

(1) Number of Pages.....8

(2) Date of repair.....

(3) Initials of the Referencer.....*8/27.468*

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

Revenue DEPARTMENT

G.O. No. *1725*
B.P. No.

Press
~~Mis.~~

Dated *1-12-1875* 196 .

8

Not to be folded or roughly handled

No. 13. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 9th November 1875, No. 3,070 :—

Read the following letter from W. S. FOSTER, Esq., Collector of the Godavery District, to H. E. STOKES, Esq., Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Cocanada, 15th October 1875, No. 431 :—

The villages noted in the margin are at present included in the Revenue and Magisterial charge of Pittapur Division,

- | | | |
|------------------------|--------------------|---|
| 1. Tondangi. | 8. Gopalapatnam. | and the Assistant Collector proposes that |
| 2. Vemavaram. | 9. Bendapudi. | they should be added to the Tuni Division |
| 3. Paidikonda. | 10. Chinnayapalem. | for the following reasons :— |
| 4. Hamsavaram. | 11. Kommanapalli. | |
| 5. Pydipala Agraharam. | 12. Ravikampadu. | |
| 6. Kristnapuram. | 13. Alavilli. | |
| 7. Sringavruksham. | | |

(1.) Their distance from Tuni is an average of about eleven miles, while the same from Pittapur is an average of twenty, or nearly double, with the exception of Alavilli, which is equi-distant.

(2.) The road from these villages to Tuni is open and easy of access, whereas most of the villagers to proceed to Pittapur have either to ford the Eleru river, which is quite impassable at times, or to go round by the Bendapudi road, which increases the distance from five to fifteen miles as the case may be.

(3.) The Police charge of the villages is under the Police Inspector at Tuni, while the Pittapur Inspector has got the Police charge of the rest of the Pittapur Division. It is desirable that the Police and Magisterial charges should correspond wherever possible.

(4.) The present Magisterial and Revenue work of Tuni is less than that of the Pittapur Division.

2. I agree with the Assistant Collector, and I have, therefore, the honor to request sanction for the transfer of the villages in question from Pittapur to Tuni.

SUBMITTED for the sanction of Government with request that the requisite notifications may be issued.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) H. E. STOKES,
Acting Secretary.

No. 14. ORDER THEREON, 1st December 1875, No. 1,725.

Miscellaneous.


THE transfer proposed is sanctioned, and a notification will be published accordingly in the Fort St. George and District Gazettes.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) D. F. CARMICHAEL,
Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.
" the Judicial Department.

Erd. J. W. Fischer.



in the Proceedings

1725
2

No. 3,070.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 9th November 1875.

Read the following letter from W. S. FOSTER, Esq., Collector of the Godavery District, to H. E. STOKES, Esq., Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Cocanada, 15th October 1875, No. 431 :—

The villages noted in the margin are at present included in the Revenue and Magisterial charge of Pittapur Division and the Assistant Collector proposes that they should be added to the Tuni Division for the following reasons :—

- | | |
|------------------------|--------------------|
| 1. Tondangi. | 8. Gopalapatnam. |
| 2. Vemavaram. | 9. Bendapudi. |
| 3. Paidikonda. | 10. Chinnayapalem. |
| 4. Hamsavaram. | 11. Kommanapalli. |
| 5. Pydipala Agraharam. | 12. Ravikampadu. |
| 6. Kristnapuram. | 13. Alavilli. |
| 7. Sringavruksham. | |

(1.) Their distance from Tuni is an average of about eleven miles, while the

same from Pittapur is an average of twenty, or nearly double, with the exception of Alavilli, which is equi-distant.

(2.) The road from these villages to Tuni is open and easy of access, whereas most of the villagers to proceed to Pittapur have either to ford the Eleru river, which is quite impassable at times, or to go round by the Bendapudi road, which increases the distance from five to fifteen miles as the case may be.

(3.) The Police charge of the villages is under the Police Inspector at Tuni, while the Pittapur Inspector has got the Police charge of the rest of the Pittapur Division. It is desirable that the Police and Magisterial charges should correspond wherever possible.

(4.) The present Magisterial and Revenue work of Tuni is less than that of the Pittapur Division.

2. I agree with the Assistant Collector, and I have, therefore, the honor to request sanction for the transfer of the villages in question from Pittapur to Tuni.

SUBMITTED for the sanction of Government with request that the requisite notifications may be issued.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

H. E. Stokes

Acting Secretary.

To the Acting Secretary to Government, Revenue Department.

Copy to the Collector of the Godavery District.

Exd. J. W. Fischer.

N^o. 1725 Revenue Department
 Proceedings of the Madras Government 725
 3

Under date the 1st Dec^r 1875

Read the following
 Proceedings of the Board
 of Revenue.

Submitting for sanction
 of Govt. Collyer's recommendation
 for the transfer of certain
 Villages forming part of Pittapur
 Division, in Godavery District
 to Tuni Division

H. E. 9th November 1875
 N^o. 3070.

The transfer proposed
 is sanctioned, and a notifica-
 tion will be published accordingly
 in the Fort Saint George
 and District Gazettes.

14 To the Board of Revenue & Secy
 to the Judicial Dept

For the Secy

N^o 4407
 Revenue 1875. Dep^t
 Board of Revenue,
 MADRAS.

PROCEEDINGS, 9th NOVEMBER 1875, NO. 3,070.

Copy to Secy
 Encl.

SD 1725-1 Revenue 1875

Received 13th Nov 75

NOVEMBER.
 No. 3,070.

Villages—forming part of Pittapur Division,
 in Godavery District.—Submitting, for sanction
 of Government, Collector's recommendation for
 the transfer of certain — to Tuni Division, and
 requesting that the requisite notification may be
 issued.

N^o. 13.
 20 Nov 75. N^o 22 Nov 75

G.O.

Transfer of cer-
tain Village in
Lydening Dnt

James H. H. H.

les