

(1) Number of Pages 18
(2) Date of repair 6-9-68
(3) Initials of the Referencer 30.1.68

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

Revenue DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.	1789	Press
B.P. No.		Mis.
Dated 25-8-1875 196.		

[Handwritten signature]

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Original Suit 37 15.11 The 5th Defendant submits
N^o 103 of 1875 on the
file of the Villupur-
am District -
Munsiff.

The 5th Defendant submits
that the Plaintiff has no
cause of action against him
as he has been included
as co-defendant only pro-
forma being the Divisional
officer who alone can make
the transfer of puttah
and that he is ready to
issue the puttah in the name
of any person in whose favor
the Court may so decide.

Prays that as regards
him the suit may be dis-
missed with costs.

Plaintiff states that he pur-
chased the land in dis-
pute from the 1st and 2^d
Defendants who are sons of
the puttahdar Kokilam.
and the 3^d Defendant
in July 1872: that ever since
he purchased it he has
been in the enjoyment of the
land: that now the 3^d
Defendant has transferred
his portion of the land so
purchased by Plaintiff
to the name of the 4th De-
fendant, that he complain-
ed to the Head Assistant
Collector 5th Defendant
for transfer of puttah who
directed him to produce
the order of a competent
Court. Prays that the puttah
for the land in dispute may
be transferred to his name.

Defence sanctioned.
4-6-75.

N^o 338

Return of ordinary suits for transfer of puttah defended under Standing Circular N^o 295-5 for the quarter ending 30th June 1875 in the District of South Arcot.

No.	Value	Substance of the defence.	Substance of the plaint	Remarks.
-----	-------	---------------------------	-------------------------	----------

O.S. N^o 140 of 1875 412 b. The 6th Defendant submits on the file of the Villupuram District Munsiff. that 1st Defendant Teerammal registered puttah holder of the land has a right to transfer it to whom she pleases. That 6th Defendant as a Revenue officer is bound to give effect to the same if not restrained by an order of Competent Court. That in the present case no injunction has as yet been received and no order had been issued by the 6th Defendant, nor will be issued until the Court's order is known, and that therefore the Plaintiff has no cause of action against the 6th Defendant who prays that suit against him may be dismissed with costs.

O.S. N^o 188 of 1875 31343 The 2^d Defendant submits, That it was reported to this Defendant that the property in question

Plaintiff states that the Defence sanctioned land in question belonged to 1st Defendant from whom he purchased it for Rs 400 on the 2^d November 1873 and that ever since they are in his enjoyment. That now the 1st Defendant has presented a transfer Razinamah in favor of the 2^d Defendant with the intentions of defrauding the Plaintiff. That he complained to the Head Assistant Collector not to give effect to the transfer as made by the 1st Defendant. The Head Assistant Collector ordered that unless he is restrained by an order of Competent Court within 6 months a puttah for the land will be issued in the name of the 2^d Defendant. Prays for a puttah according to the deed of sale.

That the 1st Defendant Defence Sanctioned is the eldest of the sons of the elder brother of the Plaintiff's father-in-law Pala Pillai. That this man had 3 sons of whom the Plaintiff's husband was

was ancestral and that the family was undivided: that the Plaintiff's husband left no male issue: that Irusa Pillay the 1st Defendant being the 1st male heir in the elder branch of the family appeared to be prima facie the person best entitled to succeed the deceased: and that the transfer of puttah was accordingly ordered to be made in his name, after the expiration of 6 months time, and a notice to that effect issued in the District Gazette. That in accordance with an injunction received from the Court, puttah has not been transferred. That this Defendant is prepared to issue the puttah to anyone whom the Court may decree to be entitled thereto and therefore prays that the suit as regards him be dismissed with costs.

C. S. N^o 447 of 1874 20/58 The 2^d Defendant submits on the file of the District Munsiff of Chedambaram

(1) That the puttah which stood in the name

was the eldest, that a partition was already effected between the 1st Defendant and his brothers and the Plaintiff's husband, who, on puttah, was enjoying his own share which consisted of the lands in question, that after his death, they are in the sole enjoyment and cultivation of his widow, the Plaintiff, who is paying the tierwa for the same, that the Sub Collector instead of issuing puttah in her name as the proper heir gazetted the transfer of puttah in favor of the 1st Defendant believing the Tahsildar's and Revenue Inspector's reports, while the 1st Defendant has no right whatever and that when the Plaintiff complained to the Sub Collector she was referred to the District Gazette. The Plaintiff therefore prays that the 2^d Defendant may be directed to cancel his former order and to transfer the puttah in her name.

That in the year Defence Sanctioned. 1847 Plaintiff's father 15-6-75. Kuruga Pillai mortgaged the lands in

of the 1st Defendant's father-in-law was properly transferred in the name of his son the 1st Defendant's husband: that no order to make the puttah in the Plaintiff's name was ever issued from this office as alleged by Plaintiff: That after the death of the 1st Defendant's husband a notice that the puttah was to be transferred to the 1st Defendant's son, was published in the District Gazette and as no injunction was received from any Court within the prescribed time the transfer was carried out.

(2) That this Defendant is prepared to transfer the puttah to anyone whom the Court may decree to be entitled thereto: and prays therefore that the Suit as regards him may be dismissed with costs.

question for Rs 140 to one Subbaraya Chetty, the 1st Defendant's father-in-law, and got a nominal puttah issued in his (1st Defendant's father-in-law) name: that the 1st Defendant executed a counterpart engagement to the effect that he would get the puttah revised in the name of the Plaintiff's father whenever the latter chose to pay the above sum: that in accordance to the terms of this agreement, the Plaintiff's father redeemed afterwards the lands by paying off the debts and he and after his death, his son, the Plaintiff have been enjoying the lands with exclusive rights but that the puttah for the same has stood in the name of the 1st Defendant's father-in-law and after his death in the name of his son, the 1st Defendant's husband: that the Plaintiff requested the 1st Defendant to transfer the puttah in his name without effect, that when the Plaintiff petitioned the late Subcollector

M?

O. S. N^o 180 of 1875 55 36 The 7th Defendant submits
on the file of the District Munsiff
of Chidambaram of living persons without their express con-
sent.

(1) That he has no power
to transfer puttahs,
which are in the names

(2) That he is

to transfer the puttahs to anyone that the
Court may adjudge to be entitled thereto.

(3) He prays therefore that
the Suit be dismissed with costs.

O. S. N^o 179 of 1875 4 7 The 6th Defendant
on the file of the District Munsiff
of Vidachellam on behalf of the 5th De-
fendant submits

(1) That in the absence
of any injunction from

M^r. Hathway in 1868, he ordered the issue
of puttah in his name but cancelled
afterwards.

The Plaintiff therefore prays for an
order to transfer the puttah in his name.
That the Puttah in Defence Sanctioned
the name of the 3^d

15-6-75.

Defendant and joint
puttah in the names
of the 5th and 6th
Defendants be trans-

ferred in the plain-

tiff's names on the
right of purchase
of the lands entered
in the above puttahs
by plaintiffs.

That in the year 1872 Defence Sanctioned
the Plaintiff pur-

18-6-75

chased certain lands
from the 1st and 2^d
Defendants who
executed

No. 338

Return of ordinary suits for transfer of puttah defended under Standing Circular No. 295-5 for the quarter ending 30th June 1875 in the District of South Arcot.

Value
Substance of the de-
Pence.

Substance of the plaint Remarks.

a competent Court the 5th

Defendant is bound to transfer the puttah to any one, to whom the puttahdar wishes to transfer it.

(2) That the puttah has not yet been transferred to any one and that this Defendant is prepared to transfer the puttah to any one whom the Court may decree to be entitled thereto.

He prays therefore that the suit be dismissed with costs.

executed to him a deed of sale, that since the time of purchase he has been in the enjoyment and possession of the land, that the above defendants presented to the 5th Defendant transfer Razinamahs in favor of the 3rd and 4th Defendants, that the Plaintiff presented a petition to the 5th Defendant objecting to the said transfers and that the 5th Defendant endorsed the petition directing the plaintiff to produce the Court's injunction within 3 months to restrain from transferring the puttah as requested by the Defendants. The Plaintiff therefore prays for a decree for the cancellation of the said Razinamahs and for the issue of puttah in his name.

South Arcot
District Office
Cuddalore
7th August 1875

To,
The

G. Munasinghe
Secretary to the A. S. Sub collector
Board of Revenue in charge.
Madras.

Revenue Department

Miscellaneous No 7553 of
Proceedings of the Board of Revenue
dated 19th August 1895.

Read return from the
Collector of South Arcot
dated 7th August 1895 No 338
of ordinary suits for transfer
of pottah defunded under
Standing Circular No 295 for
the quarter ending 30th June 1895
in the District of South Arcot.

Submitted for the informa-
-tion of Government.

True Extract/

Witness

By Secretary
To The Secretary to
Government P. D.
with original statement &
to be returned.

[Signature]
C.B.

Rev. 1057/30-
No 3310/5

No 4450

Nov: 1895: 246L
Ch. 3310.

He 1057
Proceedings of the
Board of Revenue

Dated. 19th Aug 1895
No: 238.

Draft 19 -
pay.

Submittal for refer-
-ence of Govt & the
from the 20th 1901.
a tract regarding &
-turn of ordinary duties
for transfer of Duties
for the 2nd ending 30th.

June 1895. 1895.

Subject

25 Aug 1895.