M.R.O. 1—20,002—12-8-65.

(1) Number of Pages 6

(2) Date of repair 5.6.69

(3) Initials of the Referencer

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

Revenue DEPARTMENT

G.O. No. 1837 Press

~~B.P. No.~~ ~~Mis.~~

Dated 26.9-1876 ~~196~~ .

Not to be folded or roughly handled

3357/1

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department, 26th September 1876.

No. 270. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 11th September 1876, No. 2,314 :—

Read the following :—

From A. J. STUART, Esq., Acting Collector of Tinnevelly, to C. A. GALTON, Esq., Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated 8th August 1876, No. 481.

In reply to Board's Proceedings, No. 1,754, dated 10th July 1876, I have the honor to report that the tenants of the Govindappien's Choultry, though not tenants at will, are tenants at certain fixed and customary rates as per puttas issued to them, and hold a position similar to that of the tenants of a Zemindari.

2. There will be nothing unjust to them in giving the putta to the Inamdar, who will be bound to treat his tenants as usual, and who in this case proposes the unusual indulgence of allowing remission for waste and withered crops.

3. The Inamdar, who is supposed to hold at half the Iyen assessment of the village, finds that all he can get out of it at the customary rates, which are higher than the Iyen rates, barely covers the half assessment, as calculated at the time the Inam was settled, and applies to be permitted to hold the village on other terms, proposing that he should pay to Government what Government would receive from the village were it an Iyen village under the new settlement.

4. I do not see any thing to prevent the Government from complying with this request should they see fit to do so, and, in token of compliance, from issuing an Iyen putta to the Inamdar for the lands held in the village, as well as for any which the Inamdar may hereafter find tenants to cultivate. The Inamdar's profit will then consist in the difference between the rates customary between him and his tenants and those at which the Government are pleased to receive payment from him, that is, those of the new settlement.

5. If it were found that a Zemindar was unable to make out of his Zemindari, at customary rates of assessment, enough to cover the peishcush due to Government, and that the peishcush so due was higher than the rates which would be payable to Government from the occupied lands of his Zemindari charged at full Ayen rates, I do not think there would be anything to prevent the Government from granting an Iyen putta to the Zemindar for the occupied lands of his Zemin and from adding from time to time to the putta fresh lands brought under cultivation by his tenants, nor would the Zemindar be less bound to observe the rates customary between him and his tenants, or the tenants any worse off than before. Should the Board agree in this view, I trust they will permit the issue of the Iyen putta to the Local Fund Board.

Flat Henry

Proceedings of the Madras Government, dated 8th August 1876, No. 1,055, Revenue Department :—

Abstract.—Awaiting report called for from Collector of Tinnevelly regarding application for cancelment of Inam attached to Govindappien's Choultry at Alwartirunagari in the district.

The letter read above will be submitted for the orders of Government.

2. From the explanation furnished, it appears that the occupants of the land are the real proprietors and the Local Fund Board is merely an assignee of the

Government Revenue. If so, the Board do not think that a Revenue putta can be issued to the Inamdar by the Local Fund Board. Any reduction in the rates of Government assessment is intended for the benefit of landholders and not of the assignees of Government Revenue. The Board do not concur with the Collector in thinking that any proposal on the part of a Zemindar such as that stated in paragraph 5 would be considered reasonable.

3. With reference to the suggestion in the order read above that an equivalent of the amount of the original endowment should be made over to the Local Fund Board, the Board doubt the advisability of such a course, which, if allowed in this case, is likely to establish an inconvenient precedent. The Government resume the Inam, because the Inamdar gives it up, being unable to fulfil one of the conditions of the grant, *i.e.*, the payment of quit-rent, and there is no reason why any compensation should be granted for the relinquishment, especially as the cause of the deterioration in value of the Inam appears to be owing to the neglect of the Inamdar to maintain the Komanerikulam in proper order. The Local Fund Board may however apply for a fresh endowment under the rules embodied in Board's Standing Order No. 105.

(A True Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) C. A. GALTON,
Acting Secretary.

No. 271. ORDER THEREON, 26th September 1876. No. 1,337.

Miscellaneous.

IT is not clear from the correspondence in whose name the Title Deed, issued by the Inam Commissioner, stands, who is the Inamdar, the conditions of the grant made to him, and in what relation he stands to the Local Fund Board. The choultry does not appear to fall under the Standing Circular No. 105, it being an old institution with a special endowment for itself made in former years.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) D. F. CARMICHAEL,
Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.

Exd. J. W. Fischer.

1337/2

Govt. of Madras.

REVENUE.

To

The BOARD of REVENUE.

Dated September, 26th.

Rcvd.

Encl.

G.O., 26*th September* 1876, No. 1,337.

SEPTEMBER.

Nos. 270-271.

Govindappien's Choultry at Alvartirunagari in Tinnevelly District.—Calling for further particulars on Board's Proceedings relative to proposal to issue Iyen putta at settlement rates for Inam attached to—.

1351/3

No. 2,314.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 11th September 1876.

Read the following :—

From A. J. STUART, Esq., Acting Collector of Tinnevelly, to C. A. GALTON, Esq., Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated 8th August 1876, No. 481.

IN reply to Board's Proceedings, No. 1,754, dated 10th July 1876, I have the honor to report that the tenants of the Govindappien's Choultry, though not tenants at will, are tenants [illegible] fixed and customary rates as per puttas issued to them, and hold a position similar to that of the tenants of a Zemindari.

2. There will be nothing unjust to them in giving the putta to the Inamdar, who will be bound to treat his tenants as usual, and who in this case proposes the unusual indulgence of allowing remission for waste and withered crops.

3. The Inamdar, who is supposed to hold at half the Ayen assessment of the village, finds that all he can get out of it at the customary rates, which are higher than the Ayen rates, barely covers the half assessment, as calculated at the time the Inam was settled, and applies to be permitted to hold the village on other terms, proposing that he should pay to Government what Government would receive from the village were it an Iyen village under the new settlement.

4. I do not see any thing to prevent the Government from complying with this request should they see fit to do so, and, in token of compliance, from issuing an Iyen putta to the Inamdar for the lands held in the village, as well as for any which the Inamdar may hereafter find tenants to cultivate. The Inamdar's profit will then consist in the difference between the rates customary between him and his tenants and those at which the Government are pleased to receive payment from him, that is those of the new settlement.

5. If it were found that a Zemindar was unable to make out of his Zemindari, at customary rates of assessment, enough to cover the peishcush due to Government, and that the peishcush so due was higher than the rates which would be payable to Government from the occupied lands of his Zemindari charged at full Ayen rates, I do not think there would be anything to prevent the Government from granting an Iyen putta to the Zemindar for the occupied lands of his Zemin and from adding from time to time to the putta fresh lands brought under cultivation by his tenants, nor would the Zemindar be less bound to observe the rates customary between him and his tenants, or the tenants any worse off than before. Should the Board agree in this view, I trust they will permit the issue of the Iyen putta to the Local Fund Board.

Proceedings of the Madras Government, dated 8th August 1876, No. 1,055, Revenue Department :—

ABSTRACT.—Awaiting report called for from Collector of Tinnevelly regarding application for cancelment of Inam attached to Govindappien's Choultry at Alvartirunagari in the district.

THE letter read above will be submitted for the orders of Government.

2. From the explanation furnished, it appears that the occupants of the land are the real proprietors and the Local Fund Board is merely an assignee of the

Government Revenue. If so, the Board do not think that a Revenue putta can be issued to the Inamdar by the Local Fund Board. Any reduction in the rates of Government assessment is intended for the benefit of landholders and not of the assignees of Government Revenue. The Board do not concur with the Collector in thinking that any proposal on the part of a Zemindar such as that stated in paragraph 5 would be considered reasonable.

3. With reference to the suggestion in the order read above that an equivalent of the amount of the original endowment should be made over to the Local Fund Board, the Board doubt the advisability of such a course, which, if allowed in this case, is likely to establish an inconvenient precedent. The Government resume the Inam, because the Inamdar gives it up, being unable to fulfil one of the conditions of the grant, viz., the payment of quit-rent, and there is no reason why any compensation should be granted for the relinquishment, especially as the cause of the deterioration in value of the Inam appears to be owing to the neglect of the Inamdar to maintain the Komanerikulam in proper order. The Local Fund Board may however apply for a fresh endowment under the rules embodied in Board's Standing Order No. 105.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

Acting Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department.
„ the Acting Collector of Tinnevelly, with Government Order.

1337

Exd. W. J. Lewis.

1876
1876.

Board of Revenue,
MADRAS.

PROCEEDINGS, 11TH SEPTEMBER 1876, No. 2,314.

Encl.

Received 21 Sept

SEPTEMBER.
No. 2,314.

Govindoppier's Choultry — at Alwartirunagari in Tinnevelly District.—Submitting to Government Board's observations relative to proposal to issue Ayen putta at settlement rates for Inam attached to —.