M.R.O. 1—20,002—12-8-65.

(1) Number of Pages 22,

(2) Date of repair 26.8.67

(3) Initials of the Referencer 5.2.68

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

Revenue DEPARTMENT

G.O. No. 182 Press
B.P. No. Mis.

Dated 4th 2 1875 196—.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
4th February 1875.

Read the following:—

No. 69. Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 17th December 1874, No. 3,659.

Read the following letter from W. D. HORSLEY, Esq., Collector of Cuddapah, to J. GROSE, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated 25th November 1874, No. 262.

FROM the enclosed copy of a letter, dated 20th November 1874, No. 287, from the Additional Deputy-Collector, the Board will observe how very inconveniently Standing Order No. 23 of 1874 must work in the taluqs on the most ordinary occasions.

2. The Taluq Sheristadar of Pulivendla is acting as Town Deputy-Tahsildar and Magistrate. I appointed a Writer in the Sub-Division Office to act as Sheristadar of Pulivendla, but on receipt of G. O., No. 19, dated 2nd July 1874, I ordered him to join his permanent post.

3. Mr. Sharkey asks me to provide the taluq with a Sheristadar and obtain the approval of the Board afterwards. This, however, I would not be justified in doing, as there is nothing exceptional in the circumstances of the case. Jamabundi is a work of annual occurrence, and besides it is not only then that it becomes necessary for a Tahsildar to leave his headquarter station. The more he itinerates in his taluq throughout the year, the more satisfactory will be the condition of his charge. If chained to his headquarter station, he is dependent on the reports of his subordinates and village officers, and has no opportunity of testing their accuracy.

4. I trust that the Board will request the Government to reconsider their order, and to allow vacancies among Taluq Sheristadars to be filled up on the usual terms.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.

From W. C. H. SHARKEY, Esq., Additional Deputy-Collector, to W. D. HORSLEY, Esq., Collector of Cuddapah, dated Alumkhapully, 20th November 1874, No. 287.

ACCORDING to your verbal instructions, I propose to begin the Jamabundi of Pulivendla Taluq from the 1st proximo, and to conduct the same in three places, viz., Balapanoor, Pulivendla, and Vempully. You are aware that there is no Sheristadar in Pulivendla Taluq, and his duties are performed by the Tahsildar. He cannot leave the treasury, and as Registrar his presence in the Cusbah station is indispensable. Therefore, I cannot expect him to be present at the other two stations, and without his presence the Jamabundy cannot be efficiently conducted, and therefore I have no other alternative but to conduct the Jamabundy of the whole taluq at the Cusbah station only. This would not only be a great hardship to the ryots who live far away from the Cusbah station, but is directly opposed to Board's Standing Order No. 120. Standing Order No. XXIII. of 1874 provides for the appointment of an acting substitute if exceptional circumstances seem to render it necessary. I know that Nandagiri Kristna Row was removed on the authority of this order; and I beg to suggest that, under the present circumstances, he can be re-appointed as Acting Sheristadar. Should you concur with me, I request that you will be good enough to order N. Kristna Row to join the taluq forthwith, pending the sanction of the Board; I await your early instructions on this subject, so that to enable me to make necessary arrangements for the ensuing Jamabundi.

(True Copy.)

(Signed) W. D. HORSLEY,
Collector.

SUBMITTED for the orders of Government.

(True Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) J. GROSE,
Secretary.

No. 70. Endorsement of the Accountant-General, Fort St. George, dated 4th January 1875, No. 520.

Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 19th December 1874, Miscellaneous No. 8,983.

Read the following letter from W. S. WHITESIDE, Esq., Collector of North Arcot, to J. GROSE, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Palmanair, 14th December 1874, No. 656.

GHULAM DHASTAGEER SAIB, the Deputy-Tahsildar and Sub-Magistrate of Tripati, has applied to me for cumulative privilege leave of absence for three months to which he is entitled to enable him to proceed on a pilgrimage to Kearbaia in Arabia. If this leave be granted, I shall be unable, under present circumstances, to arrange for the conduct of the duties of the Deputy-Tahsildar and Sub-Magistrate; and as it is, therefore, absolutely necessary that some one should be made to act for Ghulam Dhastageer, I beg to request that the Board will be pleased to move the Government to sanction the appointment of a substitute for him, and the payment of such substitute of the salary of the post. The Board are aware that the annual Jamabundi Settlement is about to commence in the course of next month, and every taluq officer will have his hands full of work, and be quite unable to undertake satisfactorily to discharge the duties of another official including as they do those of a Sub-Registrar in a large mercantile town.

SUBMITTED for the orders of Government through the Accountant-General.

2. The Board believe the proposed acting allowance could not be granted without a reference to the Government of India.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) J. GROSE,
Secretary.

SUBMITTED for the orders of Government.

2. The pay of Ghulam Dhastageer Saib, Deputy-Tahsildar and Sub-Magistrate of Tripati, being only Rs. 70 per month, no extra charge can, under Section 1(*b*), Supplement F. of the Civil Leave Code, be incurred on account of his absence on privilege leave; but, if he be granted the leave, the acting allowances incurred on account of his absence must, under the proviso to Section 22(*c*) of the Acting Allowance Code, be met out of the absentee's pay.

FORT ST. GEORGE,
4th January 1875.

(Signed) R. W. LODWICK,
Accountant-General.

No. 71. ORDER THEREON, 4th February 1875, No. 188.

Miscellaneous.

THE remarks of the Accountant-General on the second paper above recorded will be communicated to the Board of Revenue.

2. The Government of India remarked* that it was "the deliberate intention of the Rule"† that no extra expense should be imposed on the State in consequence of the absence of an officer whose pay is less than 100 Rs. a-month, and declined to sanction an exception recommended under very peculiar circumstances. Any further representation to them on the subject is, therefore, out of the question, and the Rule must be strictly adhered to.

* Letter, dated 25th September 1873, No. 3,282.
† Section I(b), Supplement F. of the Civil Leave Code.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) C. G. MASTER,
Acting Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.
„ the Accountant-General.

Exd. F. R. Bantleman.

Govt. of Madras
REVENUE.
To
THE BOARD OF REVENUE.
&c. &c. &c.

Dated February 4th
Read

G. O., 4th February 1875, No. 183.

FEBRUARY
No. 61

Abstract of an Order of the Board of Revenue, dated 17th December 1874.

Rs. 100 : Pudukota Loan.—Circumstances under which the Board of Revenue recommended the Auditor General that no extra charge can be incurred on account of the —— and discharge has as the Government of India declined to sanction an exception under very peculiar circumstances no further representation can be made to them on the subject, and that the rule must be strictly adhered to.

27/1/75 1/2 [illegible]

No. 3,659.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 17th December 1874.

Read the following letter from W. D. HORSLEY, Esq., Collector of Cuddapah, to J. GROSE, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated 25th November 1874, No. 262 :—

FROM the enclosed copy of a letter, (dated 20th November 1874, No. 287,) from the Additional Deputy-Collector, the Board will observe how very inconveniently Standing Order, No. 23 of 1874, must work in the taluqs on the most ordinary occasions.

2. The Taluq Sheristadar of Pulivendla is acting as Town Deputy Tahsildar and Magistrate. I appointed a Writer in the Sub-Division Office to act as Sheristadar of Pulivendla, but on receipt of G. O., No. 19, dated 2nd July 1874, I ordered him to join his permanent post.

3. Mr. Sharkey asks me to provide the taluq with a Sheristadar and obtain the approval of the Board afterwards. This, however, I would not be justified in doing, as there is nothing exceptional in the circumstances of the case. Jamabundi is a work of annual occurrence, and besides it is not only then that it becomes necessary for a Tahsildar to leave his head-quarter station. The more he itinerates in his taluq throughout the year, the more satisfactory will be the condition of his charge. If chained to his head-quarter station, he is dependent on the reports of his subordinates and village officers, and has no opportunity of testing their accuracy.

4. I trust that the Board will request the Government to re-consider their order, and to allow vacancies among Taluq Sheristadars to be filled up on the usual terms.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.

From W. C. H. SHARKEY, Esq., Additional Deputy-Collector, to W. D. HORSLEY, Esq., Collector of Cuddapah, dated Alumkhapully, 20th November 1874, No. 287.

ACCORDING to your verbal instructions, I propose to begin the Jamabundi of Pulivendla Taluq from the 1st proximo, and to conduct the same in three places, viz., Balapanoor, Pulivendla, and Vempully. You are aware, that there is no Sheristadar in Pulivendla Taluq, and his duties are performed by the Tahsildar. He cannot leave the Treasury, and as Registrar, his presence in the Cusbah station is indispensable. Therefore I cannot expect him to be present at the other two stations, and without his presence the Jamabundy cannot be efficiently conducted, and therefore I have no other alternative but to conduct the Jamabundy of the whole taluq at the Cusbah station only. This would not only be a great hardship to the ryots who live far away from the Cusbah station, but is directly opposed to Board's Standing Order, No. 120, Standing Order No. XXIII. of 1874, provides for the appointment of an acting substitute if exceptional circumstances seem to render it necessary. I know that Nandagiri Kristna Row was removed on the authority of this order, and I beg to suggest that under the present circumstances, he can be re-appointed as Acting Sheristadar. Should you concur with me, I request that you will be good enough to order N. Kristna Row to join the taluq forthwith, pending the sanction of the Board ; I await your early instructions on this subject, so that, to enable me to make necessary arrangements for the ensuing Jamabundi.

(True Copy.)

(Signed) W. D. HORSLEY,
Collector.

SUBMITTED for the orders of Government.

(True Copies and Extract.)

J Grose
Secretary.

To the Acting Secretary to Government, Revenue Department.
Copy to the Collector of Cuddapah.

Exd. G. A. Turton.

2 July/74 No 19 Mis
Genl — 35 Mis

№ 4534

Revenue 1874. Depto

Board of Revenue.

MADRAS.

PROCEEDINGS, 17TH DECEMBER 1874, No. 3,659:

Despatches 1/74 Encl.4

SOdo 188 4 February 1875

Received 22d dee:

DECEMBER.

No. 3,659.

Uncovenanted officials—whose salary is less than Rupees 100 per mensem.—Letter from Collector of Cuddapah requesting to ask Government to re-consider their order against acting appointments being made when leave is granted to —, and to allow vacancies among Taluq Sheristadars to be filled up on the usual terms, submitted for orders of Government.

n: 69

15 Jany/75 No 22 Jany/75

Miscellaneous No 8980.

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 19th December 1874

No 658 Read the following

From,

W. H. Rittinde Esquire
Collector of North Arcot

To,

J. Cornes Esquire
Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Madras

Sir,

I have the honor to be directed by the Deputy Collector of Cuddapah to request permission of Government to grant him leave of absence for [illegible] privilege leave of absence for three months to enable him to proceed on a pilgrimage to Mecca in Arabia. If this leave be granted, I shall be unable to enable him to proceed on a pilgrimage to Mecca in Arabia. If this leave be granted, I shall be unable under present circumstances to arrange for the conduct of the duties of the

Deputy Tahsildar and Sub Magistrate, and as it is therefore absolutely necessary that some one should be made to act for Ghulam Dhastagher, I beg to request that the Board will be pleased to move the Government to sanction the appointment of a substitute for him and the payment of such substitute of the salary of the post. The Board are aware that the annual Jamabundi settlement is about to commence in the course of next month, and every Taluq Officer will have his hands full of work and be quite unable to undertake satisfactorily to discharge the duties of another Official including as they do those of a Sub Registrar in a large Mercantile Town.

I have &c

North West Collrs Office
Palamcottah 14th Decr 1874

(Sd) W. H. Whitehouse
Collector

194/5

Submitted for the orders of Government through the Accountant General.

The Board believe the proposed acting allowance could not be granted without a reference to the Government of India.

True copy & Extract

J. Cole
Secretary

To,
The Acting Secretary to Government
Revenue Department
Through the Accountant General

Accountant General's Office
Recd 21 DEC 1874
Ansd

Ch. Narasimma

25 October 1875 No 242/43

No 20

Revenue 1875 Depte

Proceedings of the
Board of Revenue
thro' Acting Genl.

Dated 19th Decr 1874

Recd 19

Recd 5th January 1875

Read 4th February 1875

Submitting for orders of
Govt through Actg Genl
with remarks, letter from
Collr of North Arcot requesting
to move Govt to sanction the
appointment of a substitute
for Gulam Dustagir sub Dy
Collr & Sub Magte of Tripati
who has applied for 3 months
privilege leave

15415

No 70

Recd 18 January 1875 Read 23 January 1875

No 520

Submitted for the orders of Government

The pay of Ghulam Dhastageer
said Deputy Collr & Sub Magis-
trate of Tripatte being only Rupees 70
per Mensem, no extra charge can, under
section 1 (b) supplement F. of the Civil
Leave Code, be incurred on account of
his absence on privilege leave, but if he be
granted the leave, the Acting allowances
incurred on account of his absence must,
under the proviso to Section 22 (C) of
the Acting allowance Code, be met out
of the absentees pay.

Fort Saint George
Accountt Genl's Office
4th January 1875

W Rhoderick
Accountt & Genl

To The Secretary to Government
Revenue Department

Compd

contrary to the rule but under very special circumstances. These circumstances were reported to the Govt of India with the observations that the provisions of Section 1 of Supplement F to the Civil Leave Code are found to press hard on small Offices and that this Govt are of opinion that it would be useful if that section were amended so as to admit of a special substitute being employed, at the expense of the State, in cases wherein the permission of the Local Govt has been previously obtained, as was the rule formerly. The Govt of India declined in positive terms to comply with the recommendation

They

Ltr. of 30 August 1873 No. 91.

From Govt of India of 25th Sept 1873 No. 3282

They say that it was "the deliberate intention of the rule" "that no extra expense should be imposed on the State in consequence of the absence of an Officer whose pay is less than 100 Rs a month."

The Govt subsequently declined to sanction deputation allowance to persons acting for servants receiving less than the sum specified, and informed the Board that when privilege leave is granted to such officials no one is to be appointed to act for them, and that Special circumstances which may render acting arrangements without deputation allowance necessary, are to be reported to

G.O. of 25th Nov 1873 No. 1296

Mis. G.O. 5th June 1874 No. 35.

Mis. G.O. of 2nd July 1874 No. 49.

them. The Board is fund a standing Order accordingly. The Board is now submit for orders a letter from the Collr of Cuddapah in which he reports the inconvenience that will result by requiring the Tahsildar to remain at Head Quarters during the absence of the Taluq Shirastadar and requests Govt to nominate their order and to allow vacancies among Taluq Shirastadars to be filled up in the usual terms

The Board also submit another case in N. Arcot. The Deputy Tahsildar and Sub-Magistrate of Tripatti who receives only 30 Rs a month as allowance for three months privilege leave, and the Collr considers it absolutely necessary that some one should be made Dept for him. Mr Whiteside remarks that the Permanent Settlement is about to commence and that every Taluq Officer will have his hands full of work and be quite unable to undertake satisfactorily to discharge the duties of another Official.

The Collectors do not appear to be in possession of the correspondence with the Govt of India on the subject, or they would not have made

made these recommendations.
The terms of the letter
from that Govt dated
25th Sept 1873 preclude
any exceptions to the
rule. There is only one
of two courses open viz
either to direct that
in cases in which an
acting incumbent must
be appointed, the acting
allowance must be met
out of the absentee's pay
as pointed out by the
Accountant General
in his remarks on Bds
Proceedings dated 19th
Dec 1874 No 8983, or to
enable another representation
to the Govt of India.
Orders are requested as
to which of these courses

Many

may be adopted.

Q/W

Abstract

Report of the Board of Revenue in favour of granting Leave on Private Affairs to Baroon Sabi Krishnan on 100 Rs per mensem

Revenue Department.

No. 188

Proceedings of the Madras Government
Under date the 4th February 1875.

Read the following Rs.
of the Bd. of Rev.

Subg. letter from Collr. of Cuddapah requesting Govt. to reconsider their order against wh. appt. when leave is granted to Uncov.d Officials whose salary is 2/3 than Rs 100 per mensem &c.	HC. 19th Decr. 1874 No. 3639.
Subg. for orders thro' Acct. Genl. with remarks, letter from Collr. of N. Arcot requesting to move Govt. to sanction the appt. of a substitute for Gulam Dustageer Sahib the Dy. Tahsildar and Sub Magistrate of Tripatur who has applied for 3 months privilege leave &c.	HC. 19th Decr. 1874 No. 3983

The remarks of the Accountant General on the second paper above recorded will be communicated to the Board of Revenue.

2. The Govt. of India remarked

Col. 18 Jany/75. Ab. 22 Jany/75.

Remarked that it was "the deliberate intention of the Rule" that no extra expense should be imposed on the State in consequence of the absence of an Officer whose pay is less than 100 Rs a month and declined to sanction an exception recommended under very peculiar circumstances. Any further representation to them on the subject is therefore out of the question and the Rule must be strictly adhered to.

Yr. No. 3725 dt. Sept. 1873 No. 3282

Section 11 Supplement F of the Civil Leave Code.

14 Board of Revenue
6 Accountant General

Exd. HB

9.0

Respecting cases of fraudulent debtors where the money is granted to Mr. Saunders during pleasure, & the [illegible]

Refer from Dec. of Council

G. O

Operations of acts of appointment, advancement and promotion to Mundane duties of Subordinate Officers of the Police Department

Notice from Police of Calcutta