

(1) Number of Pages..... 6 sheets
(2) Date of repair..... 6.6.69
(3) Initials of the Referencer..... 2.7.4

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

Revenue DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.
B.P. No.

1377

Press
Mis.

Dated

28-9-

1876
196

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Handwritten signature/initials

1372

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
28th September 1876.*

No. 350. Read the following Proceedings of the Court of Wards, dated 19th September 1876, No. 2,375:—

Read again Proceedings of the Court of Wards, dated 31st March 1876, No. 891.
Read also the following letter from H. W. BLISS, Esq., Acting Collector of Madura, to C. A. GALTON, Esq., Acting Secretary to the Court of Wards, dated 17th August 1876, No. 107.

With reference to the Court's Proceedings, dated 31st March 1876, I have the honor to report that the village of Tiruvadani itself is an Inam land attached to the Pagoda in that village and paying to the Zemindar a Jodi of Rupees 30-3-0 per annum. As no Government land is available for being granted in exchange for the land required for the Deputy Tahsildar's office, I think it would be best to deduct from this Jodi the assessment of the land amounting to Rupees 1-15-9. I therefore propose to deduct this sum from the Jodi due by the Pagoda to the Zemindar, and to make a proportionate deduction in the Peishcush of the estate. To the latter deduc-

	RS.	A.	P.	
* Present Peishcush	3,14,006	2	7	tion* I request sanction. The former will
Reduction proposed		1	15	be included (<i>pro forma</i>) in the statement of
			9	Inam lands taken for public purposes
Future Peishcush ..	3,14,004	2	10	under Standing Order No. 168. The price

of the land due to the Pagoda, which also owns the Kudivaram right, will be paid in

the usual course the ... of Public Works Acca

2. I beg here to state that the assessment of the land in question was entered at Rupees 2-1-6 through a mistake instead of at Rupees 1-15-9 in my letter recorded in the Court's Proceedings under reply.

The sanction of Government is requested for the reduction in the Peishcush of the Ramnad Estate of Rupees 1-15-9 recommended by the Collector.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) H. E. STOKES,
Acting Secretary.

No. 351. ORDER THEREON, 28th September 1876, No. 1,377.

Land Revenue, No. 5.

THE Government sanction the reduction of the peishcush of the Ramnad Estate by Rs. 1-15-9 as proposed.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) D. F. CARMICHAEL,
Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.
„ the Accountant-General.

Exd. F. R. Bantleman.

1372
✓

No. 891.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE COURT OF WARDS, dated 31st March 1876.

Read the following letter from H. W. BLISS, Esq., Acting Collector of Madura, to C. A. GALTON, Esq., Acting Secretary to the Court of Wards, dated Dindigul, 29th February 1876, No. 35:—

I HAVE the honor to state that the Deputy-Tahsildar of Tiruvadanai has selected a piece of land measuring 2 acres and 15,280 square feet situated in Tiruvadanai Village and belonging to the temple of that place for the purpose of building the Deputy-Tahsildar's office at that station, and has requested the Acting Special Assistant Collector to sell it. The latter officer enquires whether he, as trustee of the Devasthanam, can dispose of it by sale, and states that if the land can be sold, twenty times the annual assessment thereof will be a fair price, and that if he cannot sell it, a permanent putta may be given to Government at the ordinary assessment, viz., Rupees 2-1-6 a-year.

2. I feel doubtful whether the Court of Wards can, as trustees of Devasthanams in the Ramnad Zemindari, alienate the land by sale. I would, therefore, beg to propose that the land may be taken under the Land Acquisition Act, which course would finally settle all questions as to the right of a trustee to sell and as to title. In case this proposal should meet with the Court's approval, I beg to submit two draft notifications for publication in the *Fort Saint George Gazette*.

ENCLOSURES Nos. 1 and 2.—Draft Notifications.

THE Collector will report whether it would be practicable to reduce the quit-rent on the Inam or any other Inam belonging to the pagoda, or to grant in exchange for the land proposed to be occupied a similar piece of Government land.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) E. GIBSON,
Acting Sub-Secretary.

To the Acting Collector of Madura.

Exd. W. J. Lewis.

Court of 1876
 REVENUE.
 THE BOARD OF REVENUE.
 Co., do., do.
 Dated September, 28th.
 G.O., 28th September 1876, No. 1,377.
 SEPTEMBER.
 Nos. 350-361.
 Perishable of the Ramnad Estate to Madura
 District.—Sanctioning the proposed reduction of
 —by Rs 1-15-9.

No. 2,375.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE COURT OF WARDS, dated 19th September 1876.

Read again Proceedings of the Court of Wards, dated 31st March 1876, No. 891.
Read also the following letter from H. W. BLISS, Esq., Acting Collector of Madura, to C. A. GALTON, Esq., Acting Secretary to the Court of Wards, dated 17th August 1876, No. 107:—

With reference to the Court's Proceedings, dated 31st March 1876, I have the honor to report that the village of Tiruvadani itself is an Inam land attached to the Pagoda in that village and paying to the Zemindar a Jodi of Rupees 30-3-0 per annum. As no Government land is available for being granted in exchange for the land required for the Deputy Tahsildar's office, I think it would be best to deduct from this Jodi the assessment of the land amounting to Rupees 1-15-9. I therefore propose to deduct this sum from the Jodi due by the Pagoda to the Zemindar, and to make a proportionate deduction in the Peishcush of the estate. To the latter deduc-

	RS.	A.	P.
Present Peishcush	3,14,006	2	7
Reduction proposed		1	15 9
Future Peishcush	3,14,004	2	10

tion* I request sanction. The former will be included (*pro forma*) in the statement of Inam lands taken for public purposes under Standing Order No. 168. The price of the land due to the Pagoda, which also owns the Kudivaram right, will be paid in

2. I beg here to state that the assessment of the land in question was entered at Rupees 2-1-6 through a mistake instead of at Rupees 1-15-9 in my letter recorded in the Court's Proceedings under reply.

The sanction of Government is requested for the reduction in the Peishcush of the Ramnad Estate of Rupees 1-15-9 recommended by the Collector.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

C. A. Galton
Acting Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department,
with two enclosures (in No. 1,386 of 1876) to be returned,
and copy of Court's Proceedings, dated 31st March 1876, No. 891.
Copy to the Acting Collector of Madura.

Ed. W. J. Lewis.

1876.
Court of Wards,
MADRAS.

PROCEEDINGS, 31st MARCH 1876, No. 891.

Received

MARCH.
No. 891.

Deputy Tahsildar's Office—at Tiruvadanai in Madura District.—Requesting Collector to report further on certain points in connection with the proposed acquisition of certain Devasthanam Inam land for the construction of—

Ans: 23/8/76
1876.

Court of Records,
MADRAS.

Re: S. S. S. S. S.

PROCEEDINGS, 19TH SEPT. 1876, No. 2,375.

23/8/76

*End of
1876*

Received 27/8/76

SEPTEMBER.

No. 2,375.

*Ramach Estate—in Madura District.—Re-
questing sanction of Government for reduction
of Rs. 1-15-9 in Peishush of — on account of
certain Inam land taken up for Deputy Tahsil-
dar's Catcherry at Tiruvadanai.*

23/8/76