

Revenue (193) Department.

SERIES.

G.O. No. 1200

DATED 31-8-1875

[Despatch Abstract.]

BACK PAPERS

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

No. 1,658.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 28th June 1876.

Read the following letter from J. D. B. GRIBBLE, Esq., Acting Collector of Cuddapah, to C. A. GALTON, Esq., Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Madanapally, 8th June 1876, No. 130 :—

I HAVE the honor to reply to Board's Proceedings, No. 944, dated 7th April 1876.

2. On a perusal of the original sanad I find that the original grantee was authorized to collect all Jodi on Inam lands, &c. The literal words of the sanad are—"You are to collect from the ryots of the above villages all such revenue arising from the land whether assessed in full on the Circar land or payable as Jodigee on Inam, as has hitherto been received by Government; and also all such additional revenue as may be derived from the cultivation of lands hitherto lying waste."

3. The following statement exhibits the total land revenue, together with the Jodi and the Seigniorage on trees collected during the last eleven years, or since the resumption of the villages:—

Village.	Fasli.	Land Revenue.	Jodi.	Seigniorage	Total.	Remarks.
		RS. A. P.	RS. A. P.	RS. A. P.	RS. A. P.	
Puttanipally.	1275.....	1,905 9 3	...	...	21,813 0 9	
	1276.....	2,301 10 4	...	...		
	1277.....	1,737 13 10	...	...		
	1278.....	2,259 5 3	...	...		
	1279.....	2,242 1 0	...	...		
	1280.....	2,033 10 8	...	...		
	1281.....	829 10 9	...	...		
	1282.....	2,117 4 6	...	...		
	1283.....	2,113 12 2	...	...		
	1284.....	2,111 1 3	...	...		
	1285.....	2,111 1 3	...	...		
Edapalapaya.	1275.....	3,323 13 5	100 10 9	1,048 0 0	41,171 7 2	This is the only village in the district where there is a good palmyra tope, and it is therefore highly advisable that it should be kept in Government hands. The tope is a very valuable one, and is like a small forest. I have noted the falling off in the seigniorage, and shall institute inquiries as to its cause.
	1276.....	3,271 1 9	98 7 9	723 0 0		
	1277.....	3,397 5 3	98 7 9	320 0 0		
	1278.....	3,232 1 10	97 14 0	348 0 0		
	1279.....	3,308 10 6	97 14 0	1,094 0 0		
	1280.....	3,302 2 0	97 14 0	700 0 0		
	1281.....	3,021 8 5	97 14 0	100 0 0		
	1282.....	3,086 9 7	96 12 6	176 0 0		
	1283.....	3,054 1 4	96 12 6	126 0 0		
	1284.....	3,021 0 2	96 12 6	100 0 0		
	1285.....	3,060 13 7	96 12 6	166 0 0		
Grand Total ..		...	...	...	62,984 7 11	

	RE.	A.	P.
The average revenue of both the villages is	5,725	13	9
Deduct Jodi paid by deceased	2,800	0	0
Remaining	2,925	13	9

Half of this sum to which Bhujunga Row is entitled is Rupees 1,462-14-10.

Bhoojunga Row, as per G.O.,
dated 15th May 1876, No.
618

12,742	0	0
3,350	3	2

Balance ...

rupees 5,500. The greater part of the money will eventually come back to Government, as Bhoojunga Row states that he wishes to turn the village into a freehold.

In disposing of the first of the foregoing letters in Board's Proceedings, dated 28th June 1876, No. 1,658, the fact that the figures given by the Collector contained new items of receipt not referred to in the previous correspondence was overlooked. The Board will now proceed to notice the several points involved in the matter.

2. Under the orders of Government, dated 19th January 1876, No. 79, Bhoojunga Row is entitled to a moiety of the shrotriem held by his late brother.

3. The revenue of the shrotriem at the time of the grant was Rupees 5,600, and deducting therefrom Rupees 2,800 paid by Bhoojunga Row's late brother as Jodi, the net revenue amounted to Rupees 2,800. The Collector stated that although Bhoojunga Row was entitled to half of the latter amount, *i.e.*, Rupees 1,400, the latter had consented to receive a village yielding a revenue of Rupees 1,378-4-0, which he recommended should be granted as Inam. The Board thought that Bhoojunga Row was not entitled to a moiety calculated on the revenue as estimated *at the time of the grant*, but that he should get only a moiety of the present revenue calculated on the average of the past ten years, which amounted to Rupees 1,158-5-6. The Government agreed

G.O., dated 15th May 1876, No. 618.

with the Collector, and he was accordingly ordered to carry out his proposal. The Collector now states that the moiety calculated on the average revenue of the last

amount of the Inam to be granted should be charged as quit-rent.

6. From G.O., dated 15th May 1876, No. 618, the Board understand it to be the intention of Government that the village should be enfranchised on half assessment, the quit-rent being collected from the date on which the Inamdar is put in possession. There is no necessity for collecting the quit-rent Rupees 42-10-2, or any similar sum that may be charged from the date of the decease of Bhoojunga Row's brother, but only from the date on which the village is put in his possession.

7. As regards the payment of arrears, Bhoojunga Row is certainly entitled to the refund of Rupees 16,092-3-2. Of this Rupees 12,742 having been already paid, the remainder, Rupees 3,350-3-2, may be refunded and the sanction of Government is solicited for the same.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) C. A. GALTON,
Acting Secretary.

No. 337.

ORDER THEREON, 31st August 1876, No. 1,200.

Miscellaneous.

Under the circumstances recommended the Government consider that the proper value of the Inam to be granted to Bhoojunga Row is Rs. 1,462-14-10, and the arrears payable to him are eleven times this amount or Rs. 16,092-3-2. The Government accordingly sanction the disbursement of the balance of Rs. 3,350-3-2 as recommended.

Exd. A. S. Rodgers

In taking these figures I have, as directed by the Board, calculated the revenue of the two villages at old pymaish rates.

4. According to the new settlement rates the registered beriz of the village of Puttampally which Bhujunga Row wishes to retain is Rupees 1,378-4-0, but including Fasaljasty is Rupees 1,532-10-0 and Rupees 1,605-13-0, respectively, for Faslis 1284 and 1285. As the charges for Fasal and Teervajasty will naturally vary according to seasons the fairest way to arrive at an average value of the village will be to take the three items together, and strike an average from them thus—

	RS.	A.	P.
Registered beriz	1,378	4	0
Beriz including Fasaljasty for 1284	1,532	10	0
Do. do. for 1285	1,605	13	0
	3)	4,516	11 0
Average value ...	1,505	9	0

The half due to Bhujunga Row as shown in paragraph 3 is Rupees 1,462-14-10; the difference between this sum and Rupees 1,505-9-0 will be Rupees 42-10-2, and this sum, as suggested by the Board, I propose to fix as the Jodi or Quit rent on the village of Puttampally.

5. As regards the enfranchisement of the village Bhujunga Row is not willing to pay the quit-rent for eleven years in arrears, which being Rupees 752-12-6 annually would amount to a sum of Rupees 8,280-9-6. He urges, however, that as he has been a sufferer by being kept out of the Shrotriem for so long he should be allowed to enfranchise it on the rates in force prior to G.O., No. 1,344 of 1875, (dated 10th September 1875,) from the date on which the village is made over to him. There is, I think, some reason in this request, especially as the difference between the new rates and the old ones by no means represents so large a sum as Rupees 8,280; under the old rates he would have to pay a quit-rent of one half or Rupees 752-12-0; under the rates fixed by the Government Order he will have to pay three-fourths, or Rupees 1,137-4-0. The difference is only Rupees 384-8-0, but if he can only take advantage of the old rates on payment of a lump sum of Rupees 8,280 it would be manifestly wiser for him to select the new ones. Again, he urges that his claim was made long previous to the introduction of the new rules, and the Secretary of State's decision was passed only one month after the new rules came in force. He states that in order to recognize the paramount claim of Government he is willing to pay the quit-rent which I have recommended to be fixed in future on the village from the date of his brother's decease; this would be Rupees 42-10-2 multiplied by 11, or Rupees 469-0-6. In the event of this request being declined Bhujunga Row elects to enfranchise the village on a yearly payment of three-fourths of the average value, or Rupees 1,137-4-0 annually, *plus* Rupees 42-10-6 Jodi, or a total of Rupees 1,179-14-6.

6. According to the present calculation by which the average value has been fixed according to the Board's instructions, and the Jodi on Inams and seigniorage included, the amount due to Bhujunga Row will be Rupees 1,505-9-0 multiplied by 11 or Rupees 16,561-3-0, or if the arrears of Jodi are paid Rupees 16,092-3-2. Bhujunga Row prays that early orders may be issued for the pay-

ment of these arrears, as he has to assume the management of the village from 1st July, and is at present totally unprovided with capital for any improvements which may be required.

The Collector is referred to G.O., dated 15th May 1876, No. 618, from which it will be observed that the Government have approved of the proposals made by him in his letter, dated 18th March 1876, No. 61.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) C. A. GALTON,
Acting Secretary.

To the Acting Collector of Cuddapah.

Ed. J. Talloch.

No. 1,968.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 3rd August 1876.

Read again letter from the Collector of Cuddapah, dated 8th June 1876, No. 130, recorded in Board's Proceedings, dated 28th June 1876, No. 1,658.

Read also the following letter from J. D. B. GRIEBBLE, Esq., Acting Collector of Cuddapah, to C. A. GALTON, Esq., Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Wontimettah, 1st July 1876, No. 161:—

With reference to Board's Proceedings, dated 28th ultimo, I respectfully beg to point out that the Government Order, dated 15th May 1876, No. 618, referred to therein does not dispose of the question raised in my letter, dated 8th June 1876, No. 130. The Government Order sanctions the sum due to Mysore Bhoojunga Row according to the first calculation, but approves of the revised method of calculation suggested by the Board of Revenue in their Proceedings, No. 944, dated 7th April 1876.

2. On a further examination it also appeared that Bhoojunga Row is entitled to arrears of jodi and inam, which altogether amount to the sum mentioned in my letter,

	RS.	A.	P.	
Total amount of arrears as shown in the last paragraph of letter to the Board of Revenue, dated 8th June 1876, No. 130	16,092	3	2	No. 130, of 8th June last. The sum sanctioned by Government, viz., Rs. 12,742, has been drawn by Bhoojunga Row, and I now beg to request orders regarding the payment of the balance, amounting to Rupees 3,350. The greater part of the money will eventually come back to Government, as Bhoojunga Row states that he wishes to turn the village into a freehold.
Deduct amount drawn by Bhoojunga Row, as per G.O., dated 15th May 1876, No. 618	12,742	0	0	
Balance	3,350	3	2	

In disposing of the first of the foregoing letters in Board's Proceedings, dated 28th June 1876, No. 1,658, the fact that the figures given by the Collector contained new items of receipt not referred to in the previous correspondence was overlooked. The Board will now proceed to notice the several points involved in the matter.

2. Under the orders of Government, dated 19th January 1876, No. 79, Bhoojunga Row is entitled to a moiety of the shrotriem held by his late brother.

3. The revenue of the shrotriem at the time of the grant was Rupees 5,600, and deducting therefrom Rupees 2,800 paid by Bhoojunga Row's late brother as Jodi, the net revenue amounted to Rupees 2,800. The Collector stated that although Bhoojunga Row was entitled to half of the latter amount, i.e., Rupees 1,400, the latter had consented to receive a village yielding a revenue of Rupees 1,378-4-0, which he recommended should be granted as Inam. The Board thought that Bhoojunga Row was not entitled to a moiety calculated on the revenue as estimated at the time of the grant, but that he should get only a moiety of the present revenue calculated on the average of the past ten years, which amounted to Rupees 1,158-5-6. The Government agreed with the Collector, and he was accordingly ordered to carry out his proposal. The Collector now states that the moiety calculated on the average revenue of the last

G.O., dated 15th May 1876, No. 618.

1876.
Board of Revenue,
MADRAS.

PROCEEDINGS, 28th JUNE 1876, No. 1,658.

Encl.

Received

JUNE,
No. 1,658.

Bhoojunga Row, grandson of the late Butcher Row, Head Sheristadar of, and holder of two Shrotriem villages in Cuddapah District. Referring Collector to G.O., 15th May 1876, No. 618, with reference to his proposals for payment of certain arrears to — and for enfranchisement of one of the two villages which he wishes to retain.

28th August 1876 No. 1200

eleven years (only ten years were given in the previous correspondence, the accounts of Fash 1285 not having been then closed) comes to Rs. 1,462-14-10 and not Rs. 1,158-5-6 as erroneously calculated previously owing to the omissions of certain items of revenue in the Collector's statement, and that the revenue of the village proposed to be granted to Bhoojunga Row including Fasaljastee is Rupees 1,505-9-0 and not Rupees 1,378-4-0 as formerly given. The question therefore for decision is whether Bhoojunga Row should have Rupees 1,378-4-0, the amount first accepted by him, or Rupees 1,400 calculated with reference to the revenue of the Shrotriem as estimated when it was originally granted, or Rupees 1,462-14-10, the moiety calculated with reference to the average of the past eleven years.

4. The Board are of opinion that Bhoojunga Row is entitled to Rs. 1,462-14-10, the moiety of the present revenue calculated with reference to the average of the past eleven years. This point will be submitted for the orders of Government.

5. The annual revenue of the village proposed to be granted to Bhoojunga Row is calculated at Rupees 1,505-9-0, but the calculation does not appear to be correct. The Collector takes the Fasaljastee of two years and divides it by three to obtain an average. This is not the correct course. He should take the collections on account of Fasal and Tervaljastee of the last five or ten years, and add its average with a slight deduction on account of any difference in the old and new rates of assessment to the registered beriz of the village, i.e., Rupees 1,378-4-0. The difference between the amount thus obtained and that which may be sanctioned by Government as the proper amount of the Inam to be granted should be charged as quit-rent.

6. From G.O., dated 15th May 1876, No. 618, the Board understand it to be the intention of Government that the village should be enfranchised on half assessment, the quit-rent being collected from the date on which the Inamdar is put in possession. There is no necessity for collecting the quit-rent Rupees 42-10-2, or any similar sum that may be charged from the date of the decease of Bhoojunga Row's brother, but only from the date on which the village is put in his possession.

7. As regards the payment of arrears, Bhoojunga Row is certainly entitled to the refund of Rupees 16,092-3-2. Of this Rupees 12,742 having been already paid, the remainder, Rupees 3,350-3-2, may be refunded and the sanction of Government is solicited for the same.

(A true Copy and Extract.)


Acting Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department,
with copy of Board's Proceedings, dated 28th June 1876, No. 1,658.
to the Collector of Cuddapah.

Ed. W. J. Lewis.

Under the circumstances now stated the portion
 taken with the proper value of the same to be paid
 to the Government is Rs. 1462-14-10, & the amount for
 future are shown times the amount of Rs. 1692-7-2.
 The Govt. accordingly sanction the disbursement of the
 balance of Rs. 3350-3-2 as recommended.

2- The future grant rent on Pottampally, & the calculation
 on the principle stated in para 5.

Ind. Cap. to the Board of Revenue, 31 August 1876

1. The Accountant General's office.

Board of Revenue,
 MADRAS.

PROCEEDINGS, 3RD AUGUST 1876, No. 1,968.

2nd Aug 1876

Received 10th Aug 1876

AUGUST.

No. 1,968.

Bhoojunga Row—Grandson of the late Jathia
 Row, holder of two Sharements in Cuddapah
 District. Requesting sanction of Government
 for payment of Rupees 2,500-9-2, in addition to
 the amount already paid on account of arrears
 due to —, and issuing instructions regarding
 grant to be charged on the lands proposed to
 be granted to him.

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
 31st August 1876.

No. 336. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 3rd
 August 1876, No. 1,968:—

Read again letter from the Collector of Cuddapah, dated 8th June 1876, No. 130,
 recorded in Board's Proceedings, dated 28th June 1876, No. 1,658.

Read also the following letter from J. D. B. GRIBBLE, Esq., Acting Collector of
 Cuddapah, to C. A. GALTON, Esq., Acting Secretary to the Board of Rev-
 enue, dated Wontimettah, 1st July 1876, No. 161:—

With reference to Board's Proceedings, dated 28th ultimo, I respectfully beg to
 point out that the Government Order, dated 15th May 1876, No. 618, referred to therein,
 does not dispose of the question raised in my letter, dated 8th June 1876, No. 130.
 The Government Order sanctions the sum due to Mysore Bhoojunga Row according
 to the first calculation, but approves of the revised method of calculation suggested
 by the Board of Revenue in their Proceedings, No. 944, dated 7th April 1876.

2. On a further examination it also appeared that Bhoojunga Row is entitled to
 arrears of jodi and inam, which altogether amount to the sum mentioned in my letter,

Total amount of arrears as shown in the last paragraph of letter to the Board of Rev- enue, dated 8th June 1876, No. 130	Rs. A. P.	No. 310, of 8th June last. The sum sanc- tioned by Government, viz., Rs. 12,742, has been drawn by Bhoojunga Row, and I now beg to request orders regarding the payment of the balance, amounting to
Deduct amount drawn by	16,092 3 2	

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
31st August 1876.*

eleven years (only ten years were given in the previous correspondence, the accounts of Fash 1285 not having been then closed) comes to Rs. 1,462-14-10 and not Rs. 1,158-5-6 as erroneously calculated previously owing to the omissions of certain items of revenue in the Collector's statement, and that the revenue of the village proposed to be granted to Bhoojunga Row including Fasaljastee is Rupees 1,505-9-0 and not Rupees 1,378-4-0 as formerly given. The question therefore for decision is whether Bhoojunga Row should have Rupees 1,378-4-0, the amount first accepted by him, or Rupees 1,400 calculated with reference to the revenue of the Shrotriem as estimated when it was originally granted, or Rupees 1,462-14-10, the moiety calculated with reference to the average of the past eleven years.

4. The Board are of opinion that Bhoojunga Row is entitled to Rs. 1,462-14-10, the moiety of the present revenue calculated with reference to the average of the past eleven years. This point will be submitted for the orders of Government.

5. The annual revenue of the village proposed to be granted to Bhoojunga Row is calculated at Rupees 1,505-9-0, but the calculation does not appear to be correct. The Collector takes the Fasaljastee of two years and divides it by three to obtain an average. This is not the correct course. He should take the collections on account of Fasal and Tervahjastee of the last five or ten years, and add its average with a slight deduction on account of any difference in the old and new rates of assessment to the registered beriz of the village, *i.e.*, Rupees 1,378-4-0. The difference between the amount thus obtained and that which may be sanctioned by Government as the proper

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
31st August 1876.*

2. The future quit-rent on Putlampally should be calculated on the principle stated in paragraph 5.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) D. F. CARMICHAEL,
Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.

15-2-12
16/9/3872

Original

Cont. of 18761

REVENUE

THE BOARD OF REVENUE

10th August 1876

10th August 1876 A. 1876

AUGUST
1876

Printed and Published by the Government Printer, at the Government Press, No. 1, Cross Street, Singapore.