

(1) Number of Pages.....24.6.69 16
(2) Date of repair.....24.6.69
(3) Initials of the Referencer.....[Signature]

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

Revenue DEPARTMENT

G.O. No. 1505	Press
~~B.P.~~ No.	~~Mis.~~
Dated 18/5 October	1876 196

Not to be folded or roughly handled

1505

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
18th October 1876.*

No. 224A. From A. J. STUART, Esq., Acting Collector of Tinnevely, to the Acting Under-Secretary to Government, Ootacamund, dated Courtallam, 30th September 1876, No. 585 :—

In returning the petition and its enclosures received with your official memorandum, dated 4th September 1876, No. 153, I have the honor to inform you that the petitioner desires his petition to be laid before Her Majesty.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.

Petition from SOOBRAMANIA DURAI, son of COMPANY SELVA DURAI, late Zemindar of Panjalankurichi, in the District of Tinnevely, Presidency of Madras, to His Excellency the Governor in Council, Fort St. George, Madras, dated 11th August 1876.

MOST RESPECTFULLY SHEWETH—

That, in submitting again the petition, with enclosures, addressed to Her Majesty and returned to the petitioner with Endorsement No. 350, without being sent to the Home Government, because it was not accompanied by a letter requesting its transmission to the Home Government, the petitioner herein encloses the same, and most humbly prays that your Excellency will be pleased to transmit them to the Home Government.

For which act of charity the humble petitioner shall, as in duty bound, ever pray.

Petition from SOOBRAMANIA DURAI, son of COMPANY SELVA DURAI, late Zemindar of Panjalankurichi, in the District of Tinnevely, Presidency of Madras, to Her Majesty the Most Gracious Queen of Great Britain and Ireland and the Empress of India, &c., dated 19th June 1876.

MOST RESPECTFULLY SHEWETH—

That the Honorable Company having confiscated the ancestral properties, both Aiyen and Zemindari, supported the petitioner's father with a monthly allowance of Rs. 52-8-0. After his death, the present petitioner and his elder brother are now supporting themselves with the same monthly allowance of Rs. 52-8-0. That his (the petitioner's) elder brother petitioned to the Madras Government to sanction for his and the present petitioner's marriage expenses Rs. 10,000. They sanctioned for his marriage expenses, and not for the present petitioner's, Rs. 350 which they found, upon referring to the records, to have been sanctioned for his great uncle for his marriage. When the present petitioner petitioned directly to the Madras Government to the same effect, they endorse the petition that such sanctions will be allowed only to the head of a family and not to its other members. The present petitioner, as his marriage expenses were not sanctioned, appealed to the India Government to direct the Madras Government to sanction him an allowance for his marriage like that sanctioned for his brother's; they endorsed the appeal petition No. 985G, that they decline to interfere with the orders of the Madras Government as contained in the Endorsement, No. 400, dated 3rd April 1876.

2. That of the two brothers it is really out of justice to sanction a sum of money for the marriage expenses of the one, and to decline to do so for that of the other.

3. He (the present petitioner), therefore, most humbly prays that your Majesty will be graciously pleased to peruse the petitions and the endorsements thereon herewith enclosed, and to order the Madras Government to sanction him for his marriage expenses a sum like that sanctioned for his brother's, and order it to be paid from the Huzoor treasury, Tinnevely.

For which act most charitable, most benevolent, and most gracious, the most humble petitioner shall, as in duty bound, ever pray.

(True Copy.)

(Signed) D. F. CARMICHAEL,
Secretary to Government.

Exd. F. R. Bantleman.

No. 225.

To

THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF INDIA,

Miscellaneous.

No. 1,505.

FOREIGN DEPARTMENT.

SIR,—I am directed to forward, in submission to the Queen through Her Majesty's Secretary of State, a memorial from one Soobramania Durai, brother of D. Komaraswami Durai, a descendant of the last Poligar of Panjalamkurichi in the Tinnevely District.

2. This Government, in their order, 17th January 1876, No. 1,069, Revenue Department, granted to D. Komaraswami a marriage allowance of Rs. 350, but declined to extend this grace to the memorialist. They see no reason to reconsider that order,*

* No. 985G, dated 8th May 1876, Foreign Department. with which the Governor-General in Council has declined to interfere.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

(Signed) D. F. CARMICHAEL,
Secretary to Government.

Exd. F. R. Bantleman.

Foreign (1876) Department.

Government of Port St. George.

Dated 18th October 1876.

No. 1,505.

Received

Enclosures.
8 spare copies.

D. No.

Progs.

D. No.

No.

Forwarding, with remarks, for submission to the Secretary of State a memorial from one Sothram, a Durai, a descendant of the last Poligar of Panjalamkurichi in the Tinnevely District.

3/505
The Original Memorial of
19 June 76 with covering to
address of the Govt of 11 Aug 76
returned to the Petitioner with
GA 28 Aug 76 N-2197-

N. 585 Tinnevely Collector's Circuit (utaham)
Coutalam 30th September 1876.

From. A. J. Stuart Esq.
Ag Collector

To The Ag Under Secretary to
Government

Sir, Pottacamund

It is to be noted
In returning the petition & its
enclosures received with your official Memo-
randum dated 4th September 1876 No 153
I have the honor to inform you that
the petitioner desires his petition to be
laid before Her Majesty.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your Most Obedt Servt

A. Stuart
Ag Collector

Wm. P. B. 1876

to the high. p. 7.

forayn d/.

62

I am directed to forward
for submission to the Queen
Vero. H. M. Secy. of State
a memorial from the
Sobramunda Durai
to the J. D. Komaratwaram
Durai, - a descendant
of the last Rājā
of Pandya Kuzh
the Trinevelly Rd.

This Gov. in their order
17: Jan 76. to Gov. R.D.
granted to D. K. Marathe
a marriage allowance
of Rs 350, but declined
to extend this grace to
the memorialist - they
are now to reconsider
that order, with a view
to Gov. General in
Council has declined

Imp 27-ack
with 3 ends

Winter free

No 988.8. Plug 16. 1/2

1/2

1/2

1/2

1/2

Memorial of
Sri Ramappa Durai
of Panchalam Kurichi

Letter to Govt. dated
18th July 1876

N^o 1659 of 1876 Dⁿ 11 August 76.

Rec^d 16th —

From Subramania Durai,
son of Company Selva
Durai, late Zemindar
of Panchalam
Kurichi, Simevelly Dⁿ.

Resubmitting his
Memorial to Her Majesty
the Queen, which was re-
turned to petitioner with
reference to Rule N^o VII
of the Memorial Rules,
prays for its transmis-
sion to its address—

1659.

It is submitted that the
Memorial may be forwarded
to the Secy of State, for
submission to the Queen.

With the remark that
the Govt. has no recommendation
to make in this favour.

It is noted that as the
Memorial is addressed
directly to Her Majesty
it must, under Rule VI,
go through the above
channels — also under

Sec^y III.
It being an office paper
it is not to be forwarded

318-201

Petition (Rev) Dep.

✓

Ind. off. to be made 11/23/24 Sept 19/24

1505
8

Please send paper
to the writer - asking
him to learn from Pet. before
who can be done for
Pet. on land - Rev. Mr. J. J.
on H. 2nd page

2/9/6

Submitted
1-9-26

of 618 Oct 1924 224 225