M.R.O. 1—20,002—12-8-65.

(1) Number of Pages 18.

(2) Date of repair 15-5-68.

(3) Initials of the Referencer

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

Revenue DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.	438	Press
B.P. No.		Mis.

Dated 4-3-1875 196 .

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Revenue Department

Miscellaneous No. 1593

Proceedings of the Board of Rev.

Dated 28th February 1895

Read Statement from the Collectors of Godavery and Kurnool dated 13th January and 16th January 1895 respectively, of Suits filed for Recovery of Pattas for the Fasli Ending 31st December 1894.

Read also Statement from the Collector of Combatore, dated 15th January 1895, of Suits for Recovery of Pattas for the Fasli Ending 30th September and 31st December 1894

Submitted

Submitted for the informa-
-tion of Government with reference
to Standing Orders No. 295/5
Cy 3rd 1869.

[Jane Ex Secy]

HBton

By Secretary

To

The Secretary to Government
Revenue Department

with four original Statements,
Blue returned.

[illegible]
Secretary to Bd

1875 Department

No. 4.

From

The Collector of
Tanjore.

To

The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Madras.

Dated 16th } January 1875
Received 18th }
Disp'd 19

No. 7 Rec'd 739/75

Report of Sales brought for
Transfer of Pattahs in the
District of Tanjore, for the
quarter ending 31st December
1874; submitted with
reference to the Board's
Standing orders No. 295/5 dated
13th August 1874 & No. 2291.
Pattah & Certificate Sales
Rs. 1800

Return of suits brought for breach of Patta
1874 : submitted with reference to the

No 7
for the
Board's

District of Tanjore, for the quarter ending 31st December
Standing order No 295/5 dated 17th August 1874. No 2:291.

Court and Number.	Names of Parties	Substance of Plaint.	Date of hearing	Date of Collector's order on the application for sanction to defend the suit	Remarks.
District Munsiff's Court Tanjore O.S. No 205 of 1874.	(Plaintiffs). Vaithilingam Pillai (Defendants) (1) Ambalai Samba Sarvagar (2) Deputy Collector Tanjore Division	Prays for the registry alleging that it was by his deceased bro was declined by the because the 1st Def nephew and adop registered holder Plaintiff) did not			of the deceased's ther had the ate to be viewed legal representative, ly issued a notice of not restrained tion, the Pattah red to the name and hence the
Additional Munsiff's Court Tanjore, O.S. No 208 of 1874	(Plaintiff) Muthia Pillai Vaidyanatha Iyer (Defendants) (1) Ramachandra Pandit. (2) Deputy Collector Tanjore Division	The Pattah for subject matter of in the name of deceased. Plaintiff he purchased the his own funds in of the deceased under his protec that he has be land and pay ment dues on the Deputy Col the Plaintiff's app because the 1st			sanctioned by the Board on a separate this return is submitted, has been the suit can be only then reported.

A. Thomas.
Collector

Report of suits brought for Evictions of Tenants 1874; submitted with reference to the No 7 Board's Standing order No 275/5 dated 17th August 1874 No 2,291.

Bailiffs of Tanjore, for the quarter ending 31st December

Court and Number.	Names of Parties	Substance of Claim.	Date of hearing	Date of Collector's order on the application for sanction to defend the suit	Remarks.
		of certain land, begranted to her by the registry Deputy Collector and that the debts heir to the brother of the gave his Consent	1st September 1874		Defence sanctioned by the Board in their Proceedings dated 20th August 1874 Miscellaneous No. 5,679 Decree dated 6th November 1874: Plaintiff to have the registry sought by her, and 1st Defendant to pay the Costs of the 2nd Defendant, the Deputy Collector.
		the land, the Rs and, stands one Rakkammal given 25th 1875 stated that land from the manner who lived Dear, and ingaging her reg the Surgeon & her death when refused him to Defendant as			Defence sanctioned 12th December 1874 No 928.

Return of suits brought for transfer of Patta's in the Board

No 7

1876; submitted with the reference to the

District of Tanjore, for the quarter ending 31st December

Standing order No. 295/5 dated 17th August 1876 No. 2,291.

Court and Number.	Names of Parties	Substance of Claim	Date of Collector's order on the application for transfer to defend the suit	Date of hearing	Remarks.

The
husband
last previous
as her heir and
and he accordin
to the effect, that
by a Court ingui
would be transf
of the 1st Defend
suit.

N. B.

The first suit, through the defence
report, pertains to the quarter for which
included in this, because the result o

Cert. by
Comp.

Tanjore Collector's Office
Vallam 16 January 1877

16 July 1874 No 128/28

No 139

Return 1875 Despts
Proceedings of the
Board of Rev:

Dated 28th July 1875
Rec'd 2nd August
Read 26th July

Encl 4.

Submitting for infor-
mation of Government
Statements from
Collrs of Contributory
Land Tax and
Property and Pro-
fessional Incomes
Tax for Bathurst
Parkes
Forbes
& Dandaloo

C 3rd Branch No 4 Branch

Abstract of costs to be enforced & Registered on the Roll, the defence of which was sanctioned by the following Order No. XXIII, $\frac{298}{5}$ / 1291 of 1st August 1874 for the Quarter ending 31st December 1874.

Name of Plaintiff & Defendants	Subject matter of Dispute	Date of Sanction by Collector	Remarks.
Plaintiff Defendants	Subject matter of Defence		
Plaintiff Defendants Costs allowed by Collector	Costs for Defendants to be paid by Plaintiff as per Order No. 100 of 1874		
Plaintiff Defendants Costs allowed by Collector	Costs for Defendants to be paid by Plaintiff as per Order No. 1300 of 1874		
Plaintiff Defendants Costs allowed by Collector	Costs for Defendants to be paid by Plaintiff as per Order No. 1300 of 1874		

Collector's Office
15th January 1875.

Collector

P. No. 1875.

From.

The Collector of Coimbatore

and,

The Secretary to the Board of Revenue

Madras.

Dated 15th January

Rec'd 18th

Return of cases to enforce Registration of Patteahs for the quarter ending 31st December 1874.

P. Sent. Nil

Cases 3

Rec'd 3

Rec 7 Jan 1875

Returns of Suits to be enforce Registration of Rateable the defence of which was sanctioned by the Collector under the Records Standing Order No XXVII, 1208/5 1229 of 17th August 1874 for the Quarter ending 30th September 1874.

Court and Date of Hearing.	Plaintiff &c. Defendants.	Substance of Plaint and value of the suit.	Substance of Defence.	Date of Sanction by Collector.	Remarks.
Sessions Court Tuticorin 25th of 1874. Date of hearing 20th Oct. 1874.	Plaintiff Kalliyakumandan Versus 1. Karuppaswami. 2. The Collector of Tuticorin Representative.	Prayer for the inclusion of two names and the joint partible standing in the names of the 1st Defendant & 3 others Value of suit Rs 18-12-5	Stands by to comply with the Plaintiff's request & consent for cost	Stands by to comply with the Plaintiff's request & consent for costs	No 1021 of 23rd September 1874.
District Munsiff's Office Tuticorin Suits of 1874. List of Cases Disposed of in October 1874.	Plaintiff Veerakuyandan Versus 1. Karuppaswami. 2. Sundaraswami. 3. Pethu Kumandan. 4. Nagu Kumandan. 5. Kulayathevar. 6. Velampathar Kumandan. 7. Thangammal. 8. Nagu Kumandan. 9. The Collector of Tuticorin Representative.	Part of joint Estate standing in the names of the Plaintiff & Defendants 1 & 2 Valued at Rs 165-9-1.	Stands by to comply with the Plaintiff's request & consent for costs.	Stands by to comply with the Plaintiff's request & consent dated 18th September 1874.	No 1024 of 23rd September 1874.

Examined and found correct

Office, 15th December 1874.

For Munsiff.

No. 472. 1875.

From

The Collector of
Coimbatore

To,

The Secretary to the Board
of Revenue
Madras

Date 15th
Received
January

Return of Cases in
enforced Registration of
Pattahs for the quarter
ending 30th September 1875

Nil

By Lieut. Clerk
Collector

Oct. 1875

In the Land Court

Plaintiff's Name

In — Plaintiff.

No 322 of 1874

vs

Emma Schlemm

15th December 1874

and others of Emma

Lemm in the

Defendants

To Emma Lemm the Defendants

2 Do Partaper No 875, 876 & 877

3 Do Summons of 1881 to the

Defendants & Plaintiff above

named to the

Supreme

Court of Judicature

Parishes of

Portland Metcalfe

St Thomas

St Elizabeth

of Emma Lemm

Collector of

the Colony Print

That Summons Scheduled as proceeded to trial between the Plaintiff & Defendants

That as there are several Claimants for the lands in question, the Scheduled as post poned transfer directing the Plaintiff to procure Extract from the Proceedings of a Civil Court to prove that she has filed a suit for the Lands.

The Collector will abide by the terms of the Court's decree.

Between Plaintiff
Patrick Shanahan
Plaintiff
and Defendants
Cornelius Callaghan
and Others
Defendants

In the Matter of
Plaintiff's Claim
for Compensation

1. Petition
2. Petition Answer
3. Lists Points
4. Parish Register
5. Register Baptisms
   Marriages Births
6. Application
   of the Guardian
   District.

Land held
by Plaintiff
and the
Name of
deceased
Tenement
held by
the Name

Lease for the Premises was
executed to the Tenant
Authorised for the Transfer
of Lands in the Name of
Plaintiff that at January
1879, the Petition was
presented to the 1st Defendant,
the Wife of the deceased,
by the Settlement Officer,
Mr Russell, as Trustee
for the Lands, there being
Tenants 2 persons, named
1st Defendant & the Mother
who claimed the Lands

by Tenants.

Statement of suits brought for
which have been sanctioned
ending 31st December 1874

Court and number & date of hearing	Plaintiff & Defendants	Substance of the Plaint	Substance of Defence
District Munsiff of Rayalmaduwa No 355 of 1874 23rd January 1875	Arnachchi Rodrigo et Veronis Biraka Lukkaya 2 Ilaki Hamine Salaya 3 Tikiri Banda of Alawalawatta in the 4th Ellaoka of the Galaboda District	Kattle 2nd & 3rd Defendants pasture in the field of the 1st Defendant by the Plaintiff's cattle	The 2nd & 3rd Defendants have no interest in the suit the cattle were driven by the 1st Defendant

Do
No 897 of 1874
16th December 1874

Allane Basana Kadapola
and Kaup
Defendants

Plaintiff's father's cattle were
pasturing in the fields of the
Defendants when they were
impounded by the Plaintiff

The 1st Defendant did not drive
the cattle into the fields of the
Plaintiff but they strayed in
of their own accord

Plaintiff's father's cattle were
pasturing in the fields of the
Defendants when they were
impounded by the Plaintiff

The 1st Defendant did not drive
the cattle into the fields of the
Plaintiff but they strayed in
of their own accord

Answered
Plaintiff's Proctor called
Defendant's Proctor Replied
Plaintiff's Proctor
13th January 1875

Answered
Plaintiff's Proctor called
Defendant's Proctor Replied
Plaintiff's Proctor
13th January 1875

M Sporton
Assistant Secretary & Clerk
Board of Commissioners
Madras

M Sporton
Assistant Secretary & Clerk
Board of Commissioners
Madras

B3

B4