

(1) Number of Pages..... 8
(2) Date of repair..... 23. 11. 68
(3) Initials of the Referencer..... S. B.

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

Revenue

DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.
B.P. No.

152

Press
Mis.

Dated

4. 2. 1876 196

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
4th February 1876.*

No. 39. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 22nd December 1875:—

Read the following letter from J. HUNTER-BLAIR, Esq., Collector of Sea Customs, Madras, to H. E. STOKES, Esq., Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated 11th December 1875, No. 1,792.

I HAVE the honor to forward copy of a letter from the Government Solicitor reporting the result of the appeal in Suit No. 52 of 1874.

2. The respondent's costs of the appeal have been taxed at Rupees 828-8-0, including costs of execution, and I request the Board will be good enough to obtain the sanction of Government for the disbursement of the above amount to the respondent's Attorneys by the Government Solicitor from the funds at his disposal.

—

ENCLOSURE No. 1.

From H. G. PRICHARD, Esq., Government Solicitor, Madras, to J. HUNTER-BLAIR, Esq., Collector of Sea Customs, Madras, dated 29th November 1875, No. 583.

With reference to the appeal pending in the High Court at Madras against the decree in Original Suit No. 52 of 1874, in the Suit of P. Sethumbaram *versus* yourself, I have now the honor to inform you that on the 23rd November, instant, an order was made by the Appellate Court that upon payment by the appellant of the respondent's costs of the appeal the suit should be remanded to the Court of First Instance for the trial of the issue whether the Collector *bona fide* believed himself to have jurisdiction in imposing the fine.

—

No. 1,382. With reference to G.O., dated 9th December 1875,

2. Plaintiff P. Sethumbaram obtained a decree against the Collector of Sea Customs for Rupees 4,988-15-0. On an appeal preferred by the Collector, the Court has ordered that on payment by appellant of the respondent's costs of the appeal the suit be remanded for trial of the issue whether the Collector in imposing the fine *bona fide* believed that he had jurisdiction.

3. The Board recommend that payment of the respondent's costs (Rs. 828-8-0) be sanctioned.

(True Copies and Extract.)

(Signed) H. E. STOKES,
Acting Secretary.

No. 40. ORDER THEREON, 4th February 1876, No. 152.

Miscellaneous

SANCTIONED.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) D. F. CARMICHAEL,
Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.
" the Accountant-General.

Edw. F. R. Sandleman

Revenue Department

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue

Dated 22nd December 1892

Read the following

no. 1792.

From

J. Hunter Blair Esq

Collector of Sea Fisheries
attached

To

H. C. Stiles Esq

Secretary to the
Board of Revenue

Sir

I have the honor to forward copy
of a letter from the Government
Secretary reporting the result of the
appeal in suit no. 52 of 1894.

The Respondents' case of the
appeal have been considered.
Pages 825-826 including table
of taxation, and I suggest the
Board will be good enough to
decide.

obtain the sanction of Government
for the disbursement of the above
amount to the Respondent's att-
orneys by the Government
Collector from the funds at his
disposal.

Have no
Madras } (100) J. Hunt Blair
11 Dec 1875 } Collector, Sea Customs

No 583.

To Mr. P. Richard
Government Pleader
Madras
J. Hunt Blair Esq.
Collector of Sea Customs
Madras

With reference to the Appeal
pending in the High Court at
Madras against the decree in
Ct. No. 53 of 1874 in the suit
of P. V. Venkateswami & Co. vs. yourself

I have now the honor to inform
you that on the 23rd November inst.
an order was made by the Appellate
Court that upon payment by the
Appellant of the Respondent's
costs of the appeal, the suit
should be remanded to the Court
of first instance for the trial of the
issue whether the Collector bona-
fide believed himself to have
jurisdiction in imposing the fine.

Madras } Have no
29 Nov 1875 } (100) P. Richard
Govt. Pleader
(True copy)
(100) J. Hunt Blair
Collector, Sea Customs.

Submitted to Government with reference
to Government order, dated 9 Decem-
ber 1873, & No 1352.

Charles P. Chubbuck

obtained a decree against the
Collector of Sea Customs for
Rs 4900-15-00. On an
appeal preferred by the Collector
the Court has ordered that on
payment by appellant of the
Respondent's costs of the appeal
the suit be remanded for trial
of the issue whether the Collector
in imposing the fine bona fide
believed that he had jurisdiction.

The Board recommended
cost payment of the Respondent's
costs. Rs 100-0-0. be deducted.

Principals (Hindu)

Muslims

Christians

The Secretary to Government

Revenue Department

1/11/1911

S.D. 1882 / 18th Feb 1882

Received 1875 Sept 22

No 4910

Produced by
James McQuinn

Sept 22nd 1875

1875

Chas. McQuinn

With Order

to make
the payment of 1000/-
- pounds and 10/-

228-8-0 in sum
No 22 of 1874

4th February 1876

14th Feb 1876 Dec 15 Feb
6th Dec 1875 and General

Sanctioned -

C. McQuinn / No 25