

(1) Number of Pages..... 6 ✓  
(2) Date of repair 11.8.1969  
(3) Initials of the Referencer..... ✓

# MADRAS RECORD OFFICE

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

*Revenue* DEPARTMENT

|          |            |       |
|----------|------------|-------|
| G.O. No. | 1710       | Press |
| B.P. No. |            | Mis.  |
| Dated    | 17.11.1876 | 196   |

Not to be folded or roughly handled

1710

---

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,*  
17th November 1876.

---

No. 303. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 17th October 1876, No. 2,591:—

Read the following Proceedings of the Madras Government, dated 28th August 1876, No. 2,000, Revenue Department:—

ABSTRACT.—Communicating to the Board of Revenue for report Proceedings of the Public Works Department, communicating papers on the subject of the cost of, and return from, the Striviguntum Anicut Project, Tinnevely District.

THE irrigation conference suggested that the standard revenue should be ascertained for as many years as possible, not less than five or more than fifteen years, and in the present case the Board consider that fifteen years may be taken as the period. Information is already available for fourteen years, and the Board trust that it can be got for the other year also. The requisite orders for preparing the channel registers will be issued on the receipt of the instructions of Government or Board's Proceedings, dated 16th October 1876, No. 2,584.

Meanwhile it is requested that the statement of the sub-sections referred to in paragraph 6 of the Chief Engineer's Memorandum may be furnished to this office.

2. With reference to the remark of the Chief Engineer in paragraph 5 of his memorandum that his results do not correspond with those given in the Sheristadar's memorandum, the Board observe that the two calculations are made on altogether different principles and for dissimilar periods. The Sheristadar took fasli 1280 as the last year of the period before the anicut, while the Chief Engineer took fasli 1279 as the year. The Chief Engineer calculated his results with reference to the average revenue of the years subsequent to the anicut, while the Sheristadar took the actual revenue of each year. The following statement shows the difference between the two methods of calculation:—

| <i>Chief Engineer's Calculation.</i>                  |          | <i>Sheristadar's Calculation.</i>                                 |          |
|-------------------------------------------------------|----------|-------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|
|                                                       | RS.      |                                                                   | RS.      |
| Average revenue of 14 years previous to fasli 1279... | 1,17,179 | Average revenue of 15 years previous to fasli 1281 ...            | 1,16,983 |
| Deduct average of—                                    |          | Difference between the above amount and the revenue of fasli 1281 |          |
| Fasli 1280 ...                                        | 1,14,245 | (1,33,333) minus (20) the assessment of dry irrigated...          | + 16,321 |
| Fasli 1281 ...                                        | 1,33,333 | Do. fasli 1282 (1,01,724) minus do.                               |          |
| Fasli 1282 ...                                        | 1,01,724 | (6-8) do. ...                                                     | — 15,327 |
| Average ...                                           | 1,16,433 | Net ...                                                           | + 994    |
| Remainder ...                                         | 746      |                                                                   |          |

(A true Extract.)

(Signed) H. E. STOKES,  
Acting Secretary.

1718

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,  
17th November 1876.*

No. 304.

ORDER THEREON, 17th November 1876, No. 1,710.

Irrigation, No. 4.

COMMUNICATED to the Public Works  
Department.

2. Board's Proceedings, dated 16th October 1876, No. 2,584, will be separately  
disposed of.

(True Extract.)

(Signed)

D. F. CARMICHAEL,  
*Secretary to Government.*

To the Board of Revenue.  
„ the Public Works Department.

Exd. J. W. Fischer.

11/3

No. 2,591.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 17th October 1876.

Read the following Proceedings of the Madras Government, dated 28th August 1876, No. 2,000, Revenue Department:—

ABSTRACT.—Communicating to the Board of Revenue for report Proceedings of the Public Works Department, communicating papers on the subject of the cost of, and return from, the Striviguntam Anicut Project, Tinnevely District.

The irrigation conference suggested that the standard revenue should be ascertained for as many years as possible, not less than five or more than fifteen years, and in the present case the Board consider that fifteen years may be taken as the period. Information is already available for fourteen years; and the Board trust that it can be got for the other year also. The requisite orders for preparing the chainel registers will be issued on the receipt of the instructions of Government on Board's Proceedings, dated 16th October 1876, No. 2,584.

Meanwhile it is requested that the statement of the sub-sections referred to in paragraph 6 of the Chief Engineer's Memorandum may be furnished to this office.

2. With reference to the remark of the Chief Engineer in paragraph 5 of his memorandum that his results do not correspond with those given in the Sheristadar's memorandum, the Board observe that the two calculations are made on altogether different principles and for dissimilar periods. The Sheristadar took fasli 1280 as the last year of the period before the anicut, while the Chief Engineer took fasli 1279 as the year. The Chief Engineer calculated his results with reference to the average revenue of the years subsequent to the anicut, while the Sheristadar took the actual revenue of each year. The following statement shows the difference between the two methods of calculation:—

| Chief Engineer's Calculation.                         |          | Sheristadar's Calculation.                                        |          |
|-------------------------------------------------------|----------|-------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|
|                                                       | RS.      |                                                                   | RS.      |
| Average revenue of 14 years previous to fasli 1279... | 1,17,179 | Average revenue of 15 years previous to fasli 1281...             | 1,16,983 |
| Deduct average of—                                    |          | Difference between the above amount and the revenue of fasli 1281 |          |
| Fasli 1280 ...                                        | 1,14,245 | (1,33,333) minus (29) the assessment of dry irrigated ...         | + 16,321 |
| Fasli 1281 ...                                        | 1,33,333 | Do. fasli 1282 (1,01,724) minus do.                               |          |
| Fasli 1282 ...                                        | 1,01,724 | (68) do. ...                                                      | 15,327   |
| Average ...                                           | 1,16,433 | Net ...                                                           | + 994    |
| Remainder ...                                         | 746      |                                                                   |          |

(A true Extract.)

*W. P. ...*  
Acting Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department.  
Copy to the Collector of Tinnevely, with copy of G.O., dated 23th August 1876, No. 2,000, and of Board's Proceedings, dated 16th October 1876, No. 2,534.

Enl. J. W. Fischer.

13 August/76 No 2000 hrs.

No 4471

Rev. 1876. Sept

Board of Revenue,

MADRAS.

PROCEEDINGS, 17TH OCTOBER 1876, No. 2,591.

Page 270 & 175

Encl.

Received 28<sup>th</sup>

OCTOBER.  
No. 2,591.

Subsidiary Agent—in Tinnevely District.  
—Stating that fifteen years may be taken as the period for ascertaining standard revenue; under—, and requesting to be furnished with statement of sub-sections referred to by Chief Engineer for Irrigation.

will be

12 Sept 1876 No 4471

17/10.

Communicated to the Public Works Department—  
Board's Proceedings 16 October 1876, No. 2584 will be separately disposed of.

17 Nov/76

14 to Board of 20/10  
5 Dec 1876