

(1) Number of Pages.....16
(2) Date of repair.....20.9.67
(3) Initials of the Referencer.....~~7.2.68~~

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

Revenue DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.	431	Press
B.P. No.		Mis.
Dated 16-3-1875 196		

Not to be folded or roughly handled

431

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
16th March 1875.

No. 203. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 13th March 1875 :—

Read the following letters :—

From R. W. BARLOW, Esq., Collector of Chingleput, to H. E. STOKES, Esq.,
Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Cheyur, 4th March 1875,
No. 99.

I HAVE the honor to report, in a tabular form, on Original Suit, No. 11 of 1874, on the file of the District Court of Chingleput, in which Mr. Crole has been included as second defendant, and request the sanction of the Board to the same being defended at the public expense.

2. The suit is brought to recover possession of a moiety of the shrotriam villages of Nathanoor and Nallur, Conjeeveram Taluq, and to obtain registration of the same in plaintiffs' names. I would have sanctioned the defence myself under the authority given me in Board's Standing Circular Order, No. 295-5, but as the Board say in their more recent Proceedings of the 14th January last, No. 77, that the transfer of *enfranchised* Inams is within the scope of Standing Circular Order, No. 295-5 (No. 27 of 1874), and as the villages in question are *unenfranchised* ones, I submit the case for the orders of the Board.

3. The value of the suit is Rs. 2,550, and the time for filing the answer expired on the 23rd ultimo, but an extension of one month from that date has been applied for.

—

From the same officer, dated Sydapett, 11th March 1875, No. 108.

REFERRING to Board's official memorandum, No. 379, dated 11th instant, just received, I have the honor to forward the translation of the plaint therein referred to, which, I regret, was inadvertently omitted to be sent; and to state that the certificate referred to in the latter part of the memorandum has already been granted by me to the plaintiffs.

—

SUBMITTED for the orders of Government.

2. Plaintiffs claim a moiety of each of two unenfranchised shrotriams which, they allege, are the ancestral property of themselves and their cousin, the first defendant, who is the registered holder of the entire property. The Sub-Collector is made a defendant *pro forma*, in view to a decree for joint registration. The Collector has already granted a certificate required under Section 6 of the Pensions Act to enable the Court to take cognisance of the suit. This he should not have done without reference to Government. The nature of the claim is such that the interest of Government is in no way involved. The Board recommend that the defence of the suit be sanctioned. The date of hearing is 23rd March 1875.

(True Copies and Extract.)

(Signed)

H. E. STOKES,
Acting Secretary.

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
16th March 1875.*

No. 204.

ORDER THEREON, 16th March 1875, No. 431.

Miscellaneous.

THE defence of the suit is sanctioned.
There appears to have been unnecessary
delay in the submission of these papers
to the Board.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) D. F. CARMICHAEL,
Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.
„ the Collector of Chingleput.

Exd. F. R. Bantleman.

431
2

No. 203 Read the following Proceedings of the Board
 of Rev. 8, 13 March 1875: Chingleput Dist.
 Collector's Office
 Camp, Chingleput
 4th March 1875

From

R. W. Barlow Esq.

Collector of the Chingleput District.

To

A. E. Stokes Esq.

Secretary to the Board of Revenue.

Sir

I have the honor to report
 in a tabular form, on 18th Nov.
 11 of 1874 on the file of the Dis-
 trict Court of Chingleput in
 which Mr. Crole has been in-
 cluded as 2^d Defendant, and
 request the sanction of the Board
 to the same being defended
 at the public expense.

2 The suit is brought to
 recover possession of a moiety
 of

Obdt. of 11875: J. J. J. J. J.

REVENUE.

THE BOARD OF REVENUE.

Do, Do, Do.

Dated March 16th.

Recd.

Recd.

G. O., 16th March 1875, No. 431.

MARCH.

Nos. 203-204.

Sent No. 11 of 1874 on file of the Chingleput District Court. Sanctioning the defence of—, and observing that there has been unnecessary delay in the submission of the papers to the Board.

1044-1562/75-

of the Shrestriyam Villages of Ka-
Ahamoor & Hallur, Comperam,
Taluz and obtain registration
of the same in plaintiffs names.
I would have sanctioned the
defence myself, under the au-
-thority given me in Board's Stan-
-ding C.O. No. 295⁵; but as the
Board say in their more recent
Proceedings of the 14th January
last No. 77, that the transfer
of unfranchised Drame is within
the scope of Standing C.O. No.
295.5 (No. 27 of 1874) and as the
Villages in question, are unfranchised
ones. I submit the
case for the orders of the Board.
3 The value of the suit is
Rupees

Rs. 2550, and the time for ^{x31} ~~pay~~
the answer expired on the 23rd
ultimo, but an extension of
one month, from that date,
has been applied for.

I have &c
(Sd) R. W. Barlow
Collector

(True copy)
A. Elton

Ag Secretary

[Signature]
Munshi

Chunglepunt
Dist^l Collis^l Office
Sandapet
11th March 1875

No. 108

From

A. W. Barlow Esq^r
Collector of the Chunglepunt
District.

To

A. S. Stokes Esq^r
Secretary to the Board
of Rev. Madras.

Sir

Referring to Board's Offi-
cial Memo No. 379, dated
11th instant, just received,
I have the honor to forward
the translation of the plaint,
therein referred to, which
I regret, was inadvertently
omitted to be sent; and to
state

Enclosure is Paper.
of the Board
of Revenue, dated
13th March 1875,
to the Secretary to
Govt. R. D.

Aug 10/75

Copy of letter from
the Coll^r of Chunglepunt
just, dated 4th March 1875

state that the certificate, referred
to in the latter part of the Memo,
has already been granted by
me to the Plaintiffs.

I have &c
(Sigs) R W Barlow
Collector

(True copy)

H. Elton
As Secretary

[Signature]

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue
Dated 13th March 1875

Read letter from the collector of Chingleput dated 4th March 1875 No. 99 requesting sanction to defend A.S. No. 11 of 1874 on the file of the District Court of Chingleput.

Read also letter from the same officer dated 11th March 1875 No. 108 forwarding the plaint filed in A.S. No. 11 of 1874 and stating that the certificate under Section 6 of the Pensions Act has been granted.

Submitted for the orders of Government.

Plaintiffs claim a moiety of each of two unenfranchised Shrotrians which they allege are the ancestral property

Encls. in No. 9 the
Board of Rev. dated
13th March 1875,
By the Secretary
R. S. S. R. D.

Rev 9/10/75

Cy 9 letter from
the collector of Chingleput
dated 11th March
1875

property of themselves and
their cousin the first De-
fendant who is the regis-
tered holder of the entire
property. The subcollector
is made a Defendant
pro forma in view to a decree
for joint registration.
The collector has already
granted a certificate
required under section 6
of the Pensions Act to
enable the Court to take
cognizance of the suit.
This he should not have
done without reference
to Government. The nature
of the claim is such
that the interest of Government
is in no way involved.
The Board recommend
that the defence of the
suit

suit be sanctioned.
The date of hearing is
23rd March 1875.

/ True & Co.

H. D. D. D.

By Secretary

To
The Secretary to
Government Revenue
Department
with statement and
translation of claim
to be returned &
copies of collector's letters
nos. 99 & 108 of 4th & 11th March/75
respectively.

W. D. D. D.

Col. M. D. D.

No 9/10
Resolves 1875 Sept 10
Proceedings of the
Board of Revenue

Rule 2/3. Bunch 1873
Book 1/3
Dec 2 1873
in 4 St. Louis

Subcommittee for
rules of Board of Revenue
from Committee of
Chairman, 1873.
proceeding to be in
for defense of Board
Sept 10, 1873 and the
file of the Board of Revenue
of Chicago, 1873, with
recommendations
and the defense of
the unit to be made
and providing for
that the state of
hearing is 2.33 March
1875. No 401-160 March 1873
with an order

No 401

The defense of the unit is
sanctioned. There appears to have been
unnecessary delay in the submission of
these papers to the Board.

160 March 1873

14. Board of Revenue on 22 March 1873 on 160
1. Effects of Chicago 1873. No 401-160 March 1873
with an order