M.R.O. 1—20,002—12-8-65.

(1) Number of Pages 4

(2) Date of repair 13.11.67

(3) Initials of the Referencer

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

Revenue DEPARTMENT

G.O. No. 361. Press
B.P. No. Mis.

Dated 25.6.1875 196—.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
25th June 1875.

No. 209. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 23rd June 1874, No. 1,546 :—

Read the following letter from B. HORSBRUGH, Esq., Acting Sub-Collector, to A. WEDDERBURN, Esq., Collector of Coimbatore, dated 20th May 1874, No. 126.

M. R. VENCATASOOBBAIYAH, a Gumastah of the Oodoomulpettah Taluq, applied for two months' leave, without pay, to enable him to go to Krishnagiri and other places on private affairs. This was granted by me with effect from the 16th March last. Vencatasoobbaiyah has now applied for an extension of two months, but as the authority of the district officers as regards granting this description of leave is limited to three months,* I have the honor to request that sanction may be obtained for the grant of the additional one month's leave.

* *Vide* Board's Pro. of 19th Sep. 1871, No. 4,020.

2. Although no such limit is mentioned in the Civil Leave Code (Section 9) issued in 1873, I find, from page 315 of the Board's volume of Proceedings for January 1874, that the Collector of the Kistna District applied for and obtained sanction in an exactly similar case. Hence I make this reference.

No. 128.

SUBMITTED to the Board of Revenue as requested.

2. The Collector observes that by Section 16 of the Leave Code, Supplement F, "leave may be granted to an officer by the authority whose duty it would be to fill up his appointment if vacant." Instructions are solicited as to whether this rule does not cancel all previous orders on the subject.

COIMBATORE, 27*th May* 1874. (Signed) B. HORSBRUGH, For Collector.

THE leave, without pay, applied for on behalf of M. R. Vencatasoobbaiyah is sanctioned.

2. The question, whether the Civil Leave Code (Sections 9 and 16, Supplement F) makes it unnecessary to apply for the sanction of the Board or Government in such cases, will be submitted for the orders of Government.

3. The orders now in force are prescribed by G. O., dated 19th April 1871, No. 149, and 25th October 1871, No. 1,855, and are intended to carry out instructions issued by the Government of India in 1864, which are apparently superseded by the provisions of the Civil Leave Code, the first edition of which is dated the 14th March 1872.

(A true Copy and Extract.)
(Signed) J. GRUSE,
Secretary.

No. 210. ORDER THEREON, 25th June 1875, No. 961.

Miscellaneous.

THE Leave Code must be regarded as having superseded the order prescribing a reference.

(True Extract.)
(Signed) A. MACGREGOR,
Acting Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.
,, the Accountant-General.
Erd. F. R. Bantleman.

No. 1,546.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 23rd June 1874.

Read the following letter from B. HORSBRUGH, Esq., Acting Sub-Collector, to A. WEDDERBURN, Esq., Collector of Coimbatore, dated 20th May 1874, No. 126:—

M. R. VENGATASOOBBAIYAH, a Gumastah of the Oodoomulpettah Taluq, applied for two months' leave without pay to enable him to go to Krishnagiri and other places on private affairs. This was granted by me with effect from the 16th March last. Vengatasoobbaiyah has now applied for an extension of two months; but as the authority of the district officers as regards granting this description of leave is limited to three months,* I have the honour to request that sanction may be obtained for the grant of the additional one month's leave.

* *Vide* Board's Proceedings of 19th September 1871, No. 4,020.

2. Although no such limit is mentioned in the Civil Leave Code (Section 9) issued in 1873, I find from page 315 of the Board's Volume of Proceedings for January 1874 that the Collector of the Kistna District applied for and obtained sanction in an exactly similar case. Hence I make this reference.

No. 123.

SUBMITTED to the Board of Revenue as requested.

The Collector observes that by Section 16 of the Leave Code, Supplement F., "leave may be granted to an officer by the authority whose duty it would be to fill up his appointment if vacant." Instructions are solicited as to whether this rule does not cancel all previous orders on the subject.

COIMBATORE,
27th May 1874.

(Signed) B. HORSBRUGH,
For Collector.

THE leave without pay applied for on behalf of M. R. Vencatasoobbaiyah is sanctioned.

2. The question, whether the Civil Leave Code (Sections 9 and 16, Supplement F.) makes it unnecessary to apply for the sanction of the Board or Government in such cases, will be submitted for the orders of Government.

3. The orders now in force are prescribed by G. O., dated 10th April 1871, No. 149, and 25th October 1871, No. 1,365, and are intended to carry out instructions issued by the Government of India in 1864, which was apparently superseded by the provisions of the Civil Leave Code, the first edition of which is dated the 14th March 1872.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

Secretary.

To the Acting Secretary to Government, Revenue Department.
,, the Collector of Coimbatore.
Copy to the Accountant-General.

Esd. J. Tulloch.

1874.

Board of Revenue

MADRAS.

PROCEEDINGS, 23RD JUNE 1874, No. 1,546.

Encl.

Received 14 June 1874

JUNE.

No. 1,546.

Leave without pay—for one month applied for by Collector of Coimbatore on behalf of Gumastah Venkatasubbaiyan of Oodoomulpettah Taluq, who has already been granted two months' leave, and will be granted another by Collector, sanctioned.—Question whether Civil Leave Code makes it unnecessary to apply for sanction of Board or Government in such cases where more than three months' leave is applied for, submitted for orders of Government.