

(1) Number of Pages.....6.....  
(2) Date of repair.....3.5.69.....  
(3) Initials of the Referencer.....*[Signature]*.....

# MADRAS RECORD OFFICE

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

*Revenue*

DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.  
B.P. No.

1073

Press  
Mis.

Dated

10.8.1876 196

*Not to be folded or roughly handled*

1023  
REVENUE DEPARTMENT,  
OOTACAMUND,  
10th August 1876.

No. 85.

No. 1,073.

To

THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF INDIA,

FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

Separate Revenue, No. 10.

Customs.

SIR,—With reference to your letter of 28th July 1876, No. 1,846, stating that the sanction of this Government to the entertainment of two Shroffs in the Ports of Devipatam and Paumben, and reported in the statement of fresh charges for May 1876, was confirmed by the Government of India, I am directed to inquire whether the Resolution of the Government of India, communicated with Proceedings, 18th July 1872, No. 1,227, has been revised, as the confirmation now intimated is opposed to the rule laid down in that Resolution; and it has been the practice in this Presidency to consider increases to establishments reported in the monthly statements to be sanctioned if not objected to.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

(Signed) D. F. CARMICHAEL,  
*Secretary to Government.*

Exd. F. R. Bantleman.

Financial (1876) Department.

Government of Fort St. George.

Dated 10th August 1876. } No. 1,073.  
Received } Enclosures  
8 spare copies.

D. No. Progs.

D. No. No.

Resolved to state whether the Resolution communicated with Proceedings of 18th July 1872. No. 1,227, has been revised, as the confirmation, intimated with reference to the sanction for the entertainment of Shiriffs for the Ports of Devipatam and Paumben in the Madura District is opposed to the ruling laid down in that Resolution.

To The Secretary to the Govt of India  
Financial Department

No 1073 10th August 1876

Sir

With reference to the  
letter Secretary's letter  
of 28 July 1876, stating that  
the sanction of this Govt  
to the entertainment of  
2 Shiriffs in the Ports  
of Devipatam and Paumben  
has been and reported in  
the statement of fresh  
charges for May 1876  
was confirmed by the  
Government of India,  
I am directed to enquire  
whether the Resolution  
of the Govt of India  
communicated with Pro-  
ceedings 18th July 1872  
No. 1227 has been revised  
as ~~such~~ the confirmation  
now intimated  
is opposed to the rule  
laid

Note  
The receipt case, we  
told and said that the con-  
firmation of his Govt of India  
was not necessary in the  
present matter.

10/8

Laid down in that  
Resolution & it has  
been the practice in this  
Presidency to enclose  
~~back~~ increases to  
establishments reported  
in the monthly statements  
to be sanctioned if not  
objected to.

2. Page 2

Chubson