

(1) Number of Pages 81
(2) Date of repair 30.7.68
(3) Initials of the Referencer ✓

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

Revenue DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.	1734	Press
B.P. No.		Mis.
Dated	21. 11. 1968	

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
21st November 1876.*

No. 347. Read the following letter from Colonel W. H. HESSEY, Superintendent of Revenue Survey, to the Hon. D. F. CARMICHAEL, Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, dated Chepauk, 6th November 1876, No. 3,404/133:—

I HAVE the honor to acknowledge the receipt of G.O., No. 1,528, of the 30th ultimo, passing with remarks the Survey Budget for 1877-78.

2. In paragraph 2 Government call on me to state why the pay of the Superintendent is provided for at Rs. 18,000 while the provision in the last Budget was only Rs. 12,000. It is remarked in the same paragraph that the actuals and regular estimate amount to about Rs. 20,000, and in the absence of any explanation for these various entries, the Government cannot pass the provision for the ensuing year at Rs. 18,000, which probably includes the increase of Rs. 500 lately proposed, but not sanctioned by the Government of India. In paragraph 3 it is observed that no provision has been made for the military allowance of the Superintendent, and that this omission requires to be explained, the item having found a place in former estimates.

3. In explanation, I have to submit that the provision in the last Budget was restricted to Rs. 12,000, as Colonel Forestier drew Civil pay as Superintendent at Rs. 1,000 per mensem, or Rs. 12,000 per annum, in addition to Staff Corps pay at Rs. 827-14-0 per mensem, or Rs. 9,935 per annum, provided for under head of "Regimental Allowance." On my succeeding him in July last, the Accountant-General ruled that "I was entitled to draw pay at the rate of Rs. 1,500 with effect from the 6th instant," or Rs. 18,000 per annum, being the consolidated pay sanctioned by the Government of India in their order, dated 31st October 1872, No. 3,927. A sum of Rs. 18,000 has, therefore, been provided for in the estimate for 1877-78.

4. As regards the actuals and regular estimate, amounting to Rs. 19,900 and 20,200, respectively, I beg to explain that in the former is included the Civil pay of the Superintendent, that of a Deputy Superintendent for the period he was engaged on special duty in North West Provinces, and that of a 2nd-class Assistant Superintendent doing duty in the Central Office; the latter sum, Rs. 20,200, is composed of Civil pay of Superintendent at Rs. 1,000 for four months and at Rs. 1,500 for eight months and of the Assistant Superintendent's pay for the whole year.

5. I beg to represent that the increase of Rs. 300 (not Rs. 500) proposed, but not sanctioned by the Government of India, is not included in the sum of Rs. 18,000 estimated under head of Superintendent of Revenue Survey for the ensuing year. Under these circumstances, I request that Government will be pleased to authorise the Accountant-General to include Rs. 6,000 cut off from the sanctioned pay of the Superintendent.

6. As regards military allowance alluded to in paragraph 3 of the order, I have to explain that no provision on account of this allowance has been made in the estimate, inasmuch as such allowance, under the ruling above quoted, is inadmissible.

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
21st November 1876.*

7. From the statement marginally given Government will observe that the actuals of the Central Office Establish-

	RS.
Actuals for 1875-76	38,163
Sanctioned allotment	34,832
Regular estimate for 1876-77	41,271
Estimate for 1877-78	34,832

ment, including Lithographic Department, were Rs. 38,163 against the sanctioned allotment, viz., Rs. 34,832. The increased expenditure both in the past and current official years has been caused by the pay of men borne on the strength of District Establishments, but doing duty in the Central Office being debited to this Office. The estimate for the ensuing year, which is less than the actuals and Regular Estimate, is made in accordance with the sanctioned scale of the establishment.

8. With reference to paragraph 8 of G.O., I have to state that the actuals shown in the estimates were prepared from the monthly returns of expenditure received from the district officers after verifying these returns by copies of Pay Bills, &c., lodged in the Central Office. In future, the correctness of survey expenditure will be ascertained by reference to the Accountant-General.

No. 348. ORDER THEREON, 21st November 1876, No. 1,734.

Miscellaneous.

UNDER the explanation now furnished, the provision for the pay of the Superintendent is raised at Rs. 12,000 or 18,000.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) D. F. CARMICHAEL,
Secretary to Government.

To the Superintendent of Revenue Survey.
„ the Accountant-General.

Erd. F. R. Bantleman.

No. 3404
133

Revenue Survey Office,
Lahore
6th November 1876.

From

The Superintendent Revenue Survey

To

The Secretary to Government
Revenue Department

SIR,

I have the honour to acknowledge the receipt of G.O. No. 1528 of the 30th Ultimo passing with remarks the Survey Budget for 1877/78.

In Para 2, Government call on me to state why the pay of the Superintendent is provided for at Rs. 18000 while the provision in the last Budget was only Rs. 12000. It is remarked in the same Para that the actuals and regular estimate amount to about Rs. 20000 and in the absence of any explanation for these various entries, the Government cannot pass the provision for the ensuing year at Rs. 18000 which probably includes the increase of Rs. 500 lately proposed but not sanctioned by the Government of India. In Para 3 it is observed that no provision has been made for the military allowance of the Superintendent & that this omission requires to be explained, the item having found a place in former estimates.

In explanation, I have to submit that the provision in the last Budget was restricted to

to Rs. 12,000 as Col. Priestley drew civil pay as Superintendent at Rs. 1000 per mensem or Rs. 12,000 per annum in addition to Staff Corps pay at Rs. 827-14- per mensem or Rs. 9935 per annum provided for under head of "Regimental allowance". On my succeeding him in July last, the Accountant General ruled that I was entitled to draw pay at the rate of Rs. 1500 with effect from the 6th Instant or Rs. 18,000 per annum being the consolidated pay sanctioned by the Government of India in their order dated 31st October 1872 No. 3927. A sum of Rs. 18,000 has therefore been provided for in the estimate for 1877/78.

Memo dated
6th July 1876.

4. As regards the actuals and regular estimate amounting to Rs. 19,900 and 20,200 respectively, I beg to explain that in the former is included the civil pay of the Superintendent, that of a Deputy Superintendent for the period he was engaged on special duty in North West Provinces and that of a second class Assistant Superintendent doing duty in the Central Office; the latter sum Rs. 20,200 is composed of civil pay of Superintendent at Rs. 1000 for 4 months & at Rs. 1500 for 8 months and of the Assistant Superintendents pay for the whole year.

5. I beg to represent that the increase of Rs. 300 (not Rs. 500) proposed but not sanctioned by the Government of India is not included in the sum of Rs. 18,000 estimated under head of Superintendent of Revenue Survey for the

ensuing year. Under these circumstances I beg to request that Government will be pleased to authorize the Accountant General to include Pimpes booo cut off from the sanctioned pay of the Superintendent.

6. As regards Military allowance alluded to in Para. 3 of the order, I have to explain that no provision on account of this allowance has been made in the estimate, inasmuch as such allowance under the ruling above quoted is inadmissible.

7. From the statement marginally given, Government will observe that the actuals of the Central Office Establishment including Civilian Department were Rs. 38,163 against the sanctioned allotment Rs. 34,832. The increased expenditure both in the past & current official years has been caused by the pay of men borne on the strength of District Establishments but doing duty in the Central Office being debited to this office. The estimate for the ensuing year which is less than the actuals & Regular Estimate is made in accordance with the sanctioned scale of the Establishment.

8. With reference to Para. 5 of G. O. I have to state that the actuals shown in the estimates were prepared from the monthly returns of Expenditure received from the District Officers after verifying these returns by copies of Pay bills & lodged in the Central

Respectfully,
Superintendent P. W. Curney

Copy 199
1990

Under the explanation now furnished, the pro-
vision for the pay of the superintendent is passed at \$1,400.
for 1877-78

MR 13/6

21 November 76.

7. Lupt. Remedy
Aunt's Good

~~24~~
105

4.0730 oct/76 m² 15-28.

Presumptive
Friend
August 18
Dear Sir

To the Secretary to
Government Hill
Sept.

Paid to
 Sept. 7th 1846
 Received 17

3404
183

Subject

Explaining the
for in L. O. 2^d 152
of 30th Octo 1800/1876
with order

C-13: Aug 6 Q 13: Now