

(1) Number of Pages..... 8.

(2) Date of repair..... 5-8-67

(3) Initials of the Referencer..... ~~8.2.68.~~

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

Revenue DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.

31.

Press

B.P. No.

Mis.

Dated

8-1-1875

196

Not to be folded or roughly handled

No. 68. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 3rd December 1874, No. 3,501:—

Read again Board's Proceedings, dated 15th August 1874, No. 2,264.

Read also the following letter from W. S. FOSTER, Esq., Acting Collector of the Godavery District, to J. GROSE, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Cocanada, 22nd October 1874, No. 375:—

REFERRING to Board's Proceedings of the 15th August 1874, No. 2,264, and Board's Standing Order, No. 28 of 1874, I have the honor to ask the Board to modify the instructions therein given for the payment of rewards for the destruction of wild beasts.

2. I proposed in my letter of the 20th July 1874, No. 246, that as the position of Tahsildars is becoming more responsible, they should be authorized to pay the rewards within the sanctioned limits, as otherwise the Shikaries are put to the inconvenience of travelling a great distance, and are kept out of their rewards for a considerable time. The Board have decided that the Tahsildar may receive the application for rewards and await orders for payment of the reward. This would not enable the Shikaries to be paid at once, and therefore the encouragement intended by these rewards is lost to some extent. I therefore request that the Board will authorize the Tahsildars to pay the rewards without any orders from their Division Officers. If the Board are not inclined to accept the proposal generally, I trust they will sanction it in the case of the Budrachellum Tahsildar, who is located at a considerable distance from my station. In their Proceedings of the 23rd March 1874, No. 654, paragraph 11, the Board say that the Tahsildar will, *as usual*, have the power to *pay* the rewards, but quoted their Proceedings of the 2nd March 1871, No. 926, as the authority, which authority is, however, opposed to giving the Tahsildar the power to *pay* the rewards, as he used to do while in the Central Provinces.

3. In support of the proposal generally, the Board will see that the Shikary has first to proceed all the distance from the village where the animal is killed to the Tahsildar's station and then return home and go back once more to the Tahsildar to receive the money, when the orders of the Division Officer are received, unless the Shikary chooses to stop at the Tahsildar's station for the orders. If he goes home, he cannot find out the day on which the orders of the Division Officer will arrive, and the Tahsildar will have to send notice of the same. Thus a great deal of time is lost before the reward is paid with no real advantage to ourselves.

4. The Tahsildar need not be allowed to pay more than 50 Rupees in the case of tigers killed, the special reward of 100 Rupees being given under my orders, or that of the Division Officer, if the Board wish it.

In referring the Collector to the Proceedings quoted in Board's Proceedings, dated 15th August 1874, No. 2,264, the Board meant to show the Collector that his general suggestion was negatived.

2. There seem to be grounds for making an exception to the general rule in the case of the remotely situated taluqs of Budrachellum and Rēkapalli, and

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
8th January 1875.*

the Board request Government to authorize the Tahsildar to continue to exercise the power, which he possessed before the transfer, of paying rewards for killing wild beasts without prior reference, at any rate pending the permanent appointment of a Special Assistant if that should be decided on.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) H. E. STOKES,
Acting Sub-Secretary.

No. 69.

ORDER THEREON, 8th January 1875, No. 31

Miscellaneous.

APPROVED.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) C. G. MASTER,
Acting Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue,
the Accountant-General.

26.12.08
15-5-09

[illegible][illegible]

THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO

[illegible]

1000

11

THE
JOURNAL OF THE
ROYAL ANTHROPOLOGICAL INSTITUTE
OF GREAT BRITAIN AND IRELAND
VOLUME LXXII PART II
1902

[illegible]

THE
JOURNAL
OF
THE
ROYAL
ANTHROPOLOGICAL
INSTITUTE
OF GREAT
BRITAIN
AND IRELAND
PART 1
1906

Derivation of the term "Bioscience Resource Project" through a comparison of the project of the Bioscience Resource Project with the project of the Bioscience Resource Project.

Ed. G. A. Tilton.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 3rd December 1874.

Read again Board's Proceedings, dated 15th August 1874, No. 2,264.

Read also the following letter from W. S. FOSTER, Esq., Acting Collector of the Godavery District, to J. GROSE, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Cocanada, 22nd October 1874, No. 375:—

REFERRING to Board's Proceedings of the 15th August 1874, No. 2,264, and Board's Standing Order, No. 28 of 1874, I have the honor to ask the Board to modify the instructions therein given for the payment of rewards for the destruction of wild beasts.

2. I proposed in my letter of the 20th July 1874, No. 246, that as the position of Tahsildars is becoming more responsible they should be authorized to pay the rewards within the sanctioned limits, as otherwise the Shikaries are put to the inconvenience of travelling a great distance, and are kept out of their rewards for a considerable time. The Board have decided that the Tahsildar may receive the application for rewards and await orders for payment of the reward. This would not enable the Shikaries to be paid at once, and therefore the encouragement intended by these rewards is lost to some extent. I therefore request that the Board will authorize the Tahsildars to pay the rewards without any orders from their Division Officers. If the Board are not inclined to accept the proposal generally, I trust they will sanction it in the case of the Budrachallum Tahsildar, who is located at a considerable distance from my station. In their Proceedings of the 23rd March 1874, No. 634, paragraph 11, the Board say that the Tahsildar will, *as usual*, have the power to *pay* the rewards, but quoted their Proceedings of the 2nd March 1871, No. 920, as the authority, which authority is, however, opposed to giving the Tahsildar the power to *pay* the rewards, as he used to do while in the Central Province.

3. In support of the proposal generally, the Board will see that the Shikary has first to proceed all the distance from the village where the animal is killed to the Tahsildar's station and then return home and go back once more to the Tahsildar to receive the money, when the orders of the Division Officer are received, unless the Shikary chooses to stop at the Tahsildar's station for the orders. If he goes home, he cannot find out the day on which the orders of the Division Officer will arrive, and the Tahsildar will have to send notice of the same. Thus a great deal of time is lost before the reward is paid with no real advantage to ourselves.

4. The Tahsildar need not be allowed to pay more than 50 Rupees in the case of tigers killed, the special reward of 100 Rupees being given under my orders, or that of the Division Officer, if the Board wish it.

In referring the Collector to the Proceedings quoted in Board's Proceedings, dated 15th August 1874, No. 2,264, the Board meant to show the Collector that his general suggestion was negatived.

2. There seem to be grounds for making an exception to the general rule in the case of the remotely situated taluqs of Budrachallum and Rekapalli, and the Board request Government to authorize the Tahsildar to continue to exercise the power, which he possessed before the transfer, of paying rewards for killing wild beasts without prior reference, at any rate pending the permanent appointment of a Special Assistant if that should be decided on.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

[Signature]

Acting Sub Secretary.

To the Acting Secretary to Government, Revenue Department,
with Board's Proceedings, dated 15th August 1874, No. 2,264.

.. the Acting Collector of the Godavery District,

Ed. T. O'Connell

1874.
Board of Revenue
MADRAS.

PROCEEDINGS, 16th August 1874, No. 2,264.

Received.

[Signature]

End.

AUGUST.
No. 2,264.

Rewards for destruction of wild beasts.
Collector of Godavery referred to Board's Proceedings, 2nd March 1871, No. 920, in which arrangement for grant of— to Shikaries has been suggested, and to Board's Proceedings, 23rd March 1874, No. 634, in which Collectors are requested to carry out the arrangement. A standing order on subject will be issued.

14

1875

1874. *Alfred*

THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO

[illegible]

PHOTODUPLICATION, AND INFORMATION, NO. 2, 2011

① 20/29 Dec 1976
East 1

RESERVED

DOCTHER,
No. 3501.

Despotation of wild beasts—In this respect, man and his animal family, including himself, government, subjected to analogous influence. To compare the exercise of power of politics, awarded for— which he possessed, before the denunciation of these, told of from the East, and the denial of the world, without the victim of the world, patients, a only race, and of the world, and the world of a. Spending, and the world, if that world is located on.

A dark, textured book cover, possibly cloth or leatherette, featuring a faint, stylized illustration of a person's profile in a light color. The illustration is positioned vertically along the right edge of the cover. The texture of the cover material is visible, and the overall color is a dark, mottled grey or black.