

(1) Number of Pages..... 10

(2) Date of repair..... 26-7-68

(3) Initials of the Referencer..... 31.1.8

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

Revenue DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.
B.P. No.

1834

~~Press~~
Mis.

Dated

1-9-1875

196 .

[Handwritten signature]

Not to be folded or roughly handled



Miscellaneous N^o 7217

1834

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE,

Dated *10th* August - 1875.

Read the following

N^o 314

From,
W. Mc Duhae Esqre,
Collector of Madras
To, H. E. Stokes Esqre,
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Madras

** Returned*

Sir, I have the honor to report
on Board petitions N^{os} 19 and 630
of 1874, presented by Veerabadra
Pillai Gurniam of Ramacoundan
-putty and Chockalingam Chetty
of Valiaputty, Madras Taluk.
The latter presented a complaint
to the Acting Deputy Collector in
January

January 1873, accusing the former
generally of concealing cultivation
but without any specific information.

This petition was referred to the
Tahsildar who sent it on to the
Revenue Inspector for enquiry
and report. The Revenue Inspector
examined the cultivation of the
village for that Fusi, namely
1282 in April 1873 but found no
concealment. It appeared however
that the increase of cultivation
in that Fusi amounted to Acres
395.656, assessed at Rupees 485-10-7,
or to an extent equal to 80 per cent
of the actual holdings of the ryots.
Of this increase acres 377.109 assessed
at

1834
at Rs 462-2-9, were brought
to account, in March 1873. Subse-
quent to the complaint of Cho-
kalingam Chetty but before
the date of the Revenue Inspector's
Azimash, and acres 16.5 assessed
at Rs 20-3-3 were previously
entered in the accounts in October
and December 1872. Acres 2.047
assessed at Rs 3-4-7 alone were
not brought to account before
the examination. The Gurnam
asserted that the whole of the
increase was due to new cultiva-
tion made in consequence of
the favorable character of the
season. The ryots supported
the Gurnam's statement and as
Chokalingam

Chockalingam Chetty was unable to prove any specific instance of fraud, the Acting Deputy Collector rejected his complaint.

2. The Acting Collector, however thought that the Curman's allegation that the cultivation was not concealed but new, was incredible and that there could be no moral doubt that he must have concealed cultivation to the amount of several hundreds of Rupees and dismissed him.

It appeared that new cultivation in the surrounding villages in Trasli 1282 ranged between 20 and 2 per cent; and even supposing that the new cultivation in Ramacoundanpatty was

1834
was at the maximum rate of 20 per cent, the remaining 60 per cent, must be presumed to have been lands cultivated in previous years but not brought to account.

3. With regard to Para 2 of the Board's petition N^o 630 of 1874, I beg to state that the Tahsildar examined the lands, and that there seems no reason to believe that there was any further concealment of cultivation, the excess cultivation Viz. Canones 45-13-00 assessed at Rs 3-13-1, found over the holding of the ryots for Trasli 83, being due to ordinary circumstances. Chockalingam Chetty's complaint

complaint that certain Nujah
has been transferred to the head
of Panjah and that Nujah rate
is collected on certain Panjah
is not proved. It was found
on examination that the dismissed
Curnam had wrongly classed
and numbered certain fields.

The mistakes have been corrected.

There was however no loss caused
to Government except in 5 stalls
which the Curnam had entered
at a lower rate and the difference
was found to be Rs 2-15-4.

4. Although the Revenue
Inspector made the Ajimash in
April and the lands were brought
to

1834
to account in March 1873, the
fact of their being thus brought
to account seems to be due to
Chockalingam Shetty having com-
plained. I therefore think he
is entitled to a reward and I
enclose a statement in the pre-
scribed form. It appears that
the amount recovered had not
been held in deposit but car-
ried to the credit of Government.

Collector's Office,
Madura,
23rd July 1875-

I have &c
(signed) W. Mc Duhae
Collector -

The Board are of opinion
that the Curnam was properly
dismissed and he will be informed
that

that they decline to interfere on
his behalf.

2. The sanction of Govern-
ment will be requested for the
disbursement of Rs 50, the
amount of the reward proposed
to be granted to the informer, the
assessment recovered not having
been held in deposit but carried
to the credit of Government

(True Copy & Extract)

W. C. Allen
As Sub Secretary

To, The Secretary to Government
Revenue Department
with a statement of one Petition
to be returned

W. C. Allen

C. P. M.

No 1834

The proposed change of 50 c
sanctioned.

18

1. To the Board of October 9th 1875
1. Cheekes and General S

1st September 1875

Ali



18-8-1875

18.8.75

Ans: 1875. 244

1875. 3291

Proceedings of the
Board of Revenue

Dated 10th August 1875
P.D. 21st August 1875
in 200

Ch. 1874-1st September 1875

Submitting report
of Col^l of the same on
petition. No. 194630 of 1874
with the Board's opinion that
Providence in Allah's name
was properly discovered
and requesting sanction
of Rs. 50 to be granted to
the infirm of several
cultivators
with order

224 Aug 1875 18.8.75 Aug 1875