

(1) Number of Pages 6
(2) Date of repair 13.5.69
(3) Initials of the Referencer 22.5.69

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

REVENUE DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.	1114	Press
B.P. No.		Mis.
Dated	16.8.1876	196 .

Not to be folded or roughly handled

hus

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
16th August 1876.*

No. 162. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 19th July 1876, No. 1,861 :—

Read the following letter from Colonel F. J. B. PRIESTLEY, Superintendent of Revenue Survey, to C. A. GALTON, Esq., Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Chepauk, 26th June 1876, No. 1,880-66.

I HAVE the honor to enclose copy of a letter from the Deputy Superintendent of No. 1 Party, Coimbatore, on the subject contained in G. O., dated 22nd May 1876, No. 659. I beg permission to draw the attention of the Board to paragraph 3 of Colonel Cloete's letter, from which the difficulties met with by a Demarcation Officer, to whom time is valuable in the performance of his duties, are apparent.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.

Copy of a letter from the Deputy Superintendent of No. 1 Revenue Survey, to the Superintendent of Revenue Survey, dated Coimbatore, 23rd June 1876, No. 620-295.

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your endorsement, No. 1800-196, of the 19th, forwarding G. O., No. 659, of 22nd May 1876, for my perusal and return with any remarks I may have to offer, and beg to submit the following.

Referring back to the Board of Revenue and the Collector, for full local inquiry on certain points, papers relating to the proposal of the Superintendent of Revenue Survey for the transfer of a portion of the Kadinmalla Village in Sattiamangalam Taluq, Coimbatore District, to the Nilgiri District.

these will not be much affected by the transfer. Kadinmalla is a paper village only; like many others situated in the belt of jungle at the foot of the hills it has long ceased to exist as a village site. The rights to the land are practically vested in, and exercised by, the inhabitants of the four hamlets nominally belonging to Kadinmalla, and which are situated within the tract proposed to be transferred; the rights would thus be transferred with the land and the inhabitants who are hill men.

3. The wishes of the people I have been unable to ascertain; my letters to the Collector on the subject elicited no reply; the taluq authorities, in reply to my letters a year ago, stated that they must refer to the Collector before they could say any thing regarding the wishes of the people; and, when having failed to elicit the information by writing, I went to the spot to learn what I could. I was met only by a young and ignorant Taluq Peon, although I had given the taluq authorities ample notice of my visit and had requested them to cause the people I required to be present.

4. I think the transfer would not cause any injury to vested rights and interests sufficient to outweigh the advantage of attaching a piece of the hills to the hill district. There are but a few scattered patches of Kumari cultivation in it; there would still, after the transfer, be ample jungle and grazing ground left for the non-existent Kadinmalla: the tract proposed to be transferred is separated from the villages where the Kadinmalla ryots live by a broad belt of wild feverish jungle seldom traversed by men.

5. I would mention also that in 1859 and 1860 six villages situated in the neighbourhood of the land now recommended for transfer were taken from Sattiamangalam and annexed to the Nilgiri Taluq by the Collector of Coimbatore apparently without sanction of Government or the Board. Again in 1862 (G. O., dated 7th May) and 1864 (G. O., dated 9th June) three other villages similarly situated were transferred to the Nilgiris by order of Government. Had the tract now recommended for transfer been an entire village, it would doubtless also have been transferred ere this it probably escaped notice, being of little value and forming only a small portion of a village of which the nominal site and the greater portion of the lands were on the plains.

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
16th August 1876.*

COMMUNICATED to the Collector of Coimbatore for explanation with reference to paragraph 3. His reply to Board's Proceedings, dated 15th June 1876, No. 1,568, should be expedited.

(True Copies and Extract.)

(Signed) C. A. GALTON,
Acting Secretary.

No. 163.

ORDER THEREON, 16th August 1876, No. 1,114.

Miscellaneous.

THE Government await the Collector's
report.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) D. F. CARMICHAEL,
Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.

Exd. A. Grubert.

2
1114

1-211 - 935
149
1084

Gobt. of 1876 Madras
REVENUE.

THE BOARD OF REVENUE.

Dated August, 16th.

Recd.

Encl

G.O., 16th August 1876, No. 1,114.

AUGUST.
Nos. 162-163.

Kadinmalla Village in Sattiamangalam Taluq
Coimbatore District.—Awaiting the Collector's
report on the question raised relative to the
proposed transfer of certain portion of — to the
Nilgiri District.

No. 1,861.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 19th July 1876.

Read the following letter from Colonel F. J. B. PRIESTLEY, Superintendent of Revenue Survey, to C. A. GALTON, Esq., Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Chepauk, 26th June 1876, No. 1,880-66 :—

I HAVE the honor to enclose copy of a letter from the Deputy Superintendent of No. 1 Party, Coimbatore, on the subject contained in G. O., dated 22nd May 1876, No. 659. I beg permission to draw the attention of the Board to paragraph 2 of Colonel Cloete's letter, from which the difficulties met with by a Demarcation Officer, to whom time is valuable in the performance of his duties, are apparent.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.

Copy of a letter from the Deputy Superintendent of No. 1 Revenue Survey, to the Superintendent of Revenue Survey, dated Coimbatore, 23rd June 1876, No. 620-295.

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your endorsement, No. 1800-196, of the 19th, forwarding G. O., No. 659, of 22nd May 1876,

Referring back to the Board of Revenue and the Collector, for full local inquiry on certain points, papers relating to the proposal of the Superintendent of Revenue Survey for the transfer of a portion of the Kadinmalla Village in Sattiamangalam Taluq, Coimbatore District, to the Nilgiri District.

for my perusal and return with any remarks I may have to offer, and beg to submit the following.

these will not be much affected by the transfer. Kadinmalla is a paper village only; like many others situated in the belt of jungle at the foot of the hills it has long ceased to exist as a village site. The rights to the land are practically vested in, and exercised by, the inhabitants of the four hamlets nominally belonging to Kadinmalla, and which are situated within the tract proposed to be transferred; the rights would thus be transferred with the land and the inhabitants who are hill men.

3. The wishes of the people I have been unable to ascertain; my letters to the Collector on the subject elicited no reply; the taluq authorities, in reply to my letters a year ago, stated that they must refer to the Collector before they could say any thing regarding the wishes of the people; and, when having failed to elicit the information by writing, I went to the spot to learn what I could. I was met only by a young and ignorant Taluq Peon, although I had given the taluq authorities ample notice of my visit and had requested them to cause the people I required to be present.

4. I think the transfer would not cause any injury to vested rights and interests sufficient to outweigh the advantage of attaching a piece of the hills to the hill district. There are but a few scattered patches of Kumari cultivation in it; there would still, after the transfer, be ample jungle and grazing ground left for the non-existent Kadinmalla; the tract proposed to be transferred is separated from the villages where the Kadinmalla ryots live by a broad belt of wild feverish jungle seldom traversed by men.

5. I would mention also that in 1859 and 1860 six villages situated in the neighbourhood of the land now recommended for transfer were taken from Sattiamangalam and annexed to the Nilgiri Taluq by the Collector of Coimbatore apparently without sanction of Government or the Board. Again in 1862 (G. O., dated 7th May) and 1864 (G. O., dated 9th June) three other villages similarly situated were transferred to the Nilgiris by order of Government. Had the tract now recommended for transfer been an entire village, it would doubtless also have been transferred ere this it probably escaped notice, being of little value and forming only a small portion of a village of which the nominal site and the greater portion of the lands were on the plains.

COMMUNICATED to the Collector of Coimbatore for explanation with reference to paragraph 3. His reply to Board's Proceedings, dated 15th June 1876, No. 1,568, should be expedited.

(True Copies and Extract.)

W. C. C.
Acting Secretary.

To the Collector of Coimbatore.

Copy to the Superintendent of Revenue Survey.

" to the Commissioner of the Nilgiris.

" to the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department.

Exd. A. Grubert.

*Hand Copy to the Board of Revenue
14 Printed Copies on 2/6 00*

*4. 10. 1876
22 July 1876
9. 10. 1876
22 July 1876*

Board of Revenue,
MADRAS.

PROCEEDINGS, 19TH JULY 1876, No. 1,861.

Copy to Aug 1/76
Encl.

See Board's Proceedings

Received 14 August 1876

JULY.
No. 1,861.

Kadimallu Village—in Sathiamangalam Taluk, Coimbatore District.—Communicating to Collector remarks of Assistant Superintendent of Revenue Survey as to whether proposal to transfer certain portion of — to Nilgiri District affects communal rights; and requesting former officer to expedite report called for from him on subject.

See Mr. A. Grubert's letter to Mr. C. C. C.

4. 14. Aug 1/76 A 15. Aug 1/76

14. 8. 76