

(1) Number of Pages 28
(2) Date of repair 19.11.68
(3) Initials of the Referencer S.V.

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

Revenue DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.
B.P. No.

139

Press
Mis.

Dated

1-2-1876 196

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
1st February 1876.*

No. 10. Read the following letter from Major W. HAY, Assistant Resident, in charge Travancore and Cochin, to the Honorable F. HULSTES, Chief Secretary to Government, Fort St. George, dated Trevandrum, 27th December 1875, No. 160:—

In the Government Orders marginally noted sanction was given to the duty levied at Bombay on salt exported to Travancore by credit to war's payment of the subsidy instalment when the amount aggregated to half a lakh of rupees or more.

No. 260-A, dated 17th Feb. 1875.
" 1,081, " 19th July "

2. I now beg to forward copy of a communication from the Dewan Peishcar in charge, representing that His Highness' Government has contracted for 3,30,000 Indian maunds of Bombay salt this year, of which the cost would come to more than 7½ lakhs of rupees under the new export arrangements; that already the contractors who landed salt at Alleppy have been paid nearly 2½ lakhs of rupees; that this amount would swell considerably as each further consignment is received; and that as the refund of the duty would only be made by credit from the subsidy instalments (which being only Rupees 71,000 a-month), it would take about ten months to make good the whole refund on the total quantity of salt contracted for.

3. He farther states that the application of so large a portion of the revenue in paying the contractors would considerably embarrass the various current demands of the Government, and asks, therefore, that the refund of the duty may be made on credit from the monthly subsidy instalment.

4. The request of the Dewan Peishcar in charge, under the circumstances represented, seems to be reasonable, and should Government be pleased to accede to the same, funds (as the present balance in the Resident's treasury is only about Rs. 70,000) to the extent of 5½ lakhs of rupees to meet the whole refund will be required, and arrangements will have to be made by the Accountant-General to remit the amount in two instalments of three lakhs in January and two and a-half lakhs in February either to the Resident's treasury at Trevandrum or to be held at the Resident's disposal at the Bank of Madras, Cochin.

5. I solicit early orders of Government on the subject.

Enclosure No. 1.

From N. NANOO PILLAY, Dewan Peishcar in charge, to Major W. HAY, Assistant Resident, in charge Travancore, dated Trevandrum, 27th December 1875, No. 1,744/1,985R.

Owing to the exorbitant price at which His Highness' Government is obliged to purchase Bombay salt this year, a very large portion of the revenue has to be diverted from its usual channel for the purpose of paying the contractor who supply us with salt. Such a diversion of the revenue collections is beginning to tell upon the various current demands of the Government, and unless a remedy is soon applied is likely to embarrass us considerably.

2. His Highness' Government has this year contracted for the purchase of 3,30,000 Indian maunds of Bombay salt, and will have to pay as the price of this quantity a little more than 7½ lakhs of rupees.

3. Last year when a like financial difficulty occurred His Highness' Government was obliged to move the British Government to adopt some measure of relief, and the result was

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
1st February 1876.*

but, pending the final decision by the Government of India of the general question of salt exportation, decided by this Government, the Madras Government ruled that when the duty on the salt is levied, a credit of such amount of rupees or more should be given to His Highness' Government for the amount of such duty at the time of the subsidy payment (*vide* G.O., dated 7th January 1876, No. 260A).

4. The salt has already been sent in several shipments of salt, and have been paid for in the time of the salt contracts. The amount hitherto paid to them is nearly 2½ lakhs of rupees, and in a short time more this amount is likely to swell considerably. Rs. 44,845-1-8 have already been drawn from the Presidency treasury (*vide* your letter, No. 1,192, dated 20th instant), as the first instalment of the duty recoverable this year under existing arrangements from that treasury. I beg to inform you that very near 2 lakhs of rupees still remain due to us as compensation for payments already made to contractors.

5. If this amount, and others to accrue hereafter are to be refunded by giving us credit as each instalment of the subsidy falls due, His Highness' Government will be compelled to wait for almost a whole year until this amount is recovered, because each instalment of the subsidy is on an average only Rs. 71,000—a sum which unless repeated three or four times, i.e., set against three instalments, will not reimburse us the amount at present due. I need hardly add that as the amount of this compensation to which we become entitled increases as each ship-load of salt is received and on the other hand the period of time that would be taken by this Government to recover the whole of the duty due would not be less than two months.

6. Having faced these facts before you, I do not feel it necessary to dilate upon the embarrassment that would result, unless you remedy matters by ordering to be paid from time to time to His Highness' Government the whole of the compensation as it accrues after leaving in your hands a sum equivalent to the due subsidy payment. You may order the amount to be paid to me under the name of the Government of India, and I will forward it to the Madras Bank as it may be required.

7. Awaiting an early reply, I have, &c.

No. 11. ORDER THEREON, 1st February 1876, No. 130.

Separate Revenue No. 3a

Cost

ORDERED that the Government of India be requested to instruct the Government of Bombay to relax the rule requiring prepayment of duty in regard

to salt exported on account of the Travancore Sirkar.

2. Pursuant to the instructions of the Government of India, His Grace in Council resolves to authorize the refund to the Travancore Sirkar of the duty paid on salt delivered up to 31st January 1876.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) D. F. CARMICHAEL,
Secretary to Government.

To the Resident in Travancore and Cochin,
the Board of Revenue,
the Agent General.

D. F. C. Carmichael

Revenue Dept.

Memo for Orders

Letter from Asst^d President
in charge Travancore & Cochin.

Requesting the orders
of Govt regarding the early
refund of Salt duty to the
Travancore Govt.

N. E. 28 Dec 1875 - No 160.

In consequence
of the rule recently intro-
duced into the Bombay
Presidency, that the full
excise duty shall be paid
on all salt before it is
removed

26/12
Lass V... I go as the
matter is urgent but have
made some suggestions
by Mr. Col. Constable
who recommended

GOVT OF INDIA
REVENUE

RESIDENT IN TRAVANCORE
AND COCHIN

Dated Travancore, 1st

G. O. No. 1200 dated 12th Dec 1875

OFFICE

...the Government of Travancore and Cochin...
...the Government of Travancore and Cochin...
...the Government of Travancore and Cochin...

7/12/508/16

removed from the rolls,
as well when it is

exported by sea as when

it is carried inland; a

difficulty has arisen

with regard to the supplies

which the States of

Travancore & Cochin

are entitled under the

existing agreement with

those states to obtain

from Bombay free of

all duty, save a charge

of 3 pice or a quarter of

an

7. 80.4 Sept 74 No 1134.
To Govt of India 4 Sept 74 No 1135.
80.25 Sept 74 No 1210.
2 Oct. 74 No 1255.
18 Oct. 74 No 1326.
17 Feb 75 No 260. A.
28 Feb 75 No 326.
To Govt of India 26 Feb 75 No 327.
3 March 75 No 337.
80.19 July 1875 No 1081.
To Govt of India 19 July 75 No 1082.

an anna per Indian

drawn to cover the cost

of guarding settlements

2. The resolution

came to after some

*
correspondence & approval

of by the Govt of India

is that for the current

season a refund should

be granted to those two

States (in the shape of

credit towards payment of

subsidy) of the duty of

Rs 1.13.0 per Indian drawn

on

on all deliveries of
Bombay duty paid salt.

The question of the allowance
to be made for storage
and for the increased cost
involved in salt having
now to be shipped in
bags (under another rule
introduced by the Bombay
authorities at the same
time) instead of in bulk
as hitherto, remains yet
to be settled. The
instructions promised by
the Govt of India on
this

this subject. As the date
18th May 1875 Sat. 19th June 1875
in G.O. 19 July 1875 (1001) not

having been yet received.

3. The Asst^t Resident
in charge of the Travancore
and Cochin Presidency
now forwards copy of a
letter from the Dewan
Fakhar of Travancore
in which the latter states
that the Fakhar has
contracted for a supply
of 3,30,000 Indian Rupees
of

of Bombay Salt for
this year, that its cost

including the duty to be
paid in Bombay comes

to more than $7\frac{1}{2}$ Lacs

of Rupees, of which

nearly $2\frac{1}{2}$ Lacs of Rupees

have been already paid,

& that as the subsidy of

the state is payable

only by monthly

instalments of Rs 71,000

it would take about

ten months for the

Subs

Official Letter

Subs refund due being
made good to the State.

The Dewan Peishcar

adds that the run upon

the Sarkar Treasury during

the intervening period

would cause considerable

embarrassment with respect

to meeting ^{the} various current

demands thereon & asks

that the refund of the duty

may be made as each

consignment of Salt is

landed in Travancore

The

The Asst^{nt} Resident
considers. This request rea:
sonable, & states that
should Gov^t be pleased to
accede to it, he will
require funds to the
extent of $5\frac{1}{2}$ lacs of
Rupees in two instalments
of three lacs in Jan^y &
two lacs & a half in
Feb^y. For the purpose
of making the authorized
refund to the State; the
money being either remitted
to the Resident's Treasury
at Trevandrum or placed at
his disposal in the branch of
the Indian Bank at Cochin.
The

4. The duty at Rs. 1-13-
per Indian Maunds on the
quantity above specified,
i.e., 3,30,000 Indian Maunds,
comes to Rs. 5,98,125; but
apparently the Assistant
Resident considers that
the excess over $5\frac{1}{2}$ lacs
can be paid from the
amount in his hands,
which he states is about
Rs. 70,000.

5. The disproportion
between the amount of
the refund to be made
and

and the amount of the
monthly instalment of
the subsidy renders a
prompt adjustment
impracticable, and there
seems to be no alternative
between acceding to the
above proposal and
asking the Government
of India to sanction a
relaxation of the recent
Bombay rule as to the
prepayment of the duty.
It is to be observed, however,
that

See telegraph & ask
the G. of I. to authorize the
Cotton Commission London
explaining that the
alter will give 2 to the
G. of I. & Bombay accordingly

Not necessary the deliveries
on the West Coast go on until
April.

Certainly not, if it can
be avoided

that by the time the
latter alternative is sanctioned
by the Government of
India, the greater portion,
if not the whole, of the
duty will have been paid
in Bombay, at any rate,
the time for the shipment
of the whole quantity of
Salt will have expired,
cash repaid as proposed
by the Sarkar seems
therefore, to be the more
eligible course for this
year.
f. If this is approved,

in consideration of the
somewhat urgent nature
of the case, it is submitted,
that the Accountant
General may be authorized,
in anticipation of the
sanction of the Govern-
ment of India, to place
at the disposal of the
Resident in the branch
Bank at Cochin the
amount of the refund
due to the Travancore
Sethi, less outstanding
instalments

In place being a paid
& discharge the debt wh
to the 31st Jan. less Treaty
deductions

instalments of the subsidy,
the exact amount now
payable to the Sethi
being ascertained by the
Accountant General
in direct communication
with the Resident.

7. The present reference
is restricted to the claim
of the Travancore Sethi,
but a similar claim
may come up from
Cochin by and by, and
the same course may
be adopted with regard

R. H.

to that State also for

this year.

94-95/6

at the same time

the same amount

of money is

to be paid

to the State

of New York

for the same

purpose.

The same

amount of

money is

to be paid

to the State

Edw. D.

Memorandum
 Referring to the
 duty to Government
 of Salt

5744
 12/17/85

My dear Sir,
 I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 12th inst.

To
 Major W. H. H.
 Assistant Resident in Charge
 Travancore

Sir,
 Your letter of the 12th inst.

During the year 1885, at which His Highness' Government has purchased Bombay Salt this year, a very large portion of the Revenue has been diverted from its usual channel for the purpose of paying the contractors who supply us with salt. Such a diversion of the revenue collections is beginning to tell upon the various current demands of the Government, and unless a remedy is soon applied is likely to embarrass us considerably.

2. His Highness' Government has this year contracted for the purchase of 350,000 Indian pounds of Bombay salt. It will have to pay for the price of this quantity a little more than 75 lacs of Rupees.

3. Last year was a very financial difficult year for His Highness' Government was obliged to move the British Government to adopt some measure of relief, and the result was that pending the ultimate decision by the Government of India of the general question of salt compensation claimed by this State,

Taliparamba
 12/17/85

Yours faithfully,
 J. J. J.

The Sandwich Government ruled that when the
sloop Scilla loaded aggregated half a ton
of Papers or more credit should be given to His
Highness' Government for the amount of such duty
at the time of the subsidy payment made E. O.
dated 17th February 1875. 80265 A/

The Contractors have already sent in several shipments of Salt, and have been paid for on the terms of their contracts. The amount I think paid to them is nearly 2¹/₂ lacs of Rupees, and in a short time more. The amount is likely to swell considerably. \$4,800 Rs. 100 8 p. have already been drawn from the Revenue Treasury. In your letter of 13th Sept. dated 30th Inst. is the first statement of the duty recoverable. This was a very interesting arrangement from the Treasury. It helps to inform you that very near 2 lacs of Rupees will remain due to us as compensation for pay made already made to Contractors.

If the amount and other to secure
yourself - I am enabled by giving us credit, as
an instalment of the liability falls due, His
Honour's Government will be compelled to wait
for almost another year until the amount is
received, because each instalment of the liability
is an average of £100 per 7,000, a sum which
will be repaid three times, as set against
three instalments with each instalment to us
the amount at present due. I need hardly add
that

that as the amount of this compensation awarded
we become entitled increases as each shilling
of salt is received and paid for the period of time
that would be taken by this Government to rec-
over the whole of the duty due would not be less
than 10 months.

6. Having placed these facts before you I do not feel it necessary to take upon the embarrassment that even if difficulties were merely matters of ordering to be paid from time to time to His Highness Government the whole of the Comproction as it accrues after leaving to you or credit a sum equivalent to one liability payment. You may order the amount to be paid to me either from the Ministry of Finance or else by order upon the Eastern Bank as it might be convenient to you.

Answering an early reply
 to your letter
 signed & forwarded to May
 Susan P. L. has ~~in charge~~
 True Copy
 Susan P. L. has ~~in charge~~
 East Boston
 In charge

100

President's Office
Irvine, Dec. 28th 1900

17/11/1911

Major W. Hays
Appl. Resident in charge
Traverse & Graham



The Honble W. Wickham
Chief Secretary to Govt.
Port St George

As the Government order

marginally stated caveat, was
given to refund the duty levied at
Pomfret in full applied to Transac-
tion by credit towards payment of the
subsidy installment when the amount
aggregated to half a lakh of rupees
in 1904.

2. Licensee has to form and copy of a

communication from the London
Merchant in charge, representing that
the Hongkong Government has con-
tracted for 30,000 salmon in 1890,
at 10 shillings each, which
the cost would come to more than
7 1/2 L. Also of surplus under the

Figure 1. The effect of the concentration of the inhibitor on the rate of polymerization of α -methylstyrene in the presence of SnCl_4 at 50°C .

8. 8. 1880
10. 8. 1880
10. 8. 1880

marginally stated caveat, was
given to refund the duty levied at
Pomfret in full applied to Transac-
tion by credit towards payment of the
subsidy installment when the amount
aggregated to half a lakh of rupees
in 1904.

2. Licensee has to form and copy of a

communication from the London
Merchant in charge, representing that
the Hongkong Government has con-
tracted for 30,000 salmon in 1890,
at 10 shillings each, which
the cost would come to more than
7 1/2 L. Also of surplus under the

Figure 1. The effect of the concentration of the inhibitor on the rate of polymerization of α -methylstyrene in the presence of SnCl_4 at 50°C .

[illegible]

once upon arrangements, that already
the Contractors who landed Salt at Whippy
have been paid nearly 4/6 a bush of
gross weight. And this amount would cost all
considerably as each further consign-
ment is received, and that as the re-
quirement of the Navy would only be made by
credit from the existing instalments
which being only 10/6 per gross a month
it would take about 10 months to make
good the whole required in the total
quantity of salt contracted for.

It is further stated that the appli-
cation of so large a portion of the
revenue in paying the contractors would
considerably embarrass the various
current demands of the Government,
and also therefore that the requirement of the
Navy could not be met by the shipowners
receiving from time to time instalments
of the salt by arrangement to supply
the salt as required from the monthly
instalment.

It is the request of the Government
therefore in charge under the circum-
stances represented above to be
approved and should Government

be pleased to accede to the same, funds
for the present balance in the Treasury
Treasury would about 10/6 per bush of the
extent of 5/6 a bush of gross weight to meet
the whole required, will be required, and
arrangements will have to be made
by the Government General to permit
the payment in two instalments, of
three salt bush in January, and two & a
half salt bush in February either to the
Treasury at Grosvenor
or to be held at the Treasury
Treasury at the Port of London.
I solicit early orders of
Government on the subject.

I have the honor to be
Sir,

Yours most Obedient
Servant
Wm. Lloyd Garrison
Agent for the Navy

to the President in Trans. 1860-1861
to the President in 1861
to the President in 1861

1861

Orders and the fact of the President
being to the President the Government
being to the President the Government
being to the President the Government
being to the President the Government

being to the President the Government
being to the President the Government
being to the President the Government
being to the President the Government
being to the President the Government

1861
1861
1861
1861
1861

1861
1861
1861
1861
1861

1861
1861
1861
1861
1861

1861
1861
1861
1861
1861

1861
1861
1861
1861
1861

1861
1861
1861
1861
1861

1861
1861
1861
1861
1861

1861
1861
1861
1861
1861

1861
1861
1861
1861
1861

1861
1861
1861
1861
1861

1861
1861
1861
1861
1861

1861
1861
1861
1861
1861

1861
1861
1861
1861
1861

1861
1861
1861
1861
1861

1861
1861
1861
1861
1861

1861
1861
1861
1861
1861