

(1) Number of Pages 36
(2) Date of repair 20. 7. 68
(3) Initials of the Referencer 2. 18

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

Revenue DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.

B.P. No.

Press.

Mis.

Dated

21-10-1875 196 .

Not to be folded or roughly handled

(1) Number of Pages 36(2) Date of repair 20. 9. 68(3) Initials of the Referencer S. 2. 68**MADRAS RECORD OFFICE****GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS**Revenue DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.

B.P. No.

Press.

Mis.

Dated

21-10-1875 196 .Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department,
1st October 1875.*

Read the following papers:—

No. 7. From T. MOSS, Esq., Controller of Public Works Accounts, to Colonel G. W. WALKER, R.E., Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, dated Madras, 19th December 1874, No. 8,342.

I have the honor to request that you will be good enough to hold over action on my letter No. 7,941, of the 5th instant, regarding the Striviguntam Ancient Project, until receipt of a further report from this office. Owing to the manner in which the accounts were kept, the charges in connection with the project appeared as part of the charges of a range in which other works were in progress, and therefore the conclusion of this office, that as, unlike the Madras Water Works, the Striviguntam Project was not treated as a separate Public Works charge, it could not be regarded as having a special or exclusive establishment attached to it. But from a letter* received

* No. 94 of the 16th December 1874.

from the District Engineer of Tinnevely, I find that this view was not a correct one, and that there was for some years a special establishment employed on the project. I shall therefore have the matter carefully looked into, and will in due course submit a statement of the charges for establishment, and tools and plant, fairly debitable to the project.

No. 7-A. From J. W. RUNDALL, Esq., District Engineer, Tinnevely, to Major J. G. HASTED, R.E., Officiating Chief Engineer for Irrigation, dated Palamcottah, 19th April 1875, No. 17.

With reference to G. O., Nos. 3,231 and 3,443-A, of the 16th November and 7th

- | | |
|---|--|
| 1. Revised General Abstract Estimate. | December 1874, respectively, 2. Dore was |
| 1. Abstract of the revised estimates. | ordered to submit a revised estimate for the |
| 1. Statement showing the sums expended on | Striviguntam Ancient Project amounting |
| upkeep of tanks, &c. | to Rupees 15,00,000 exclusive of charges |
| 1. Statement showing the amount of water | on account of Engineering Establishments. |
| available for supplying canals. | |

2. In this sum are included the extra payments to Ragavachary as well as the excess on various items which the adjustment of Ragavachary's accounts as sanctioned in the last-named Government Order has entailed.

3. At this late period, when almost every item of the project has been completed with the exception of the reversion to the northern tanks, it would be useless to forward detailed estimates. I will, however, notice and explain, as far as I can, the causes of excess or saving in cost of the various works comprising the project.

4. As the Deputy Secretary to the Government of India in paragraph 5 of his letter printed with G. O., No. 3,231, of the 16th November 1874, says, it was not found possible to compare the present estimate with the original one in detail, I will note the charges introduced in each item of the original estimate.

5. *Amount.*

	Rs.
Original estimate	1,52,500
Estimate of 1874-75	1,73,149
Present estimate	1,89,800

The original estimate allowed for the construction of the ancient on wells; but as it was found that nearly all across the river there was a bed of compact blue clay, the wells were abandoned, only about twenty wells being sunk in the centre of the river. This was the principal alteration. Well rings had been prepared for the whole number of wells required and had to be paid for. The excavation and baling were both heavier in getting in the foundations solid.

The original estimate allowed for all the works being constructed in ordinary mortar, hydraulic mortar made with pozzolane was used in the foundations and lower portions of the work. This added Annex 8 to the cost of all the brickwork built with pozzolane cement.

Gravies, flooring in front and rear of under sluices, and an additional 3 yards of stone to the rear apron were subsequently added. These last two additions were sanctioned in G. O., No. 1531, dated 24th June 1876.

As regards rate it was early found that the cost of stone could not be executed at the original rate of Rupees 12, and after the supply of stone from the old fort at Palamattah was exhausted it could not be done under Rupees 21. As, however, the bulk of the work was executed at Rupees 18, the average rate works out to Rupees 18½, which includes the cost of Portland cement for pointing now debited to this item so previously charged to the general " sundries " of the estimate.

The increase in the rate of brickwork is due to the Contractor having been promised brickwork at a higher rate to the original full rate of the estimate. This and the use of hydraulic cement have caused an increase of the rate for brickwork from Rs. 5 to Rupees 6-6-0 per cubic yard.

The increase in the cost of the sluice above the sum originally estimated is thus accounted for, partly by additional work and partly by increase of rates.

6. South Head Sluice.

	Rs.
Original estimate	11,750
Estimate of 1873-74	12,830
Present estimate	13,100

The excess on this item is due to the cost of fitting the sluice with shutters, working with screw gearing instead of needles, as originally provided.

7. South Head South Channel.

	Rs.
Original estimate	85,250
Estimate of 1873-74	85,050
Present estimate	85,100

The reason of the saving in the original estimate is due to very much less work having been done with than was originally provided for, the baling of which was nearly finished in 1870.

The cause of a saving in the present estimate is due to extra payment to Contractor Raghavachary.

8. Near Tannally Bridge.

	Rs.
Original estimate	5,200
Estimate of 1873-74	5,200
Present estimate	5,200

Work necessary and added subsequently at special request of the villagers and Revenue Officers.

9. Kulasagarapalem Road Bridge.

	Rs.
Original estimate	3,403
Estimate of 1873-74	3,955
Present estimate	4,180

The cause of excess above the original estimate is due to the roadway having been increased from 6 feet to 15 feet, which is partly counterbalanced by a saving in foundations.

The cause of excess in present estimate above that for 1873-74 is due to extra payment to Contractor Raghavachary.

10. Two Siphon Sluices.

	Rs.
Original estimate	2,920
Estimate of 1873-74	1,830
Present estimate	2,240

The cause of saving on the original estimate is due to only one instead of two siphon sluices having been constructed, but this was partly counterbalanced by increased cost in baling, and by an increase in size of vent.

The cause of excess in present estimate above that for 1873-74 is due to an extra payment to the Contractor for baling for the sluice constructed.

11. Travancore Bridge.

	Rs.
Original estimate	6,450
Estimate of 1873-74	6,042
Present estimate	6,290

There is no difference between the work as carried out and as originally estimated for either in quantities or rates.

The cause of excess in present estimate is due to the extra sum allowed to Contractor for excavating foundations.

12. Kallankal Head Sluice.

	Rs.
Original estimate	3,530
Estimate of 1873-74	3,143
Present estimate	3,140

Work carried out substantially the same as originally estimated for. Excess in present estimate is due to an extra payment to Contractor for shutters, &c.

13. Adukk Branch Channel Head Sluice.

	Rs.
Original estimate	1,440
Estimate of 1873-74	1,340
Present estimate	1,340

Excess due principally to provision of screw shutters instead of needles as originally estimated for.

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department,
1st October 1875.*

14. Autur Channel.

Original estimate	Rs.
Estimate of 1873-74	17,880
Present estimate	16,870
	17,075

The original line of the channel was altered, and a considerable saving would have been effected had not the flood of November 1869 so seriously damaged the channel then nearly completed as to bring up the cost of the channel, even without masonry works, nearly to the amount of the original estimate.

15. A Siphon Sluice under Autur Channel.

Original estimate	Rs.
Present estimate	6,320

Work not executed. The siphon intercepted the supply to the old Tentraperi channel, the water of which this siphon was intended to pass on. The land irrigated by this old channel is now cultivated by the Kadamba tank supplied by south main channel. In the Chief Engineer's note, dated 18th September 1868, the advisability of closing the Tentraperi head sluice and channel was noted.

16. Trichender Road Tunnel.

Original estimate	Rs.
Estimate of 1873-74	880
Present estimate	1,140
	1,140

The cause of increase here is due to the fact that sufficient waterway was not originally allowed and the bridge was built with two vents 4 feet x 6 feet instead of with two vents 5 feet x 4 feet.

17. Feet Road Tunnel.

Original estimate	Rs.
Estimate of 1873-74	880
Present estimate	1,140
	1,140

Alterations the same as in the case of the Trichender road bridge.

18. Aqueduct over old Taver Channel.

Original estimate	Rs.
Estimate of 1873-74	3,200
Present estimate	3,520
	3,520

Decrease in this case is due to saving alterations in carrying out the work.

19. Rough Stone pitching.

Original estimate	Rs.
Estimate of 1873-74	1,210
Present estimate	1,519

The new channel above and below the aqueduct is laid out on an open curve of small radius. It was therefore necessary to pitch the new channel banks both above and below the aqueduct.

20. The Drops in Autur Channel.

Original estimate	Rs.
Estimate of 1873-74	2,025
Present estimate	1,645

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department,
1st October 1875.*

These drops are necessitated by the water level of the Sathukuvorithan tank having been made $3\frac{1}{2}$ feet lower than originally estimated and by the fall in the latter part of the channel having been reduced.

The saving in present on estimate of 1873-74 is due to the use of rough stone in mortar for brick.

21. Raising the bund of the Sathukuvorithan Tank.

Original estimate	Rs.
Present estimate	3,820

It was thought inadvisable to raise this tank, as the extra capacity to be obtained was not commensurate with the cost.

22. Calingulah in Sathukuvorithan Tank.

Original estimate	Rs.
Estimate of 1873-74	520
Present estimate	1,559
	1,585

The increase in cost above original estimate is due to the calingulah having been considerably lengthened and having extra vents provided.

23. A Head Sluice for the Channel round Kadamba Tank.

Original estimate	Rs.
Present estimate	2,250

A channel from west end of Kadamba Tank to Annampuram.

Original estimate	Rs.
Present estimate	74,375

Aqueduct for Tondiar Surplus.

Original estimate	Rs.
Present estimate	10,125

These three works are superseded by the Panar channel and head sluice. It having been found impracticable to carry a channel through the sandy soil above the Kadamba tank, it was determined to pass on the supply for the lower tanks through the Kadamba. This arrangement is discussed in paragraph 12 of the Chief Engineer's inspection notes of November 1869, printed in G. O. of the 18th January 1870, No. 108, and the estimates for carrying out the alterations were sanctioned in G. O. No. 861, dated 28th March 1870.

The alteration has effected a saving of Rupees 70,000 nearly, and by enabling the large Kadamba tank to be used to a great extent as a reservoir for the lower tanks has effected a great improvement in the project.

24. Head Sluice to Perur Channel.

Original estimate	Rs.
Estimate of 1873-74	2,790
Present estimate	5,155

The cause of the increase of the present above the former estimate is due partly to increased cost of brickwork and partly to extra cost in fitting the screw shutters.

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department,
1st October 1875.

25. *Parur Channel.*

Original estimate	Rs.
Estimate of 1873-74	7,277
Present estimate	7,830

Extra cost above previous estimate is due partly to extra payment to Contractor Bagavachary (see note No. 8 on his claim) and partly to a little extra work having been done.

26. *Bridge at Parur.*

Original estimate	Rs.
Estimate of 1873-74	3,260
Present estimate	3,395

The extra cost of this work is due to increased cost of brickwork.

27. *Dams in Parur Channel.*

Original estimate	Rs.
Estimate of 1873-74	540
Present estimate	510

This work was necessary to preserve the surface fall in the channel; there has been a slight saving in carrying out the work.

28. *Syphon Sluice under Parur Channel.*

Original estimate	Rs.
Estimate of 1873-74	904
Present estimate	920

This work was necessary to pass the drainage from nunjer under the channel; there has been a slight saving in carrying out the work.

29. *Ammenpuram Calingulah.*

Original estimate	Rs.
Estimate of 1873-74	2,790
Present estimate	1,115

After the foundations of this work had been built, it was decided to make the Kuman and Ammenpuram into one tank and the construction of this calingulah was abandoned.

The excess of present above former estimate is due to an extra sum having been paid to Contractor Bagavachary.

30. *Improving Ammenpuram and Kuman Tanks.*

Original estimate	Rs.
Estimate of 1873-74	800
Present estimate	615

There has been a slight saving in carrying out the work, which was necessary to clear of the tank holding an increased volume of water.

31. *Kuman Calingulah.*

Original estimate	Rs.
Estimate of 1873-74	2,790
Present estimate	3,183

3,180

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department,
1st October 1875.

The calingulah was built with crest on a higher level than was originally proposed, and was raised on its being decided to abandon the construction of the Ammenpuram calingulah; hence excess above original estimate. The cost of work above estimate of 1873-74 is due to extra cost of brickwork.

32. *Kuman Channel.*

Original estimate	Rs.
Estimate of 1873-74	36,100
Present estimate	35,650

The levels of the channel were somewhat altered during execution, the off-take being on a higher level and the channel afterwards dropped.

The slight saving on estimate of 1873-74 is on cost of making up banks.

33. *Palipat Syphon Sluice.*

Original estimate	Rs.
Estimate of 1873-74	746
Present estimate	715

This work was necessary to pass drainage under the channel; there has been a small saving on estimate of 1873-74 in carrying out the work.

34. *Kuman Drop.*

Original estimate	Rs.
Estimate of 1873-74	1,950
Present estimate	1,270

There has been a small saving in carrying out the work.

35. *Talukottu Channel and Tank—Head Sluice and Drop.*

Original estimate	Rs.
Estimate of 1873-74	530
Present estimate	906

The work was altered from a calingulah to a regular sluice of two vents and the wings made a reasonable length.

The increase above estimate of 1873-74 is due to extra cost of brickwork.

36. *Talukottu Channel.*

Original estimate	Rs.
Estimate of 1873-74	1,600
Present estimate	2,560

This excess of cost is due to the fact of rock having been met with in excavating the channel.

37. *Drop in Talukottu Channel.*

Original estimate	Rs.
Estimate of 1873-74	555
Present estimate	555

This was necessary to regulate the channel which had a heavy fall into the tank.

*Proceedings of the Madras Government Public Works Department,
1st October 1875.*

38. *Tidukin Tank.*

	rs.
Original estimate	5,040
Estimate of 1873-74	2,500
Present estimate	2,500

A considerable portion of the area originally included in this tank was left to be cultivated by the Kanam tank, the Tank's tank bed was made lower than originally estimated for.

39. *Colinguloh in Tulukin Tank.*

	rs.
Original estimate	730
Estimate of 1873-74	920
Present estimate	920

The colinguloh was lengthened, in my opinion, very unnecessarily.

40. *Aradur Tank.*

	rs.
Original estimate	5,000
Estimate of 1873-74	6,003
Present estimate	6,003

Excess is due to the level of the bank having been raised 1 foot above that allowed in the sanctioned estimate.

41. *Aradur Colinguloh.*

	rs.
Original estimate	420
Estimate of 1873-74	1,340
Present estimate	1,346

The colinguloh was lengthened, in my opinion, very unnecessarily.

42. *Edemmalah Tank.*

	rs.
Original estimate	3,020
Estimate of 1873-74	8,411
Present estimate	8,411

The excess over original estimate is due to the tank bank having been made 1 foot higher than first estimated for.

43. *Edemmalah Colinguloh.*

	rs.
Original estimate	740
Estimate of 1873-74	1,743
Present estimate	1,743

The original estimate was altered by the colinguloh being lengthened.

44. *North Channel and Branch, North Head Sluice.*

	rs.
Original estimate	550
Present estimate	550

North Channel.

	rs.
Original estimate	3,500

These works were made for the purpose of making the water flow more freely, the work was small and was not intended to make a large and costly improvement.

*Proceedings of the Madras Government Public Works Department,
1st October 1875.*

45. *North Channel and Branches, North Head Sluice.*

	rs.
Original estimate	12,520
Estimate of 1873-74	19,416
Present estimate	19,953

The alteration of this sluice from four to six vents was sanctioned in G. O., No. 613, of 23rd February 1870. This revision provided only for the sluice being worked with needles, as in the original estimate. The plan was further revised by providing shutters with screw gearing. This accounts for the excess of estimate of 1873-74 above original estimate. The excess in present estimate is due to extra payment to Ragavachary for firewood, also to an increased cost of fitting the shutters, altering the grooves, &c.

46. *North Channel.*

	rs.
Original estimate	1,07,140
Estimate of 1873-74	98,451
Present estimate	98,735

The saving on original estimate is due to the quantity of earthwork being over-estimated. There was a mistake in the original sections, which was found out and corrected during execution of the work. The saving on this account was more than sufficient to cover the cost of widening the channel bed from 30 feet to 36 feet from 7 miles to the Paykulam, 30 feet only having been provided in the original estimate.

The difference between the present estimate and that of 1873-74 is due to an extra payment to Contractor Ragavachary.

47. *A dam across stream below Head Sluice.*

	rs.
Original estimate	630
Estimate of 1873-74	871
Present estimate	920

The increase of cost is due to an alteration in design and the provision of better wings. The excess above 1873-74 estimate is due to increased cost of brickwork.

48. *Inlets.*

	rs.
Original estimate	1,140
Estimate of 1873-74	1,140
Present estimate	1,140

Two more streams enter the north channel within the first 2,000 yards for which no inlets were provided in the original estimate, but the construction of which was necessary.

49. *Kempulagay Head Sluice.*

	rs.
Original estimate	1,440
Estimate of 1873-74	2,171
Present estimate	2,171

The increase of cost is due, first, to the work having been damaged by floods and a portion having to be rebuilt, and also to the provision of screw gearing for working the shutters.

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department,
1st October 1875.

50. Dam in North Channel below Keelpadagay Head Stairs.

	Rs.
Original estimate	...
Estimate of 1873-74	240
Present estimate	240

This is required when the water is low to give a supply to the Keelpadagay channel which has a prim right to water.

51. Sivanamangalam Bridge.

	Rs.
Original estimate	3,400
Estimate of 1873-74	4,257
Present estimate	4,310

The bridge allowed in the original estimate was to replace a stone platform bridge 1 mile from the head across the old Keelpadagay channel, and was estimated with a roadway of 9 feet. The bridge was built about 5 1/2 miles down the channel with a roadway of 15 feet; hence the increase over original estimate. The excess of present over estimate for 1873-74 is due to increased cost of brickwork.

52. Bridge of Mesopotamirid.

	Rs.
Original estimate	3,260
Estimate of 1873-74	4,045
Present estimate	4,070

The excess on this work is due—first, to an alteration in raising the abutments from 3 feet to 5 feet; and secondly to the arch, which was on the centre at the time of the flood of November 1869, having been destroyed and having to be rebuilt. The excess of present over estimate of 1873-74 is due to increased cost of brickwork.

53. Korta Head Stairs.

	Rs.
Original estimate	1,440
Estimate of 1873-74	1,500
Present estimate	1,570

The extra cost of this work is due to provision of screw shutters to replace the needles originally provided.

54. Cherupuzha Sarpin Embankment.

	Rs.
Original estimate	...
Estimate of 1873-74	3,500
Present estimate	3,500

This work was necessary and its circumstances are fully explained in paragraph 29 of my No. 143 dated 18th December 1873, printed with G. O., No. 1,498, of the 8th June 1874.

55. Sivanamangalam at Yeral.

	Rs.
Original estimate	4,150
Estimate of 1873-74	5,840
Present estimate	6,700

The excess is caused by a considerable enlargement of the work, the lower face being laid on a much lower level than originally estimated for.

The excess of present estimate over that for 1873-74 is due to increased cost of brickwork, also to an extra provision of 100,000 bricks for the building, &c.

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department,
1st October 1875.

56. Outfall Channel from Siphon Stairs.

	Rs.
Original estimate	...
Estimate of 1873-74	3,800
Present estimate	3,800

The necessity for this work omitted in the original estimate is fully explained in paragraph 37 of my No. 143 of 13th December 1873, printed with G. O., No. 1,498, of the 8th June 1874.

	Rs.
57. Original estimate	19,060
Estimate of 1873-74	12,022
Present estimate	11,760

These three works were lumped together in the original estimate, the ultimate cost of each is shown in the margin.

Cost.	Bridge and Cuttings at Yeral.
4,700	...
3,700	...
3,300	...
11,700	...

The saving is due to the stone pitching to the channel bank (called a causeway) having been estimated for twice over in

the original estimate, also to kunkur having been met with in the foundations for both bridges.

58. Double dam.

	Rs.
Original estimate	5,850
Estimate of 1873-74	7,160
Present estimate	7,020

The original plan was modified by raising the dam and adopting a better style of wing walls.

59. Bridge for Pothukott Road.

	Rs.
Original estimate	3,260
Estimate of 1873-74	3,800
Present estimate	3,410

Excess over original estimate due to raising of abutments from 3 feet to 5 feet counterbalanced by some saving due to kunkur being met with at a less depth than 5 feet.

60. Raising Bank of Paykalam Tank.

	Rs.
Original estimate	8,900
Present estimate	...

This bank was raised by Revenue authorities after sanction of the original estimate, and though it has subsequently been damaged in some places, it will cost only a few hundred rupees to repair it instead of the sum allowed, which was omitted in the subsequent revisions of the estimate.

61. Cuttings for Paykalam.

	Rs.
Original estimate	2,700
Present estimate	...

The Paykalam and the rest of the series, Marathaniy Tank, being on the same level are virtually one, and no cutting between them is necessary for purposes of regulation, its cost was therefore omitted in the revision of the estimate.

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department,
1st October 1875.

62. Raising the level of the Marathamp Tank.

	Rs.
Original estimate	...
Estimate of 1873-74	478
Present estimate	462

This tank the next to the Poykadam required improvements not provided in the original estimate. The excess in present above previous estimate is due to an extra payment to Contractor Ragavachary.

63. Culiviyal for Marathamp Tank.

	Rs.
Original estimate	2,790
Estimate of 1873-74	2,385
Present estimate	2,695

There was a saving in the foundations owing to the work being founded on sand.

64. Pothukulam Tank.

	Rs.
Original estimate	3,500
Estimate of 1873-74	11,995
Present estimate	12,050

The main cause of the increase is due to the general level of the tanks and tank walls having been raised. The increase over estimate of 1873-74 is due to an extra payment to Contractor Ragavachary.

65. Pothukulam Culiviyal.

	Rs.
Original estimate	2,780
Estimate of 1873-74	5,924
Present estimate	6,095

The original design was modified to suit the site adopted. A greater height of wall was allowed than in the original design for culiviyals in the original estimate being as this was necessary. The excess of the present over estimate for 1873-74 is due to increased cost of brickwork.

66. Pothukulam Revetment.

	Rs.
Original estimate	...
Estimate of 1873-74	12,800
Present estimate	11,720

The saving on this revetment is explained in paragraph 41 of my letter No. 24, of 20th January 1875. The work is approved in paragraph 9 of your note printed No. Q. No. 1, 1875, of 1st June 1875.

The difference between present estimate and that for 1873-74 is due to the whole estimate for the tank revetment having been incorrectly divided between the Pothukulam and Poykadam tanks in your office.

67. Raising the level of the Marathamp Tank or Korumpallam Tank.

	Rs.
Original estimate	11,000
Estimate of 1873-74	33,611
Present estimate	34,130

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department,
1st October 1875.

This tank as well as the Pothukulam was raised in level. The capacity of the tank as originally designed was much too small, and the raising was essential to the proper supply of the lands under the northern tanks. The excess in present over estimate of 1873-74 was due to an extra payment to Contractor Ragavachary.

68. Escape weir for Korumpallam Tank.

	Rs.
Original estimate	2,790
Present estimate	...

The Upar Odai dam acts as the escape for the Korumpallam tank and the separate provision of an escape was unnecessary.

69. Escape weir or Upar Odai Dam.

	Rs.
Original estimate	28,640
Estimate of 1873-74	39,100
Present estimate	39,310

The excess above original estimate is due to the work having been raised 1½ feet, also to an increase in the rate for cut stone, both of which are partly counterbalanced by the saving effected by substituting rough stone in mortar for brickwork in the body.

70. Revetment to Korumpallam Tank.

	Rs.
Original estimate	70,000
Estimate of 1873-74	70,380
Present estimate	70,380

The explanation given for Pothukulam revetment applies to this tank.

71. Upar Odai Embankment.

	Rs.
Original estimate	41,060
Estimate of 1873-74	28,800
Present estimate	27,200

The saving on the original estimate is due partly to a shorter line for the embankment having been chosen, and partly to a saving in the rates. The increase in present estimate over that for 1873-74 is due to provision being made for ramps on the road from Tuticorin to Palayankottai.

72. Dredging Channel to Tuticorin.

	Rs.
Original estimate	21,230
Estimate of 1873-74	11,112
Present estimate	11,112

The saving on this channel is due to its having been carried out at rates considerably below those of the estimate. The line was altered by carrying the channel to the north of Tuticorin instead of the south, in order more thoroughly to intercept the drainage. By adopting this line to the north the channel ran across the cotton road for which a bridge (the next item) had to be provided.

73. Bridge over Cotton Road.

	Rs.
Original estimate	1,200
Estimate of 1873-74	2,500
Present estimate	2,500

The necessity for this work is explained above.

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department,
1st October 1875.*

74. *Thirty small sluices*

	rs.
Original estimate	7,330
Estimate of 1873-74	15,000
Present estimate	18,000

The increase on this item is due to a more suitable design having been adopted for all the more important sluices than that previously allowed for. Thirty-four sluices have been built, of which twenty of the present ones have been fitted with screw shutters.

75. *Distribution Channel*

	rs.
Original estimate	10,000
Estimate of 1873-74	25,000
Present estimate	35,000

It was pointed out at an early date that the provision under this head in the original estimate was quite inadequate. The original intention was to throw the expense of constructing the distribution channel upon the ryots, and, as such, up to the Chief Engineer for Irrigation, the present estimate was paid for allowance for minor channels. Colonel Anderson, however, added Rupees 10,000 for this service. It has been found necessary to construct heavy embankments in many cases with drains. The greater portion of these have been put out, Rupees 100 per mile, but the supply channel to Palka will cost, and those from Kurnool will be even more costly. As the channels from Kurnool are still incomplete, I have entered a further sum of Rupees 5,000 in the present estimate.

76. *Construction of compound wall at Mangalore*

	rs.
Original estimate	3,370
Estimate of 1873-74	5,808
Present estimate	5,838

This is a wall in the corner of the compound wall, which was constructed though not originally estimated for.

77. *Temporary Sluice*

	rs.
Original estimate	4,500
Estimate of 1873-74	4,530
Present estimate	4,500

This is a sluice in the corner of the compound wall, which was constructed though not originally estimated for.

78. *Small sluices*

	rs.
Original estimate	42,000
Estimate of 1873-74	42,000
Present estimate	42,000

This is a payment to the Contractor for materials damaged in the flood of November 1860, and was sanctioned in G. O. No. 1,421, of the 8th June 1870. The sum of Rupees 31,974 mentioned in paragraph 4 of the same order has, in the estimate now submitted, been distributed among the individual works to which it appertains, and appears, therefore, in the total cost of each work.

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department,
1st October 1875.*

79. *Compensation for land*

	rs.
Original estimate	3,000
Estimate of 1873-74	40,000
Present estimate	37,000

The provision in the original estimate for this item was quite inadequate. As I cannot explain how the original figure was arrived at, I cannot point out the cause of excess. Nearly all the land has been paid for, and as it does not appear likely that the whole Rupees 40,000 will be required, I therefore now enter a smaller sum.

80. *Capitalized assessment on land*

	rs.
Original estimate	20,000
Estimate of 1873-74	18,500
Present estimate	18,500

This item was added by you to the estimates, vide paragraph 5 of your note printed in G. O. No. 1,498, of 8th June 1874. As, however, the compensation to be paid for land will not, it now appears, amount to more than Rupees 37,000, the capitalized assessment has been correspondingly reduced.

81. *Sluices*

	rs.
Original estimate	40,000
Estimate of 1873-74	38,777
Present estimate	27,027

The expenditure on this item has now been reduced by debiting to their proper heads several charges which should really be included in the cost of individual works. Such, for instance, as the cost of wells in the ancient foundations, also the cost of well frames, made but not used, previously referred to. The expenditure above shown now includes—1st, the cost of repairs to works while in progress, not separately charged in sanctioned estimates under Agricultural Repairs; 2nd, cost of tools; 3rd, repairs to Mangalore; 4th, provision of office sheds, store sheds, &c.; 5th, office contingencies; official postage, &c.

82. *Damage by flood*

	rs.
Original estimate	5,000
Estimate of 1873-74	5,000
Present estimate	5,000

This is a payment to the Contractor for materials damaged in the flood of November 1860, and was sanctioned in G. O. No. 1,421, of the 8th June 1870. The sum of Rupees 31,974 mentioned in paragraph 4 of the same order has, in the estimate now submitted, been distributed among the individual works to which it appertains, and appears, therefore, in the total cost of each work.

I have now I think explained the alterations, both as regards construction and cost of each item made from time to time during the execution of the work, and I trust I have been able to make my explanations sufficiently clear.

I have alluded in several places to increased cost of brickwork. This is due to the fact that when the brick manufacturers' accounts were finally closed, it was found that the cost of bricks had amounted to Rupees 2-0-0 per cubic yard, instead of Rs. 2-15-0. This gives a rate of Rupees 2-15-0 per 1,000.

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department,
1st October 1875.*

Taking all the work done departmentally, the average cost of brickwork in common masonry is as nearly as possible Rupees 5 per cubic yard, which was the original rate allowed.

§3. Direct Establishment Charges.

I have since the receipt of G. O. No. 3,231, of the 16th November, been in communication with the Controller as to the amount to be debited to the project, and have ascertained that, including the cost of establishment for getting out the estimates and plans in 1867-68, the cost of separate establishments amounted, up to 30th November 1872, to Rupees 74,634. As, however, the Controller in a letter, dated 2nd instant, informs me that the amount which is to form a debit to the project is still under discussion, I have not added that sum to the general estimate, but must request you to be good enough to inform me what sum may be finally determined to be the correct debit.

As regards the subsequent retiable charge, which the Controller informs me is to be calculated at 25 per cent. of the expenditure, I have the honor to remark that deducting from the total of the present estimate, Rupees 10,00,000, the expenditure up to 30th November 1872, viz., Rupees 7,64,000, the balance would be Rupees 2,35,999, and the debit at 25 per cent. on this sum would amount to Rupees 57,245.

I think, however, it would only be fair to include under expenditure, up to 30th November 1872, the whole of work done by Contractor Nagavachury, whose accounts were not settled till last official year. Should you agree to this, the work executed to 30th November 1872 would stand at Rupees 7,94,976, and the sum on which establishment charges are to be retially calculated would be Rupees 2,05,024, and the amount Rupees 51,256.

§4. Interest Payments.

The interest charges which are to be added should, I presume, be calculated by the Controller. On the 23rd November 1874, I asked this officer to make out the statement, but, as they have not been received by me, I shall feel obliged by your having the statement added in your office.

§5. Revenue Statements.

The revenue charges called for, I am informed by the present Acting Collector, will be sent to me on Friday. I shall discuss them before leaving the district on Monday. I am unable to offer any remarks upon them.

I have prepared and forward herewith a statement showing the sum spent on repairing the channels and tanks now managed by the Strcevigundam Irrigation system.

The amount shown will of course form a deduction from the revenue derived in 1872-73.

§6. Water Supply.

I have referred, with to report on the water supply, regarding which further information is asked for in paragraph 4 of the letter from the Government of India.

In my previous Reports of January and December 1873, I have stated that the supposition that the minimum supply of water would never be less than 100 cubic feet per second was found erroneous, and that in September 1873 we had found the minimum to be the latter part of the year. We have now had another year's experience, and in 1874 the minimum in September was 100 cubic feet per second. For sixteen days in that month the water was less than 100 cubic feet per second.

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department,
1st October 1875.*

As we have had regular registers kept for two years, I forward a calculation showing the amount of water available for supply under the existing conditions of the anicut and sluices. Similar statements were called for in paragraph 3 of your memorandum, No. 188, of the 25th March 1873. I was not then able to give you the information you required, but the river and channel discharges have now been worked out by Mr. Hughes and myself from the registers kept at Strcevigundam since June 1873.

I should premise by stating that I regard the calculations only as fair approximations, and not as absolutely accurate. It is evident from many considerations that we do not know the correct coefficients for the sluices, but I hope these will be ascertained by direct experiment as soon as possible after the channels have been opened in June.

Roughly averaging the two years the monthly supply is shown below:

	Cubic yards.
June	88,000,000
July	70,000,000
August	35,000,000
September	14,000,000
October	31,000,000
November	65,000,000
December	64,500,000
January	20,000,000
February	18,000,000
March	13,000,000

April and May are omitted, for, as a rule, the channels would be closed and no cultivation in progress.

The south-west monsoon of 1873 was unusually abundant, the volume reaching Strcevigundam in June, July, and August 1873, being in round numbers 250 millions against 148½ millions in the same period in 1874. On the other hand the north-east monsoon of 1873-74 was an almost entire failure, and the average is brought up only by a single fresh lasting four days at the end of February 1874. In 1874-75 the north-east monsoon was most capricious, there being violent floods in November with a very scanty supply during January and February. Experience has shown us that even the present cultivation (and there has not as yet been much extension) is always in difficulties except under the Kadamba and Arunagumungalam tanks in September and March.

This is in some measure due to the ryots not cultivating early enough. Last year the Arunagumungalam and Kadamba tanks were full at the end of June, but the ryots neglected to commence cultivation till the end of July and beginning of August. It is inexplicable, but such was the fact.

In your calculations regarding the expenditure of water (paragraph 7 of your note, dated 25th April 1874) you have allowed only 2 cubic yards per hour per acre. I am, however, convinced that for the kat crop the expenditure is much in excess of that. The sandy nature of greater part of the land and the salty nature of other tracts have not been taken into account, and it must be remembered that the kat cultivation will not, as a rule, be helped out by rain. Judging from what I have seen of the way the ryots cultivate, it would seem to be fair for the kat crop to consider the tanks full at the

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department,
1st October 1875.

and of July, and then adding the supply in August and September, calculate the acreage cultivable with an expenditure of 60 cubic yards per day for sixty days.

The total tank capacity, as previously reported, was 28 millions cubic yards on the north and 25.6 on the south side. New tanks have recently been made from special funds which would raise the tank capacity on the south side to 30 millions; hence the total tank capacity = 58 millions.

For the rice crop therefore—

Tanks full 31st July	58 Millions cubic yards.
Receipts in August and September	49 "
	107 "
Defect quantity remaining in larger tanks (probable)	17 "
and for evaporation	17 "
	90 "

C. yds. Hanks Days
21. x 24 x 60

which at 3,600 cubic yards per acre would suffice for only 25,000 acres, and to ensure this the cultivation must be commenced in June.

For the peshawar, considering that the crop will be helped out by the rainfall, 2 yards per hour as taken by you, may be assumed, though I think that even here 2.5 per hour per acre would be better.

Supposing therefore for the peshawar that the tanks were full at the end of December, and adding the average supply up to the 15th March, the result is as follows—

Tanks full 31st December	48 Millions
Receipts in January and February & 15th March	42.5 "
	90.5 "
Defect quantity remaining and for evaporation	14.5 "
	76 "

C. yds. Hanks Days
2 x 24 x 75

86,400,000 = 23,469. The supply would therefore suffice, in round numbers, for 24,000 acres.

I have assumed in each case that a certain amount of water remains in the tanks, and this is quite correct, because the tanks being of various relative capacities the whole amount of water cannot be said to be available for the cultivation at once.

There would be a tank, I suppose, the Kadamba, which could not be used for the Ammapallam, and the Korumpallam tank which could not be used for the Kerkel.

In paragraph 3 of your No. 145, 24th March 1875, you state it will not do to supply the Ammapallam by the supply of most uncertain two years running the latter running in the Ammapallam have been. No surplus which would be of use as tank to be reserved either from the Korumpallam or Korumpallam tanks, as these are reserves which all these tanks would have to use.

Of course the drainage from rainfall would at any time pass into the Ammapallam and the other tanks being for the purpose of the peshawar and peshawar plan.

The rainfall of the peshawar in August and September, 1870-71 and 1871-72 respectively, in an average of ten years, ten days of these small quantities would reach

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department,
1st October 1875.

the Korumpallam tank. In January and February again the average of ten years is 1.69 and 0.54 inches, respectively, and water would only reach the Korumpallam tank when this fall occurred in single showers.

The foregoing calculation presents therefore, in my opinion, the most favorable aspect possible of the area likely to be irrigated.

I still think that it will eventually be found necessary to raise the amount to ensure the fullest possible utilization of the flood water: every cubic yard wasted in January or February when freshes usually occur should, if possible, be passed into the channels.

It will be seen that further experience has led me to believe that it is not likely the acreage originally calculated on will be cultivated. Feeling also that no cultivation independent of tanks is likely to succeed, I have, when accompanying the late Collector Mr. Puckle over the ground for settlement purposes, deprecated any ground being classed as wet which was not commanded by a tank.

87. Additions to be made to estimates in Chief Engineer's Office.—I regret that I am not able to submit all the papers complete to you, but as neither the interest statement nor the revenue statement has been received by me, you will I trust excuse my troubling you to compile in your office the further information needed. I hope, however, that, as regards the details of the works themselves and the available water-supply, this letter and its accompaniments will afford you all requisite information.

88. Ryots' Contributions.—Out of the sum contributed by the ryots Rupees 38,845-8-0, Rupees 22,853 have been spent on the river bund, and Rupees 15,002 on the bridge piers, leaving a balance of Rupees 2,990-8-0, which I would propose should be spent on the work required to raise the river embankments.

N.E.—A general abstract in accordance with the instructions contained in the last clause of paragraph 20 of your note, No. 292 A, printed with G. O., No. 1,498, of the 8th June 1874, has been prepared and forms an accompaniment to this letter.

UNIONVILLE DISTRICT
 CLERK OF DISTRICT FOR SUPERIOR AND ASSIZE COURT
 RETURNED TO THE CLERK OF THE DISTRICT OF
 THE 20th JUNE 1872

	3,600 0 0	...	3,000 0 0	1,99,800
Rough stone	1,540 0 0	...	1,300 0 0	
Gravel	1,75,540 0 0	...	1,93,800 0 0	1,99,800
South Channel and Branches				
South head sluice	11,790 0 0	...	12,300 0 0	
Screen gearing for do.	754 0 0	...	800 0 0	13,100
South main channel	63,950 0 0	...	67,000 0 0	
Stone pitching do.	1,140 0 0	...	1,100 0 0	68,100
Alwar Elmwood, bridges	3,200 0 0	...	3,200 0 0	
Khasi Garo and bridges	1,185 0 0	...	1,180 0 0	
Transformer station	1,850 0 0	...	1,240 0 0	
Do. bridge	6,042 0 0	...	6,280 0 0	
Kamla head sluice	3,116 0 0	...	3,140 0 0	19,040
Surat Branch Channel				
South head sluice	1,208 0 0	...	1,596 0 0	
Screen gearing	250 0 0	...	230 0 0	
Surat channel	15,870 0 0	...	17,075 0 0	
Tribeniara road tunnel	1,146 0 0	...	1,140 0 0	
Total	1,140 0 0	...	1,140 0 0	
Approved	3,690 0 0	...	3,680 0 0	
Excess stone pitching	1,210 0 0	...	1,200 0 0	
Excess stone pitching	1,084 0 0	...	720 0 0	

The increase here is due to the extra cost of fitting seven shutters above Lieutenant Shephard's original estimate. The piers and platform had to be raised with cut stone 2½' which was not allowed for.

Excess on this channel is due to extra payment to Contractor Ragarachary getting extra quantities for earthwork and to extra work having been done in raising banks at lower end of channel.

Excess due to extra payment to Contractor Ragarachary.

Do. do.

Excess due to payment to Contractor Ragarachary—*vide* Note No. 12 on Contractor's claims.

Do. do, Note No. 13.

Excess due to extra payment to Ragarachary for earthwork—*see* Note No. 6, on Contractor's claims.

Sum spent entered. Saving due to substitution of one for brick.

	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38	39	40	41	42	43	44	45	46	47	48	49	50	51	52	53	54	55	56	57	58	59	60	61	62	63	64	65	66	67	68	69	70	71	72	73	74	75	76	77	78	79	80	81	82	83	84	85	86	87	88	89	90	91	92	93	94	95	96	97	98	99	100	101	102	103	104	105	106	107	108	109	110	111	112	113	114	115	116	117	118	119	120	121	122	123	124	125	126	127	128	129	130	131	132	133	134	135	136	137	138	139	140	141	142	143	144	145	146	147	148	149	150	151	152	153	154	155	156	157	158	159	160	161	162	163	164	165	166	167	168	169	170	171	172	173	174	175	176	177	178	179	180	181	182	183	184	185	186	187	188	189	190	191	192	193	194	195	196	197	198	199	200	201	202	203	204	205	206	207	208	209	210	211	212	213	214	215	216	217	218	219	220	221	222	223	224	225	226	227	228	229	230	231	232	233	234	235	236	237	238	239	240	241	242	243	244	245	246	247	248	249	250	251	252	253	254	255	256	257	258	259	260	261	262	263	264	265	266	267	268	269	270	271	272	273	274	275	276	277	278	279	280	281	282	283	284	285	286	287	288	289	290	291	292	293	294	295	296	297	298	299	300	301	302	303	304	305	306	307	308	309	310	311	312	313	314	315	316	317	318	319	320	321	322	323	324	325	326	327	328	329	330	331	332	333	334	335	336	337	338	339	340	341	342	343	344	345	346	347	348	349	350	351	352	353	354	355	356	357	358	359	360	361	362	363	364	365	366	367	368	369	370	371	372	373	374	375	376	377	378	379	380	381	382	383	384	385	386	387	388	389	390	391	392	393	394	395	396	397	398	399	400	401	402	403	404	405	406	407	408	409	410	411	412	413	414	415	416	417	418	419	420	421	422	423	424	425	426	427	428	429	430	431	432	433	434	435	436	437	438	439	440	441	442	443	444	445	446	447	448	449	450	451	452	453	454	455	456	457	458	459	460	461	462	463	464	465	466	467	468	469	470	471	472	473	474	475	476	477	478	479	480	481	482	483	484	485	486	487	488	489	490	491	492	493	494	495	496	497	498	499	500	501	502	503	504	505	506	507	508	509	510	511	512	513	514	515	516	517	518	519	520	521	522	523</
--	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-------

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department,
1st October 1875.

Particulars	Quantity	Rate	Amount	Total	Grand Total
Admission of Canal— (Concluded.)					
Work in Arun channel (under)	940 0 0		940 0 0		
Substitution of bridge	1,559 0 0		1,559 0 0		29,436
Water Channel and Ammanapuram Tanks					
Head alone	3,070 0 0		3,070 0 0		
Survey passing for do	720 0 0		720 0 0		
Water channel	7,217 0 0		7,217 0 0		
Bridge over do	3,995 0 0		3,995 0 0		
Do do	510 0 0		510 0 0		
Superior stone	920 0 0		920 0 0		16,810
Ammanapuram channel	937 0 0		937 0 0		
Forming Ammanapuram and	825 0 0		825 0 0		1,940
Kannai tanks					
Kannai Channel and Inner Tanks					
Kannai channel	3,193 0 0		3,193 0 0		
Do channel	25,200 0 0		25,200 0 0		
Palapalayam stone	746 0 0		746 0 0		
Kannai drop	1,270 0 0		1,270 0 0		40,510

Excess due to extra cost of brickwork.
Do do of filling abut-
ment and height.
Excess due partly to extra payments to
Ragavachary, Note No. 6, and partly
to extra earthwork.
Excess due to extra cost of earthwork.
Slight saving.
Do.
Excess due to extra payment to Ragavachary—see Note No. 15 on Contractor's claims.
Slight saving.
Excess due to extra cost of brickwork.
Saving on earthwork which also covers
extra payment to Contractor on this
channel.
Slight saving in rates.
Do.

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department,
1st October 1875.

Particulars	Quantity	Rate	Amount	Total	Grand Total
Tamil Channel and Tank					
Head alone and drop	908 0 0		908 0 0		
Channel	2,560 0 0		2,560 0 0		
Taken drop	555 0 0		555 0 0		
Do tank	2,590 0 0		2,590 0 0		
Do channel	920 0 0		920 0 0		7,562
Ammanapuram	3,995 0 0		3,995 0 0		
Do channel	1,546 0 0		1,546 0 0		8,749
Ammanapuram tank	841 0 0		841 0 0		
Do channel	1,745 0 0		1,745 0 0		10,166
Total of small side					2,15,406
Water Channel and Tanks					
Head alone	17,930 0 0		17,930 0 0		
Water channel	1,456 0 0		1,456 0 0		19,985
Water channel	96,975 0 0		96,975 0 0		
Do channel	2,660 0 0		2,660 0 0		95,735
Do	320 0 0		320 0 0		
Do	1,440 0 0		1,440 0 0		2,960
Water channel head alone	1,921 0 0		1,921 0 0		
Water channel to do	2,500 0 0		2,500 0 0		
Water channel head alone	240 0 0		240 0 0		2,411
Water channel head alone	4,257 0 0		4,257 0 0		
Water channel head alone	4,945 0 0		4,945 0 0		
Water channel head alone	1,290 0 0		1,290 0 0		
Water channel head alone	250 0 0		250 0 0		
Water channel head alone	3,500 0 0		3,500 0 0		

Excess due to extra cost of brickwork.
Excess due to payment for firewood
to Contractor Ragavachary.
Excess due to error in original estimate,
angle from laying been omitted, &c.,
to cost of enlarging ground.
Excess chiefly due to extra payment to
Ragavachary—see Note No. 16 on
Contractor's claims.
Excess due to extra cost of brickwork.

Do do do
Do do do
Do do do

[illegible]

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department,
14 October 1875.

Abstract of the Revised Estimates for the Strengthening Antislavery Project as submitted with letter from District Engineer, Nashville, Aug. 17, 1866. April 1875.

Description	Amount.	Total	Grand Total.	
	rs.	rs.	rs.	
Arrest	1,95,500			
Household	3,000			
Gravies	1,300			
<i>South Canal and Branches.</i>			1,99,800	Total of Amount.
South canal	12,300			
House building	800			
<i>Total of head works</i>		13,100		
South main channel	67,600			
Servipattana do	1,100			
<i>Alwar Purnetta bridge</i>	3,000			
Kanungo's road bridge	1,180			
Tankara Purnetta bridge	3,240			
Truckee bridge	9,280			
Kanungo's road bridge	2,140			
<i>Total of bridges</i>		19,040		
<i>Lower District Channel.</i>				
Lower main channel	1,800			
House building	200			
Lower canal	17,075			
Truckee road bridge	1,140			
Do. do	1,140			
Lower canal	3,600			
Lower canal	1,200			
Lower canal	720			
Do. do	240			
Kanungo's road bridge	1,580			
<i>Total of Lower District Channel</i>		29,430		
<i>Lower District Channel and Amnagar Canal.</i>				
Lower canal	1,365			
House building	790			
Lower canal	7,280			
Lower canal	3,395			
Lower canal	510			
Lower canal	620			
<i>Total of Lower District Channel and Amnagar Canal</i>		16,810		
<i>Amnagar Canal and Amnagar Canal.</i>				
Amnagar canal	1,115			
Amnagar canal and Amnagar canal	525			
<i>Total of Amnagar Canal and Amnagar Canal</i>		1,640		
<i>Lower District Canal and Lower District Canal.</i>				
Lower canal	3,325			
Do. canal	35,400			
Lower canal	715			
Lower canal	1,270			
<i>Total of Lower District Canal and Lower District Canal</i>		40,510		
<i>Lower District Canal and Lower District Canal.</i>				
Lower canal and drop	940			
Canal	2,560			
Drop	550			
Lower canal	2,590			
Do. canal	920			
<i>Total of Lower District Canal and Lower District Canal</i>		7,560		

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department,
1st October 1877.*

Abstract of the Revised Estimates for the Strengthening Ancient Project, &c.—(Continued.)

Description.	Amount.	Total.	Grand Total.	
<i>Taluken Channel and Tank.---(Concluded.)</i>				
Avadyar tank	Rs. 6,303	Rs.	Rs.	
Do. calingulah	1,846			
		8,749		
Ellapanaiken tank	8,411			
Do. calingulah	1,745			
		10,156		
Grand Total, South Channel ..	...	...	2,15,406	Total of south side.
<i>North Channel and Branches.</i>				
North head sluice	18,200			
Screw gearing	1,785			
		19,985		
North channel	98,075			
Stone pitching	2,660			
		98,735		
Dam	920			
Inlets	1,440			
		2,360		
Keelpudugai head sluice	1,921			
Screw gearing	250			
Dam in new channel below do.	240			
		2,411		
Sivaramungalam bridge	4,310			
Munglacurchy do.	4,970			
Korkai head sluice	1,320			
Screw gearing do.	250			
Perungulam surplus embankment	3,500			
Yard under tunnel	9,700			
Outfall channel	3,800			
Yard bridge and calingulah	4,760			
Canway	3,700			
Struthunda bridge	2,300			
Double dam	7,020			
Malayakayal road bridge	3,315			
		50,045		
Marathony tank	402			
Do. calingulah	2,693			
		3,187		
Pottikulam tank	13,050			
Calingulah	6,733			
Revetment	11,920			
		30,005		
Chinnaswamy Naiken or Korumpallam tank	34,190			
Escape weir or Upaar Odel dam	39,310			
Revetment	70,830			
		1,14,380		
Upaar Odel embankment		27,300		
Tuticottin channel	14,113			
Bridge over do. on cotton road	2,500			
		16,612		
			3,34,920	Total of north side.
Distribution sluices	18,600			
Do. channels	33,020			
		51,600		
Pathugoody bungalow	8,888			
Temporary sheds	1,558			
		8,747		
Bundras		27,027		

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department,
1st October 1875.

Abstract of the Revised Estimates for the Streets and Amrut Project, &c.—(Concluded.)

Description	Amount.	Total.	Grand Total.
Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	
Water Establishment	42,000	42,000	
Land compensation	37,000		
Capitalised assessment on land taken up	16,500	55,500	
Damage to materials		5,000	
		1,89,974	Total of General.
Grand Total		10,00,000	

General Abstract.

Amrut	1,00,800
South side	2,35,408
North side	3,04,320
General	1,89,974
Total	10,00,000

PILANCOITTA, (Signed) J. W. RUNDALL,
10th April 1875. District Engineer, Pannvelly.

Statement showing the Sum expended on repairs of Tanks and Channels now merged in the Streets and Amrut Scheme.

Original year.	North Side.	South Side.	Common to both North and South Sides.	Total.	Remarks.
Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	
1857-58	410	1,007		1,417	
1858-59	920	3,060		3,980	
1859-60	1,023	1,081		2,104	
1860-61	1,007	1,378		2,385	
1861-62	585	1,367		1,952	
1862-63	2,480	2,134		4,614	
1863-64	920	750		1,670	
1864-65	2,033	2,314		4,347	
1865-66	1,432	1,911		3,343	
1866-67	1,681	448		2,129	
1867-68	811	423		1,234	
1868-69	3,371	1,773		5,144	
1869-70	12,834	16,754		29,588	
1870-71	880	4,998		5,878	
1871-72	1,533	1,343		2,876	
1872-73	5,285	4,405		9,690	
1873-74	2,804	1,927	1,118	5,849	
1874-75	12,800	15,537	1,134	29,471	

PILANCOITTA, (Signed) J. W. RUNDALL,
10th April 1875. District Engineer, Pannvelly.

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department,
1st October 1875.

Statement showing the Amount of Water available for supplying Channels at Streets and Amrut deduced from Registers of the Amrut and Stakes for each year.

Month and Date.	Hours.	Supply per Hour.	Total Supply.	Total Supply per Month.
C. YDS.	C. YDS.	C. YDS.		
1873-74.				
21st June	24	153,600	77,414,400	
9th do.	24	81,482	17,600,112	
19th July	24	153,600	70,041,600	
12th do.	24	115,000	38,120,000	103,161,600
6th August	24	153,600	22,118,400	
25th do.	24	50,046	30,027,600	52,146,000
30th September	24	26,082	19,211,040	19,211,040
2nd October	24	153,600	7,372,800	
20th do.	24	33,500	28,316,000	30,688,800
3rd November	24	200,000	14,400,000	
6th do.	24	153,000	22,118,400	
21st do.	24	68,250	34,398,000	70,916,400
3rd December	24	153,600	11,059,200	
28th do.	24	52,414	35,222,208	46,281,408
31st January	24	14,900	11,085,600	11,085,600
1st February	24	200,000	4,800,000	
3rd do.	24	153,600	11,059,200	
24th do.	24	14,726	3,432,176	24,341,376
31st March	24	20,850	15,512,100	15,512,100
Total				468,369,120
1874-75.				
4th June	24	200,000	10,200,000	
8th do.	24	153,600	20,491,200	
18th do.	24	73,636	31,852,562	80,623,052
7th July	24	153,600	25,804,800	
24th do.	24	40,000	28,040,000	48,844,800
31st August	24	25,570	19,024,080	19,024,080
30th September	24	13,208	9,509,760	9,509,760
4th October	24	153,600	12,745,600	
27th do.	24	34,063	22,396,824	37,142,424
5th November	24	200,000	24,000,000	
1st do.	24	153,600	8,688,400	
24th do.	24	52,907	30,474,432	68,160,832
2nd December	24	200,000	14,400,000	
16th do.	24	153,600	24,369,600	
14th do.	24	49,415	18,602,440	82,613,040

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department,
1st October 1875.*

Statement showing the Amount of Water available for supplying Channels at Streetington Ancient
 deduced from Registers of the Church and Sincen for each year. — (Concluded.)

Month and Date.	Hours.	Supply per Hour.	Total Supply.	Total Supply per Month.
1874-75. (Continued.)		C. YDS.	C. YDS.	C. YDS.
2nd January	24	133,690	7,372,800	
Total for	24	31,574	21,075,504	29,348,304
28th February	24	12,618	8,479,296	8,479,296
21st March	24	13,531	10,067,064	10,067,064
Total				383,713,152

ESTABLISHED 1891

(Signed) J. W. RUNDALL,
District Engineer, Worcester.

No. 713. From Captain L. CONWAY-GORDON, R.E., Controller of Public Works Accounts, to Lieutenant-Colonel J. MULLINS, R.E., Chief Engineer for Irrigation, dated Fort St. George, 21st August 1875, No. 11 F.

With reference to your letter of the 16th July 1875, No. 760, and to G. O. of 16th November 1874, No. 3,231, I have the honor to forward a statement showing approximately the direct and some of the indirect charges which, in the light of present information, seem fairly detachable to Srirangam Sanskrit system from the commencement of the work to the close of the year 1874-75.

2. The sums entered under compensation for land, works, and tools and plant represent expenditure incurred directly on account of the ament system.

3. Under establishment, the actual cost of the special establishment employed, together with a charge of 5 per cent. of the outlay on works, had been entered for the period during which the works constituted a separate charge, for the remaining period the charges for establishment have been taken at 25 per cent.

4. The Collector of Tinnevely has not yet furnished the information required to enable this office to capitalize the statement of land revenue, and in the absence of information regarding the date from which the works are to be considered to have come into operation, this office cannot calculate the interest charges.

5. To prevent misunderstanding, I may as well point out that the figures given in the statement now forwarded are to be regarded as simply an indication of alterations which are likely to be made in the Capital Account of the Stravigintan Antoin system when that Capital Account is in its turn systematically taken in hand: that the present and changes on account of establishment must in particular be regarded as liable to further revision when Government have determined the principle on which such changes are to be apportioned: and that as the regular monthly accounts of Capital outlay on Locomotion Works no alteration will for the present be made.

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department,
1st October 1875.*

[illegible][illegible]

J. M. L. MILLS
J. M. L. MILLS

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department,
1st October 1875.*

Feb. 1, 1984.

No. 8.

Note by the Chief Engineer for Irrigation.

IN G. O. of the 16th November 1874, No. 3,231, instructions were issued for the collection of certain information required by the Government of India in connection with the Brhavigantam Ament Project. The information is on some points not yet complete, but Government may desire to have laid before them that which has been obtained.

2. The information asked for referred to the following subjects: —

121.—The cost of the works including establishments and other charges.

2.67 The causes of excess over original estimate:

So 7.—The net income to be obtained from the outlay

5% - Accepting

3. On the first point the District Engineer has reported so far no direct charges are concerned; and his letter of the 19th April last contains also explanations of all cases of excess on works. It will be observed that the amounts of the items in nearly every case differ more or less from those of the estimate reviewed in June 1874. In most cases the differences are small, but in the following, in which they are considerable, special notice is necessary:—

1981

Interest \$1,900

The explanation given in paragraph 5 of the District Engineer's letter above noticed does not account for this difference, except that the cost of Portland Cement has been transferred from sundries to this work. The adjustment of the contractors' claims (Proceedings, Madras Government, 7th December 1874, Note, paragraphs 6 and 25) amounted to Rs—

On the Engraving

2000

On the other hand, the financial principles for the work

100

It is well and well-recognized that a primary debt to ourselves

7724

Total 12,385

and the rest is made up of increases on many items, some of which at any rate ought to have been included in the estimate of 1873-74, as the expenditure has not been incurred since, e.g., rough stone buildings, fences 1,500.

4. The total of the estimate of 1874-75, as submitted by the District Engineer, is Rupees 10,00,000, whereas that transmitted to the Government of India was Rupees 10,49,480. It has taken some trouble to investigate the differences, but the following is a summary:—

	Increase.	Decrease.
	Rs.	rs.
Alumina	24,960	
Melting soda ash ..	5,197	
" Soda ash ..	6,483	
Waxes	—	12,750
Sand, noncorrosive ..	—	3,000
Carbonized wood-sawdust ..	—	1,500
Spent oil—Bromine ..	—	50,150
	33,940	78,400
		44,560

The difference of Rupees 20 is hardly worth minding, as it is due to some clerical error in the printing.

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department,
1st October 1875.*

Why the District Engineer left out the special engineering establishment is not clear, but at any rate the amount must be restored to its place, and the estimate of 1974-75 then becomes Rupees 10,59,150—

This sum includes part of the establishment charges, viz., special...	59,150
" petty ...	42,000
Total ...	1,01,150

Letter No. 21 1.

and to enable use to be made of the information furnished by
the Controller, the above must be deducted, leaving for works ...

Add Controller's statement of establishment up to 1874-75	1.45.100
	0.1.05

Tools and Plant \$ 54,508

The above establishment is for an expenditure of \$1,000,000, and \$1,000,000 remained to be expended, on which the

and reduced 100,000	...	...	...	26,875
establishment charge at 25 per cent. will be	...	...	...	...

Total 11,39,087

The Controller states that his figures must be taken as an approximation, and he has not calculated the interest charges in the absence of information regarding the date from which the works are to be considered to have come into operation.

This date is somewhat difficult to settle, as the south channel was turned to account at a very early date, and one part after another has been subsequently utilized as soon as it was ready. If a date must be fixed arbitrarily, probably the beginning of 1872-73, or Early 1282, would be reasonable, but on this point the opinions of the Collector and District Engineer may, with advantage, be obtained.

5. The only Revenue information at present available is contained in the Revenue Dept., No. 1,086, 19th July 1875, and Public Works Dept., No. 70, 10th August 1875. The Proceedings, Madras Government, as per margin. It is there shown that the recent settlement, made in special connection with

the effect of this project, gives as the actual results an increase of revenue of Rupees 45,784, that probably had the project not been carried out, there would have been a reduction of assessment of Rupees 20,600, the lands being over-assessed at the old rates considering the character of the former water-supply, and that ultimately a further increase of Rupees 49,495 may be anticipated as population increases and irrigation is extended. The above refers to only the south side of the river, the settlement of the north side not having as yet been completed. The irrigation on the south and north sides of the river respectively is expected to be 13,500 and 20,000 acres, and the cost of the south side works may approximately be assumed to be as follows, exclusive of interest:—

and the cost of the south side work only	Rs.	Rs.
exclusive of interest		
South channel and subsidiary works, not included estimate		2,15,400
if, or, say, four-tenths of the following—	1,09,800	
Ament		
General charges, some of which have to be provided however	1,50,974	
Special establishment	59,159	
Tools and Plant	4,105	
Further establishment charges (figure is an information as to the outlay yet to be incurred on the two sides of the river, but it is believed that most will be a north side)	26,573	
	<u>1,09,800</u>	1,09,800
	4,60,803	4,07,243

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department,
1st October 1875.*

Supposing interest, &c., to raise the cost to five lakhs of Rupees for this portion of the system, the results would be—

On the actual settlement of Rs. 48,784	9.2 per cent.
Do. do. with 20,000 added	13.2 "
On possible future increase of revenue, Rs. 45,784 + 49,495	10.0 "
On the above with Rs. 20,000 added	23.0 "

these would be the gross returns.

The District Engineer has furnished a statement showing the maintenance charges for a series of years from 1857-58. If 1872-73 be taken as the first year of the ancient system, then for fifteen years before the average outlay was Rupees 5,325, and for the three years after Rupees 15,430, or, say, 10,000 Rupees have to be deducted from the increase of revenue, and for the south side the deduction would be Rs. 4,000.

It appears to be quite impracticable at present to forecast accurately the results of the outlay on this system of irrigation, but on the whole the prospect of a fair return is encouraging.

6. Lastly, with respect to water supply. In paragraph 7 of the Note on the revised estimates printed in *Proceedings Madras Government*, dated 5th June 1874, No. 1,438, were given calculations of the quantity of water

required	cubic yards 297.48 millions
and quantity probably available	311.43 "
while the District Engineer reports that in 1873-74 there were	468.36 "
and in 1874-75	383.71 "

Gorseburgh (Signed) JOHN MULLINS, Lieut. Colonel, R.E.,
20th September 1875. *Chief Engineer for Irrigation*

No. 2. ORDER THEREON, 1st October 1875, No. 2,831.

IRRIGATION, No. 1.

Account.

The information contained in the foregoing papers, though not quite complete either as regards the probable cost of the Strivagastum Ancient Project or the returns to be obtained from the irrigation under it, will nevertheless afford the Government of India a fairly clear view of its circumstances. As soon as the revenue information for the lands under the north channel may be available a further report will be made to the Government of India, and in the meantime the annual statements of area irrigated and revenue, in the form prescribed for all important irrigation works, should be completed as soon as possible.

2. The interest charges borne by the Controller states, dependent upon the date from which the ancient works are to be considered to have come into operation, the District Engineer will be in communication with the Collector, and in connection with the remarks in the Note of the Chief Engineer for Irrigation, report from the beginning of what year this date should be fixed.

3. The differences between the estimate of 1873-74 and that of 1874-75, so far as they were caused by the settlement made with the late Contractor, may be admitted or be reasonable, and it is presumed that many others were first brought to light during

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department,
1st October 1875.*

the detailed examination of the accounts made in investigating the Contractor's claims. Undoubtedly the accounts were kept in a manner far from satisfactory, but notice

Proceedings of the Madras Government, No. 3,443-A, dated 7th December 1874. of the regularities has already been taken in the orders on the late Contractor's claim.

4. Ordered that the following letter be sent (Here enter No. 2,832, dated 1st October 1875.)

(True Ex)

Major-General, R.E.,
Secretary to Government

To the District Engineer, Thanjavur.
" Chief Engineer for Irrigation.
" Controller of Public Works Accounts.
" Revenue Department.
" Board of Revenue.

Ed. S. T. Austin.

Span. Officer, Secy.

1873-74
1.399

Sept. of 1873: Madrid.
PUBLIC WORKS.

THE LATEST ENGINEER
TINNEY & CO.

16th

10.10.1873

OCTOBER
1873

21. Oct. 1873