

(1) Number of Pages.....10

(2) Date of repair.....2-5-60

(3) Initials of the Referencer.....*[Signature]*

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

Revenue DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.	1136	Press
B.P. No.		Mis.
Dated 21-8-1876		196 .

Not to be folded or roughly handled

27

1136
1

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
21st August 1876.*

No. 209. Read the following letter from Lieutenant-Colonel R. H. BEDDOME, Conservator of Forests, to the Hon. D. F. CARMICHAEL, Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, Ootacamund, dated Trichinopoly, 12th August 1876, No. 1,051:—

With reference to paragraph 2 of the G.O., No. 964, of the 18th of July last, I

have the honor to forward a letter* of explanation from the Forest Officer of Forests, to the Conservator of Forests, No. 119, dated 4th August 1876, with one the Wynaad Division. enclosure.

2. With regard to the second suit brought by Syed Budeen against the department, Mr. Peet is not in possession of the precise nature of the claim, but supposes it to be an attempt to recover Rupees 149-13-6 which was deducted, according to the terms of the contract, for three logs not delivered.

No. 210. ORDER THEREON, 21st August 1876, No. 1,136.

Forests, No. 9.

In G.O., 18th July 1876, Colonel Beddome was instructed, with reference to his application for permission to defend

No. 2 suit referred to in that letter, that "until the details of the case are reported upon and found to be such as to warrant such action," i.e., defence, sanction to defend could not be granted.

2. Colonel Beddome was also ordered to follow the procedure laid down in Board's Standing Order, No. 295, excepting only that the report should be made direct to Government.

3. That procedure is—

- (1) that the fact of a suit having been filed should be reported to Government with a copy of the *plaint*.
- (2) that if the suit is deemed defensible a *draft* answer should be submitted at the same time.

4. Colonel Beddome now forwards a letter from Mr. Peet asking again for sanction to defend, but giving no further particulars. He not only fails to follow the procedure indicated, but gives no reason for omitting to do so. Government are not even informed how the credit came to be made, and why the plaintiff probably objects to this being done.

5. Colonel Beddome's letter is returned for further information accordingly. He will observe that inattention to prescribed rules has caused needless correspondence and delay.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) D. F. CARMICHAEL,
Secretary to Government.

To the Conservator of Forests.

Exd. F. R. Bantleman.

Conservator of Forests.

No. 1059.

Office of Conservator of Forests,
Camp, Trichinopoly 12th August 76

From,

Lieut. Col. R. H. Reddome,
Conservator of Forests.

1136
2

To. The Hon. D. St. Carmichael,
Secretary to Government
Revenue Dept.
Cotacaimund

Sir,

With reference to para 2 of the
Government order No. 964 of the 18th
of July last I have the honor
to forward a letter of expla-
nation from
the Forest Officer of the Wynad Division.

2. With regard to the 2nd Suit brought
by Syed Budeen against the Department,
Mr. Peet is not in possession of the
precise nature of the claim but sup-
poses it to be an attempt to recover
Rupees 149-13-6 which was deducted, ac-
cording to the terms of the Contract, for
logs not delivered.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obed^t. Serv^t.
R. H. Reddome. Lt. Col.
Conservator of Forests.

Colt. of 11876

REVENUE.

THE CONSERVATOR OF FORESTS

Dated August, 31st.

Recd.

Encl.

G.O. 21st August 1876, No. 133.

AUGUST.

Nos. 209-210.

But against Mr. Peet, Deputy Conservator,
Wynad Forests. — Returning Colonel Reddome's
letter relating to a — for further information,
and observing that inattention to prescribed Rules
has caused needless correspondence and delay.

C 1136

1136/4

Proceedings of the Madras Government

Resd order No 51 August 1896

Read the following
letter from the Commissioner of
Forests

(Here enter 12 Aug 1896 No 1059)

In G. O. 18 July 1896,
Colonel Beddome was instructed,
with reference to his application
for permission to defend No 2
Sint referred to in that letter,
that "until the details of the
"case are reported upon and
"found to be such as to war-
"rant such action" i.e. defence,
sanction to defend could not
be granted.

2. Colonel Beddome was
also ordered to follow the
procedure laid down in
Board's Standing Order
No 295, excepting only that
the report should be made
direct

direct to Government

3. That procedure is

(1) that the fact of a suit having been filed should be reported to Govt. with a copy of the Plaintiff.

(2) that if the suit is deemed defensible a draft answer should be submitted at the same time

4. Colonel Beildome now forwards a letter from Mr.

Pest asking again for sanction to defend, but giving no

further particulars. He not only fails to follow the procedure indicated, but gives no reason for omitting to do

so. Government are not even informed how the credit came to be made and why the Plaintiff probably objects to this being done.

5. Colonel Beildome's letter is returned for further information accordingly.

He will observe that in the letter the Plaintiff is asked to state the grounds of his objection to the suit being defended.

1136
5

London & day
My

And copy to the Conservator of Forests
21 Printed copies of 1876

No 3332

Revenue - Forests
Revenue 1876. Districts

From

The Conservator of Forests

To

The Secretary of Govt.
Rev: Dept.

Chancery.

Re: 11736-21 District 1876

Re: 11736-21

Re: 11736-21 District 1876

No: 1059

(Revenue on P.D.)

District

Revenue, with reference

to No: 2 of G.O. No: 964 of 1876

1876, a letter of Sub-Commissioner

from the District Officer of the

Myrao District -

No 209

c. 18: Aug/76 A 21: Aug

Sept. 10.
Sidel against M. P. C.

Ser. W. R.

c. 18: Aug/76 R21, Aug