, viz., Rs. 34,832. The increased expenditure both in the past and current official years has been caused by the pay of men borne on the strength of District Establishments, but doing duty in the Central Office being debited to this Office. The estimate for the ensuing year, which is less than the actuals and Regular Estimate, is made in accordance with the sanctioned scale of the establishment.

	Rs.
Actuals for 1875-76	38,163
Sanctioned allotment	34,832
Regular estimate for 1876-77	41,271
Estimate for 1877-78	34,832

8. With reference to paragraph 8 of G.O., I have to state that the actuals shown in the estimates were prepared from the monthly returns of expenditure received from the district officers after verifying these returns by copies of Pay Bills, &c., lodged in the Central Office. In future, the correctness of survey expenditure will be ascertained by reference to the Accountant-General.

No. 348. ORDER THEREON, 21st November 1876, No. 1,734.

Miscellaneous.

UNDER the explanation now furnished, the provision for the pay of the Superintendent is passed at Rs. 1[illegible]
for 187[illegible].

(True Extract.)

(Signed) D. F. CARMICHAEL,
Secretary to Government.

To the Superintendent of Revenue Survey.
„ the Accountant-General.

Exd. F. R. Bantleman.

Govt. of India 1878

REVENUE.

To

The SUPERINTENDENT of
REVENUE SURVEY,
&c. &c. &c.

Dated November, 21st.
Rec'd.

G.O., 21st November 1876, No. 1528.

NOVEMBER.
Nos. 347-348.

1

Pay of Superintendent of Revenue Survey
Sanctioning the provision of Rs. 18,000 for the
in the Budget of 1877-78, with reference to the
explanation furnished by Colonel Hessy.

No. 3404/133

Revenue Survey Office,
Tchepauk
6th November 1876.

From

The Superintendent Revenue Survey

To

The Secretary to Government
Revenue Department

SIR,

I have the honour to acknowledge the receipt of G.O. No. 1528 of the 30th Ultimo passing with remarks the Survey Budget for 1877/78.

2. In Para 2. Government call on me to state why the pay of the Superintendent is provided for at Rs. 18000 while the provision in the last Budget was only Rs. 12000. It is remarked in the same Para that the actuals and regular estimate amount to about Rs. 20000 and in the absence of any explanation for these various entries, the Government cannot pass the provision for the ensuing year at Rs. 18000 which probably includes the increase of Rs. 500 lately proposed but not sanctioned by the Government of India.

In Para 3 it is observed that no provision has been made for the military allowance of the Superintendent & that this omission requires to be explained, the item having found a place in former estimates.

3. In explanation, I have to submit that the provision in the last Budget was restricted

to

to Rs. 12000 as Col Priestley drew civil pay as Superintendent at Rs. 1000 per mensem or Rs. 12,000 per annum in addition to staff corps pay at Rs. 827-14- per mensem or Rs. 9935 per annum provided for under head of "Regimental allowance". On my succeeding him in July last, the Accountant General ruled that I was entitled to draw pay at the rate of Rs. 1500 with effect from the 6th Instant or Rs. 18000 per annum being the consolidated pay sanctioned by the Government of India in their order dated 31st October 1872 No. 3927. A sum of Rs. 18000 has therefore been provided for in the estimate for 1877/78

Memo dated 6th July 1876

4 As regards the actuals and regular estimate amounting to Rs. 19,900 and 20,200 respectively I beg to explain that in the former is included the civil pay of the Superintendent, that of a Deputy Superintendent for the period he was engaged on Special duty in North West Provinces and that of a Second class Assistant Superintendent doing duty in the Central office; the latter sum Rs. 20,200 is composed of civil pay of Superintendent at Rs. 1000 for 4 months & at Rs. 1500 for 8 months and of the Assistant Superintendents pay for the whole year.

5 I beg to represent that the increase of Rs. 300 (not Rs. 500) proposed but not sanctioned by the Government of India is not included in the sum of Rs. 18,000 estimated under head of Superintendent of Revenue Survey for the ensuing year. Under these circumstances I request that Government will be pleased to authorize the Accountant General to include Rupees 6000 out of from the sanctioned pay of the Superintendent.

6 As regards Military allowance alluded to in Para 3 of the order, I have to explain that no provision on account of this allowance has been made in the estimate, inasmuch as such allowance under the ruling above quoted is inadmissible.

Actuals for 1875/76	Rs. 38,163	
Sanctioned allotment	34,832	
Regular estimate for 1876/77	41,271	
Estimate for 1877/78	34,832	

7 From the statement margined given, Government will observe that the actuals of the Central office Establishment including Cuttack Department were Rs. 38163 against the sanctioned allotment viz Rs. 34832. The increased expenditure both in the past & current official years has been caused by the pay of men borne on the strength of District Establishments but doing duty in the Central office being debited to this office. The estimate for the ensuing year which is less than the actuals & Regular Estimate is made in accordance with the sanctioned scale of the Establishment.

8 With reference to Para 8 of G. O. I have to state that the actuals shewn in the estimates were prepared from the monthly returns of Expenditure received from the District officers after verifying these returns by copies of Pay bills &c lodged in the Central

Central office. In future, the corrections of survey expenditure will be ascertained by reference to the Accountant General.

I have the honour to be
Sir
Your Most Obed.t Servant
[illegible] Col
Superintendent Rev. Survey.

Copied
Ltr

C-13: 1876 & 13: Nov

Under the explanation now furnished, the provision for the charge of the superintendent is passed at Rs. 1434 for 1877-78

W. 13/11

21 November/76.

To Lieut. Ravenshaw
Asst. Genl.

24/11/5

Rs. 1434 Oct/76 and 1525.

Returned with the
Accts. No. 44/730
Revised
Estimate

No. 1
The Secretary to
Government with the
Compliments of the
Dated 6th Nov.
Despd. 7th do
Received 8th do

No. 13404
1876
No. 1337
Submitting the
explanation called
for in G. O. No. 1528
of 30th October 1876
with order