

(1) Number of Pages.....10,

(2) Date of repair.....18.3.67

(3) Initials of the Referencer.....14.2.68

**MADRAS RECORD OFFICE****GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS***Revenue* DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.

B.P. No.

Press

Mis.

Dated

11.3.1872 196 .

*Not to be folded or roughly handled*

REVENUE DEPARTMENT,  
FORT ST. GEORGE,  
11th March 1875.

No. 404.

No. 151.

To

THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF INDIA,  
FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

Miscellaneous.

16th January 1873, No. 57.

SIR,—In the letter noted in the margin, His Excellency the Governor in Council reviewed the circumstances under which the great Vasareddi estates

passed into the hands of Government, and recommended that the grant drawn by the late ex-Zemindar should be continued to his adopted son.

This recommendation met with approval, and the Secretary of State sanctioned the continuance of the grant which had been originally promised to the Zemindar on his surrendering the estate.

2. The main branch of the family thus had its claims to maintenance in lieu of lands resumed fully recognised; and I am now to bring to your notice the case of another branch of the family whose property was also resumed.

3. When Venkatadri Naidu, the last lineally descended and undisputed Zemindar of the Vasareddi family, died in 1816, his two adopted sons, Jaganadha Baboo and Ramanadha Baboo, were declared by the Court of Sadr Adawlut his heirs in coparceny. When the former died, the latter, for some time, was considered and dealt with as the Zemindar, but eventually was ousted by a decree of the Privy Council, which gave the estate to the adopted son of Jaganadha Baboo who was the elder (by priority of adoption) of the two adopted sons of Venkatadri Naidu.

Ramanadha Baboo was, however, declared entitled to such property as Venkatadri could dispose of, and had given him after Jaganadha came of age; but as this practically gave him nothing (the whole property having passed into the hands of Govern-

\* Despatch from the Honorable the Court of Directors, dated 18th June 1850, No. 2, recorded in Extract Minutes of Consultation, 24th August 1850, No. 796.

+ G. O., 29th January 1861, No. 237.

ment who bought it on account of arrears), this Government, with the permission\* of the Home authorities, granted him a life-pension of 300 Rupees, and, on his death, continued† half of it to his widow Papamma, who was left with two

sons, and little or no private means to support and educate them with.

4. The Zemindars, Venkatadri and Jaganadha, left widows whose maintenance was a charge on the estate, and, as such, was paid first by the Sadr Court while litigation was still going on, and subsequently by Government when the estate passed into their hands. The death of two of these widows is now reported—1, Parvatamma (a widow of Venkatadri), who received Rs. 202-8-0 a-month; and, 2, Rungamma (widow of

*Jaganadha*), who drew Rs. 157-8-0 a-month; and I am to state that His Excellency in Council recommends that Rupees 150 of their lapsed allowances be now continued to the sons of Ramanadha Baboo.

5. These men, it must be borne in mind, have no means of support, except their mother's pension, whereas, "even under the decree of the Privy Council, Ramanadha Baboo would have been entitled to half of the self-acquired property of Venkatadri, which formed a considerable part of the estate now in the possession of Government."

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

(Signed) D. F. CARMICHAEL,  
*Secretary to Government.*

Exd. F. R. Bantleman.

23-6-99  
 9-7-18  
 2-10-15  
 15-1-20

Financial [1875] Department.

Government of Fort St. George.

Dated 11th March 1875. } No. 404.  
 Received } Enclosures.  
 8 spare copies.

D. No. Progs.  
 L. No. No.

414  
 113-75

REPORTING the death of Parvatamma and Rungamma of the Vasareddi family, in Kistna District, and, for reasons stated, recommending that Rs. 150 of their lapsed allowance be continued to the sons of Ramanadha Baboo.



9 copies in 12 Jan 1875

Revenue Department.

No. 404

3/10/11

The Secretary to the Government of India.  
 Fin. Dept.

Sir,

16 Jan 1873. No. 54

In the letter noted in the margin His Excellency the Governor in Council reviewed the circumstances under which the great Vasareddi Estates passed into the hands of Govt. and recommended that the grant drawn by the late Ex-Jemindar should be continued to his adopted son.

This recommendation met with approval, and the Secretary of State sanctioned the continuance of the grant which had been originally promised to the Jemindar on his surrendering the Estate.

The

24/2

101

3/2

2. The main branch of the family thus had its claims to maintenance in lieu of lands resumed, fully recognized, and I am now to bring to your notice the case of another branch of the family whose property was also resumed.

3. When Venkatadri Naidu the last lineally descended and undisputed Zemindar of the Casareddy family died, ~~the~~ <sup>by the last of his</sup> Jagannadha Baboo and Ramannadha Baboo were declared his heirs in coparcenary. When the former died, the latter, for some time, was considered and dealt with as the Zemindar, but eventually was ousted by a decree of the Privy Council, which gave the estate to the adopted son of Jagannadha Baboo, who was the elder (by priority of adoption) of the two adopted sons of Venkatadri Naidu. Ramannadha Baboo was however declared entitled to such property as Venkatadri could dispose of

in 1816, his two adopted sons

(by priority of adoption) the two adopted sons of Venkatadri Naidu.

\* Despatch from the Hon. the Court of Directors dated 18 June 1850 N. 2, recorded in C. M. C. 24 August/50 N. 796.

(X) G.O. 29 January/51 N. 237.

dispose of and had given him after Jagannadha came of age; but as this practically gave him nothing (the whole property having passed into the hands of Govt., who bought it on account of arrears of this Govt. with the permission of the Home authorities, granted him a life pension of 300 Rupees, and on his death continued half of it to his widow Papamma, who ~~had~~ <sup>was left with</sup> two sons, and little or no private means to support and educate them.

4. The Zemindars Venkatadri and Jagannadha left widows whose maintenance was a charge on the Estate, and as such, was paid first by the Shudder Court while litigation was still going on, and subsequently by Govt. when the estate passed into their hands. The death of two of these widows is now reported, 1. Parvattamma,

(a widow of Venkatadri) who received Rs 202-8-0 a month and  
2. Ranganammah (widow of Jagannadha) who drew Rs 157-8-0 a month, and I am to state that  
His Excellency in Council recommends that rupees one hundred and fifty of their lapse allowance be now continued to the sons of Ramanadha Baboo.

5. These men it must be borne in mind have no means of support except their mother's pension, whereas even under the decree of the Privy Council Ramanadha Baboo would have been entitled to half of the self-acquired property of Venkatadri which formed a considerable part of the estate now in the possession of Govt.

Is therefore the principle of granting an allowance in place of resumed land was recognized in

~~I don't think the lands were not resumed - but I would say "under the circumstances"~~

6/10/01  
in the case of Ramanadha Baboo  
His Excellency in Council considers that his sons also should obtain a similar indulgence.  
J. J.  
11<sup>th</sup> March 1875.

~~Secy. to Govt.~~

To The Govt of  
India

Continuance of cer-  
tain pension to the  
Vassardale family

Circ

Despatch

1853/54