

(1) Number of Pages 16
(2) Date of repair 21-8-67
(3) Initials of the Referencer 8.2.68

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

Revenue DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.
B.P. No.

94

Press
Mis.

Dated 15-1-1875 196 .

Not to be folded or roughly handled

94

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
15th January 1875.*

Read again G. O., 28th September 1874, No. 1,266.

No. 194. Read also the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 26th November 1874, No. 3,414 :—

Read the following Proceedings of the Madras Government, dated 28th September 1874, No. 1,226, Revenue Department :—

ABSTRACT.—*Reduction of Peishcush of certain Zemindaries on account of lands taken up for roads, Godavery District.*—Observing that the Board have not alluded to the remark made by the Acting Collector that he sees no reason why Government should compensate by —, whereas the charge belongs properly to Local Funds, and desiring to have their views on the subject.

THE Board regret that they did not allude to the suggestion in paragraph 5 of the Collector's letter. The fact is that they thought it unnecessary to do so.

2. The principle upon which Government has hitherto acted, and which the Board think a sound principle, is that the State demands no assessment upon lands used for roads and other public improvements, but that Local Fund Boards are to pay any compensation that may be due to the owners of the land. For instance, if ryotwari land, paying an assessment of Rupees 100, is taken up in making a local road, the Local Fund Board always pay compensation to the owner, but they never pay any thing to Government to cover the loss of the annual income for assessment of Rupees 100. It may, indeed, be said that it will be impossible for Local Fund Boards to carry out public improvements if they have to pay Government the capitalized assessments of lands taken up for roads, and the demand, it seems to the Board, would be most impolitic.

3. The Board's proposal to deduct from the peishcush of the Zemindars the assessment of the lands taken up is based on precisely the same principle. In the one case (ryotwari) the assessment is taken direct by Government, and in the other (zemindari) it is taken through the Zemindar, an allowance being made to him for the trouble of collections, etc. If the Government forego their assessment upon ryotwari land, in such cases the Board think they should also forego the assessment on zemindari land. In the one case Government collect the assessment direct; while in the other they get it through a third party—the Zemindar; but there is no difference between the two cases, either as regards the obligations of Local Fund Boards, or the policy of encouraging public improvements; or, if there be a difference, it is in favor of giving greater encouragement in the case of zemindari. If, in addition to the deduction of assessment from the peishcush, any further compensation is made to the owners of the land, or to the Zemindar as the proprietor of the land, then the Local Fund Boards have to bear the cost.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

(Signed)

J. GROSE,

Secretary.

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
15th January 1875.*

No. 195.

ORDER THEREON, 15th January 1875, No. 94.

Land Revenue, No. 9.

THE Governor in Council has given
the subject his consideration, and resolves
to accept the Board's recommendation.

In the case referred to in their Proceedings, dated 7th September last, No. 2,522, the
reduction in peishcush, amounting to Rs. 216-0-3, is sanctioned.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) C. G. MASTER,
Acting Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.
„ the Accountant-General.

Exd. A. Grubert.

94
2

No. 3,414.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 26th November 1874.

Read the following Proceedings of the Madras Government, dated 28th September 1874, No. 1,226, Revenue Department:—

ABSTRACT.—*Reduction of Peishcush of certain Zemindaries on account of lands taken up for roads, Godavery District.*—Observing that the Board have not alluded to the remark made by the Acting Collector that he sees no reason why Government should compensate by —, whereas the charge belongs properly to Local Funds, and desiring to have their views on the subject.

THE Board regret that they did not allude to the suggestion in paragraph 5 of the Collector's letter. The fact is that they thought it unnecessary to do so.

2. The principle upon which Government has hitherto acted, and which the Board think a sound principle, is that the State demands no assessment upon lands used for roads and other public improvements, but that Local Fund Boards are to pay any compensation that may be due to the owners of the land. For instance, if ryotwari land, paying an assessment of Rupees 100, is taken up in making a local road, the Local Fund Board always pay compensation to the owner, but they never pay any thing to Government to cover the loss of the annual income for assessment of Rupees 100. It may, indeed, be said that it will be impossible for Local Fund Boards to carry out public improvements if they have to pay Government the capitalized assessments of lands taken up for roads, and the demand, it seems to the Board, would be most impolitic.

3. The Board's proposal to deduct from the peishcush of the Zemindars the assessment of the lands taken up is based on precisely the same principle. In the one case (ryotwari) the assessment is taken direct by Government, and in the other (zemindari) it is taken through the Zemindar, an allowance being made to him for the trouble of collections, etc. If the Government forego their assessment upon ryotwari land, in such cases the Board think they should also forego the assessment on zemindari land. In the one case Government collect the assessment direct, while in the other they get it through a third party—the Zemindar; but there is no difference between the two cases, either as regards the obligations of Local Fund Boards, or the policy of encouraging public improvements; or, if there be a difference, it is in favor of giving greater encouragement in the case of zemindari. If, in addition to the deduction of assessment from the peishcush, any further compensation is made to the owners of the land, or to the Zemindar as the proprietor of the land, then the Local Fund Boards have to bear the cost.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

H. Groe
Secretary.

To the Acting Secretary to Government, Revenue Department.
Copy to the Acting Collector of the Godavery District, with Government Order.

Exd. A. Grubert.

10-12-91
2-2-89
6-7-90

Govt. of Madras.

REVENUE.

To

THE BOARD OF REVENUE,

do, do, do

Dated January 15th.

Recd.

Encl.

G. O., 15th January 1875, No. 94.

JANUARY.

No. 194-195.

Zemindari lands taken up for roads.—Accepting the Board's recommendation on the subject of the grant of compensation for — by reduction of peishcush, and sanctioning the reduction of the peishcush of certain Zemindaries in Godavery District to the amount of Rs. 216-0-8.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.



My dear Robinson,
The land would be taken
up by Govt under
the Act at the request of
the Local Board,
& remission of revenue
would be granted on
not according to the

1874.

except the
in remission
of the
in the
for
work

18/12

4028 of 11/11/74

No 40258

Resoln 1874. 10/11/74

BOARD OF REVENUE

MADRAS.

PROCEEDINGS, 26TH NOVEMBER 1874, No. 3,414

20/12/74

10/11/74

Received

NOVEMBER.

No. 3,414.

Zemindari lands—taken up for roads in
Godavery District.—Board's views on remarks
of Collector that he sees no reason why Gov-
ernment should allow compensation for—by
reduction of peisioush, as the charge properly
belongs to Local Funds, submitted to Govern-
ment.

11/11/74

for order

rules - if granted, the
capitalised value of such
remission would be
taken from the Local
Board -

*Yours
H. J. J. J.*

122 on A. Money

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

No. 4258

From B^d of Rev.

Dated 26 November 1874.

FOR ORDERS.

I agree in the the Vth
of Rev.

S. D. S.
10/12

Comment

18/12

Got receipt the
Bois commutation
but I doubt the
principles of the
that imposed for
negatives with obvious
and ~~proposed~~ not

Revenue Department.

N^o 94

Proceedings of the Madras Government.

Under date the 15th January 1875.

Read again G.O. 28th

Sept^r 1874 N^o 1226.

Read also the following

Subj. Board's views on
remark of Coll^r that he sees no
reason why Gov^t should allow
compensation for Zemindari lands
taken up for roads in Godavery
Dist^r by reduction of peshkash,
as the charge properly belongs to
Local Funds

N.C. 26th Nov^r 1874 N^o 3414

The Governor in
Council has given the
subject his consideration,
and resolves to accept
the Board's recommenda-
tion. In the case referred
to in their Proceedings
dated 7th September last
N^o 2522, the reduction

28
FOR ORDERS.

Go. 15 Janij 75 N^o 94.

~~Go. 20 Janij 75 N^o 1663~~

Council

in pishchuk amounting
to Rupees 216-1-3 is
sanctioned.

QUL

List from Orders
in Council. 12/1/75

QUL

11. To the Order of the 10th Jan
6. To the Order of the 1st Jan

QUL

90.

Compensation for
certain Zimwari
lands in Galway
Dist. taken up
for roads

De/