

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
30th November 1875.*

No. 341. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 23rd October 1875, No. 2,946:—

Read the following letter from J. HOPE, Esq., Acting Collector of Kurnool, to H. E. STOKES, Esq., Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated 24th September 1875, No. 338.

WITH reference to G. O., No. 1,289, dated 26th August 1875, I have the honor to forward copy of letter, No. 89, dated 20th September 1875, from the Acting Chief Engineer, Madras Irrigation and Canal Company, refusing to remit the claim against the ryot of Bollavaram referred to in my letter, No. 236, dated 9th July 1875. It will be observed that the Company base their claim on the ground that there is no provision in the rules, as they originally stood, to legalise the charge for fractions of an acre in case of double crops. But as the ryot in question applied for and took water for only .36 acres on the strength of an order issued by me under paragraph 9 of G. O., No. 830, dated 3rd July 1874, it is out of the question that he should be called upon to pay the charge for the full acre to the Company. I therefore recommend that the difference between the charge for .36 acres and the full acre, *viz.*, Rupees 7-14-10, be paid over to the Company by Government.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.

From A. J. DODSON, Esq., Manager and Acting Chief Engineer, Madras Irrigation and Canal Company, to J. HOPE, Esq., Acting Collector of Kurnool, dated 20th September 1875, No. 89.

IN reply to your letter, No. 150, of the 18th instant, I have the honor to state that I think the charge for a full acre of saffron should be maintained, for it is evident from paragraph 3 of the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue that they consider that as the rules stand my claim is a correct one, in fact *not* as stated in your letter, No. 236, of the 9th July "quite unjustifiable and a direct breach of faith."

2. If the proposed addition to the first note to Rule VI. is brought before me officially, I shall be happy to recommend its adoption to the Board of Directors; but in no case can it be retrospective in its action.

3. I return the G. O., No. 1,289, of 26th August 1875.

(True Copy.)

(Signed) J. HOPE,
Acting Collector.

SUBMITTED for the favorable consideration and orders of Government with reference to G. O., dated 26th August 1875, No. 1,289.

(True Copies and Extract.)

(Signed) H. E. STOKES,
Acting Secretary.

No. 342. ORDER THEREON, 30th November 1875, No. 1,717.

Miscellaneous.

THE payment of Rupees 7-14-10 is sanctioned as recommended.

2. This order will be communicated to the Public Works Department, with reference to paragraph 2 of Mr. Dodson's

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
30th November 1875.*

letter, and in continuation of Proceedings, dated 20th August 1875, No. 1,289,
Revenue Department.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) D. F. CARMICHAEL,
Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue
„ the Accountant-General
„ the Public Works Department.

Gobt. of 1875 Madras.
REVENUE.

To

THE BOARD OF REVENUE,

&c., &c., &c.

Dated November, 30th.

Recd.

Encl.

G. O., 30th November 1875, No. 1,717.

NOVEMBER.

Nos. 341-342.

Difference between the charge for 36 acres cultivated and that for full acre paid to Irrigation Company.—Sanctioning the payment of Rupees 7-14-10, being the amount of —, and communicating the order to the Public Works Department.

No. 2,946.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 23rd October 1875.
Read the following letter from J. HOPE, Esq., Acting Collector of Kurnool, to H. E. STOKES, Esq., Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated 24th September 1875, No. 338:—

With reference to G. O., No. 1,289, dated 26th August 1875, I have the honor to forward copy of letter No. 89, dated 20th September 1875, from the Acting Chief Engineer, Madras Irrigation and Canal Company, refusing to remit the claim against the ryot of Bollavaram referred to in my letter No. 236, dated 9th July 1875. It will be observed that the Company base their claim on the ground that there is no provision in the rules as they originally stood, to legalise the charge for fractions of an acre in case of double crops. But as the ryot in question applied for and took water for only 36 acres on the strength of an order issued by me under paragraph 9 of G. O., No. 830, dated 3rd July 1874, it is out of the question that he should be called upon to pay the charge for the full acre to the Company. I therefore recommend that the difference between the charge for 36 acres and the full acre, viz., Rupees 7-14-10, be paid over to the Company by Government.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.

From A. DODSON, Esq., Manager and Acting Chief Engineer, Madras Irrigation and Canal Company, to J. HOPE, Esq., Acting Collector of Kurnool, dated 20th September 1875, No. 89:—

In reply to your letter, No. 159, of the 18th instant, I have the honor to state that I think the charge for a full acre of saffron should be maintained, for it is evident from paragraph 3 of the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, that they consider that, as the rules stand, my claim is a correct one, in fact not as stated in your letter, No. 236, of the 9th July "quite unjustifiable and a direct breach of faith."

2. If the proposed addition to the first note to Rule VI. is brought before me officially, I shall be happy to recommend its adoption to the Board of Directors; but in no case can it be retrospective in its action.

3. I return the G. O., No. 1,289, of 26th August 1875.

(True Copy.)

(Signed) J. HOPE,
Acting Collector.

SUBMITTED for the favorable consideration and orders of Government with reference to G. O., dated 26th August 1875, No. 1,289.
(True Copies and Extract.)

H. E. Stokes
Acting Secretary.

To the Acting Secretary to Government, Revenue Department.
Copy to the Acting Collector of Kurnool

Exd. W. H. French.

W. H. S. M. 1289
374 M. 830

Pen² 1875. 2/2

Board of Revenue,

MADRAS.

DRAS.
104226

PROCEEDINGS, 23RD OCTOBER 1875, No. 2,946.

Dr. J. R. 27 Oct 1875
Encl

Encl.

Received 28 Feb.

OCTOBER.

No. 2,946.

Irrigation and Canal Company.—Submitting to Government letter from Collector of Kurnool recommending that the difference between the charge for 36 acres cultivated by certain ryots of Bollavaram and that for full acre be paid over to — by Government.

Wittaker

Chs 1717. 30th November 1755

2. The other the
 Communitate to the prior of St
 Eusebio & Juan & Amador
 Letter of the same of the 22nd Aug 1755

114. Book. Record of Women at P. M.
 6. W. The second of March
 81. W. P. M. 1891