M.R.O. 1—20,002—12-8-65.

(1) Number of Pages 16 + 2 Statements

(2) Date of repair 56

(3) Initials of the Referencer

# MADRAS RECORD OFFICE

## GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

Revenue DEPARTMENT

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| G.O. No. / ~~B.P. No.~~ | 1690 | Press / ~~Mis.~~ |
| ~~Dated~~ | 25 - 11. 1875 | 196 . |

*Not to be folded or roughly handled*

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,*
*25th November* 1875.

No. 285. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 11th October 1875, No. 2,831 :—

Read the following letters :—

From R. W. BARLOW, Esq., Collector of the Chingleput District, to H. E. STOKES, Esq., Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Saidapet, 9th April 1875, No. 172.

ADVERTING to Board's Proceedings, No. 4,377, dated 13th October 1871, I have the honor to state that all the lands occupied by imperial lines of roads in the district were taken up long prior to Act XX. of 1852 coming into force, and, therefore, claims to compensation whether by Zemindars or by the occupants of the lands are clearly barred by limitation ; and, as far as I have been able to ascertain, no such claims are at present pending. At the date of the Board's Proceedings there were, however, a few old cases in which claim to compensation for lands taken up for local lines of communication (Roads and Canals) were not barred by the law of limitation, and I reported to the Board on some of these in my No. 520, of the 19th September last, which they disposed of in their Proceedings, No. 2,033, of the 12th ultimo.

2. I now enclose two other reports in a tabular form A and B containing my proposals for compensating the Muttahdars and Shrotriemdars in all the remaining cases but one, and request the Board will sanction the reduction of peishcush and refunds, &c., as the case may be in each.

3. The only other old claim which is left undisposed of is by the Tinnanore Jaghiredar ; but the fault lay with the late Jaghiredar who failed to produce certain accounts promised by him. As soon, however, as the question of a successor to the late Jaghiredar, now under consideration of the Board, is disposed of, I propose serving a special notice on him, and, in default of appearance or production of the accounts promised, to strike out the claim altogether from the list.

ENCLOSURES Nos. 1 and 2.—Statements.

From R. J. MELVILLE, Esq., Acting Collector of the Chingleput District, to H. E. STOKES, Esq., Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Saidapet, 8th July 1875, No. 389.

IN reply to Board's Official Memorandum, dated 2nd instant, No. 1,059, I have the honor to annex in the subjoined statement the information therein called for.

| Cases. | Name of the Muttah. | Present Peishcush. | Amount to be deducted. | Future Peishcush. |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | RS. A. P. | RS. A. P. | RS. A. P. |
| No. 1 | Vayalur ... ... ... ... ... ... | 643 10 1 | 67 15 6 | 575 10 7 |
| ,, 2 | Arambakam ... ... ... ... ... ... | 2,494 5 7 | 251 3 5 | 2,243 2 2 |
| ,, 3 | Cheyoor ... ... ... ... ... ... | 1,689 9 10 | 52 13 0 | 1,636 12 10 |
| ,, 4 | Shemavakam ... ... ... ... ... | 228 11 8 | 2 15 1 | 225 12 7 |
| ,, 5 | Velanganoor ... ... ... ... ... | 143 12 9 | 11 6 4 | 132 6 5 |
| | Total ... | 5,200 1 11 | 386 5 4 | 4,813 12 7 |

WITH the letter read above, the Collector submits two statements containing his proposals for compensating Muttahdars and Shrotriemdars for lands taken up for public purposes.

2. The compensation proposed is as follows :—

| Land taken up. | | | Compensation proposed. | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Names of te Estates. | Work for which Land was taken up. | Extent. Assessment. | Reduction of Peishcush or Quit-rent. | Compensation on account of past years. | Compensation on account of right in soil and on account of Trees, &c. | Total of Columns 6 and 7. |
| 1 | 2 | 3 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| | | Acres. Rs. A. P. | Rs. A. P. | Rs. A. P. | Rs. A. P. | Rs. A. P. |
| 1. Vayalur Zemindari ... | East Coast Canal ... ... | 20·49 67 15 6 | 67 15 6 Peishcush. | 815 10 0 | ... ... ... | 815 10 0 |
| 2. Arambakam ,, ... | Do. ... ... | 27·19 251 3 5 | 251 3 5 Peishcush. | 3,014 9 0 | 3,000 0 0 | 6,014 9 0 |
| 3. Cheyoor ,, ... | Cheyoor Salt Road... ... | 18·19 52 13 0 | 52 13 0 Peishcush. | 475 5 0 | ... ... ... | 475 5 0 |
| 4. Shemavakam ,, ... | Do. ... ... | 4·02 2 15 1 | 2 15 1 Peishcush. | 26 7 9 | ... ... ... | 26 7 9 |
| 5. Velanganoor ,, ... | Do. ... ... | 4·39 11 6 4 | 11 6 4 Peishcush. | 102 9 0 | ... ... ... | 102 9 0 |
| 6. Shrotriem Padavur Inam, Personal... ... | Kambakal Channel... ... | 23·11 21 15 1 | 21 15 1 Quit-rent. | 373 0 5 | ... ... ... | 373 0 5 |
| | Total ... | ..... | ..... | 4,807 9 2 | 3,000 0 0 | 7,807 9 2 |

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,*
*25th November* 1875.

3. The Board sanction the reduction of quit-rent in Case No. 6, and request that Government will be pleased to sanction the reduction of peishcush in Cases Nos. 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5. The present peishcush of the several estates and the reductions proposed are as follow :—

| Name of the Estate. | Present Peishcush. | Reduction proposed. | Future Peishcush. |
|---|---|---|---|
| | Rs. A. P. | Rs. A. P. | Rs. A. P. |
| Vayaloor ... ... ... ... ... ... ... ... | 643 10 1 | 67 15 6 | 575 10 7 |
| Poothupatnam ... ... ... ... ... ... ... | 2,494 5 7 | 251 3 5 | 2,243 2 2 |
| Cheyoor ... ... ... ... ... ... ... ... | 1,689 9 10 | 52 13 0 | 1,636 12 10 |
| Shemavakam ... ... ... ... ... ... ... | 220 11 8 | 2 15 1 | 225 12 7 |
| Velanganoor ... ... ... ... ... ... ... | 143 12 9 | 11 6 4 | 132 6 5 |
| Total ... | 5,200 1 11 | 386 5 4 | 4,813 12 7 |

4. The money compensation proposed is partly (Rupees 3,000) on account of the value of the lands and trees taken up and partly (Rupees 4,807-9-2) on account of the loss to the Zemindars and Shrotriemdars during past years, after the lands were taken up. The Collector recommends that Rupees 2,675* be paid from the canal collections, and that the remainder should be charged to Imperial refunds as has been done in the case disposed of in G. O., dated 25th May 1871, No. 925. The Board consider that the recommendation of the Collector to debit the compensation for past years to refunds is correct in principle, though this course is not always adopted, and it is equivalent to sanctioning the reduction of peishcush from the date on which the lands were taken up. The Board support the Collector's recommendation that the compensation for past years be charged to Imperial refunds. As regards the payment from canal collections, the Board observe that there are not sufficient assets in the Canal Funds to bear the charge, and, moreover, the lands were taken up before the introduction of Local Funds. The amount has, therefore, to be sanctioned from Provincial Funds.

* This is evidently an error for Rupees 3,000.

5. Should, however, Government consider that no part of the money compensation can be charged to Imperial Funds, the Board would recommend compensation as follows :—

| —— | From Irrigation Budget, Imperial. | From Provincial. | Total. |
|---|---|---|---|
| | Rs. A. P. | Rs. A. P. | |
| For East Coast Canal ... .. ... ... | ... ... ... | 6,830 3 0 | |
| For Roads ... ... ... ... ... ... | 373 0 5 | 604 5 9 | |
| For Kambakal Channel... ... ... ... | ... ... ... | ... ... ... | |
| Total ... | ... ... ... | ... ... ... | 7,807 9 2 |

6. The lands for roads were taken up prior to the establishment of the Road Funds under Act III. of 1866.

7. The Collector is requested to give the extent of land in acres and cents. instead of in cawnies in his statements in future.

(True Copies and Extract.)

(Signed) H. E. STOKES,
Acting Secretary.

No. 286. ORDER THEREON, 25th November 1875, No. 1,690.

Land Revenue, No. 2.

THE Government sanction the reduction of peishcush as proposed in paragraph 3, and also the payment of money compensation as recommended in paragraph 4 of the Board's Proceedings.

2. As the lands were taken up, and presumably the works were carried out, before the Local Funds as now constituted were created, the Government agree with the Board in thinking that the compensation is not properly debitable to those funds. The Board rightly regard the compensation for loss of profit in past years as an Imperial Refund, as, where a reduction of peishcush or quit-rent is allowed for the future, the compensation for past years is in effect tantamount to granting the reduction from the date on which the lands were acquired. The Government accordingly direct that the disbursement of Rs. 4,807-9-2 on this account be debited to Refunds.

3. Provision will be made in the Financial Department for the payment, from Provincial Funds, of Rs. 3,000, being the amount of compensation for right in the soil and trees, &c., on lands taken up for the East Coast Canal.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) D. F. CARMICHAEL,
*Secretary to Government.*

To the Board of Revenue.
,, the Accountant-General,
,, the Financial Department.

Exd. A. Grubert.

No. 2,831.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 11th October 1875.

Read the following letters :—

From R. W. BARLOW, Esq., Collector of the Chingleput District, to H. E. STOKES, Esq., Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Saidapet, 9th April 1875, No. 172.

ADVERTING to Board's Proceedings, No. 4,377, dated 13th October 1871, I have the honor to state that all the lands occupied by imperial lines of roads in the district were taken up long prior to Act XX. of 1852 coming into force, and, therefore, claims to compensation whether by Zemindars or by the occupants of the lands are clearly barred by limitation ; and, as far as I have been able to ascertain, no such claims are at present pending. At the date of the Board's Proceedings there were, however, a few old cases in which claim to compensation for lands taken up for local lines of communication (Roads and Canals) were not barred by the law of limitation, and I reported to the Board on some of these in my No. 520, of the 19th September last, which they disposed of in their Proceedings, No. 2,033, of the 12th ultimo.

2. I now enclose two other reports in a tabular form A and B containing my proposals for compensating the Muttahdars and Shrotriemdars in all the remaining cases but one, and request the Board will sanction the reduction of peishcush and refunds, &c., as the case may be in each.

3. The only other old claim which is left undisposed of is by the Tinnanore Jaghiredar ; but the fault lay with the late Jaghiredar who failed to produce certain accounts promised by him. As soon, however, as the question of a successor to the late Jaghiredar, now under consideration of the Board, is disposed of, I propose serving a special notice on him, and, in default of appearance or production of the accounts promised, to strike out the claim altogether from the list.

---

ENCLOSURES Nos. 1 and 2.—Statements.

From R. J. MELVILLE, Esq., Acting Collector of the Chingleput District, to H. E. STOKES, Esq., Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Saidapet, 8th July 1875, No. 389.

IN reply to Board's Official Memorandum, dated 2nd instant, No. 1,059, I have the honor to annex in the subjoined statement the information therein called for.

| Cases. | Name of the Muttah. | Present Peishcush. | Amount to be deducted. | Future Peishcush. |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | RS. A. P. | RS. A. P. | RS. A. P. |
| | | 643 10 1 | 67 15 6 | 575 10 7 |
| No. 1 | Vayalur ... ... ... ... ... ... | 2,494 5 7 | 251 3 5 | 2,243 2 2 |
| ,, 2 | Arambakam ... ... ... ... ... | 1,689 9 10 | 52 13 0 | 1,636 12 10 |
| ,, 3 | Cheyoor ... ... ... ... ... ... | 228 11 8 | 2 15 1 | 225 12 7 |
| ,, 4 | Shemavakam ... ... ... ... ... | 143 12 9 | 11 6 4 | 132 6 5 |
| ,, 5 | Velanganoor ... ... ... ... ... | | | |
| | Total ... | 5,200 1 11 | 386 5 4 | 4,813 12 7 |

---

WITH the letter read above, the Collector submits two statements containing his proposals for compensating Muttahdars and Shrotriemdars for lands taken up for public purposes.

2. The compensation proposed is as follows :—

| Names of the Estates. | Land taken up. | | | Compensation proposed. | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Work for which Land was taken up. | Extent. | Assessment. | Reduction of Peishcush or Quit-rent. | Compensation on account of past years. | Compensation on account of right in soil and on account of Trees, &c. | Total of Columns 6 and 7. |
| | | | | | | | |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| | | ACRES. | RS. A. P. | RS. A. P. | RS. A. P. | RS. A. P. | RS. A. P. |
| 1. Vayalur Zemindari... | East Coast Canal ... ... | 20·45 | 67 15 6 | 67 15 6 (Peishcush.) | 815 10 0 | ... ... ... | 815 10 0 |
| 2. Arambakam ,, ... | Do. ... ... | 27·15 | 251 3 5 | 251 3 5 (Peishcush.) | 3,014 9 0 | 3,000 0 0 | 6,014 9 0 |
| 3. Cheyoor ,, ... | Cheyoor Salt Road... ... | 18·15 | 52 13 0 | 52 13 0 (Peishcush.) | 475 5 0 | ... ... ... | 475 5 0 |
| 4. Shemavakam ,, ... | Do. ... ... | 4·02 | 2 15 1 | 2 15 1 (Peishcush.) | 26 7 9 | ... ... ... | 26 7 9 |
| ,, Velanganoor ,, ... | Do. ... ... | 4·39 | 11 6 4 | 11 6 4 (Peishcush.) | 102 9 0 | ... ... ... | 102 9 0 |
| 6. Shrotriem Padavur Inam, Personal... ... | Kambakal Channel... ... | 23·11 | 21 15 1 | 21 15 1 (Quit-rent.) | 373 0 5 | ... ... ... | 373 0 5 |
| | Total ... | | ..... | ..... | 4,807 9 2 | 3,000 0 0 | 7,807 9 2 |

3. The Board sanction the reduction of quit-rent in Case No. 6, and request that Government will be pleased to sanction the reduction of peishcush in Cases Nos. 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5. The present peishcush of the several estates and the reductions proposed are as follow :—

| Name of the Estate. | Present Peishcush. | Reduction proposed. | Future Peishcush. |
|---|---|---|---|
| | RS. A. P. | RS. A. P. | RS. A. P. |
| Vayaloor ... ... ... ... ... ... ... ... | 643 10 1 | 67 15 6 | 575 10 7 |
| Poothupatnam ... ... ... ... ... ... ... ... | 2,494 5 7 | 251 3 5 | 2,243 2 2 |
| Cheyoor ... ... ... ... ... ... ... ... | 1,689 9 10 | 52 13 0 | 1,636 12 10 |
| Shemavakam ... ... ... ... ... ... ... ... | 220 11 8 | 2 15 1 | 225 12 7 |
| Velanganoor ... ... ... ... ... ... ... ... | 143 12 9 | 11 6 4 | 132 6 5 |
| Total ... | 5,200 1 11 | 386 5 4 | 4,813 12 7 |

4. The money compensation proposed is partly (Rupees 3,000) on account of the value of the lands and trees taken up and partly (Rupees 4,807-9-2) on account of the loss to the Zemindars and Shrotriemdars during past years, after the lands were taken up. The Collector recommends that Rupees 2,675* be paid from the canal collections, and that the remainder should be charged to Imperial refunds as has been done in the case disposed of in G. O., dated 25th May 1871, No. 925. The Board consider that the recommendation of the Collector to debit the compensation for past years to refunds is correct in principle, though this course is not always adopted, and it is equivalent to sanctioning the reduction of peishcush from the date on which the lands were taken up. The Board support the Collector's recommendation that the compensation for past years be charged to Imperial refunds. As regards the payment from canal collections, the Board observe that there are not sufficient assets in the Canal Funds to bear the charge, and, moreover, the lands were taken up before the introduction of Local Funds. The amount has, therefore, to be sanctioned from Provincial Funds.

* This is evidently an error for Rupees 3,000.

5. Should, however, Government consider that no part of the money compensation can be charged to Imperial Funds, the Board would recommend compensation as follows :—

| —— | From Irrigation Budget, Imperial. | From Provincial. | |
|---|---|---|---|
| | RS. A. P. | RS. A. P. | |
| For East Coast Canal ... .. ... ... | ... ... ... | 6,830 3 0 | |
| For Roads ... ... ... ... ... ... | ... ... ... | 604 5 9 | |
| For Kambakal Channel... ... ... ... | 373 0 5 | ... ... ... | |
| Total ... | ... ... ... | ... ... ... | 7,807 9 2 |

6. The lands for roads were taken up prior to the establishment of the Road Funds under Act III. of 1866.

7. The Collector is requested to give the extent of land in acres and cents. instead of in cawnies in his statements in future.

(True Copies and Extract.)

H Stokes

Acting Secretary.

To the Acting Secretary to Government, Revenue Department,
with two original statements, to be returned.
,, the Collector of the Chingleput District.

Exd A. Grubert.

G O 25 May 1876 No 340-41
4 March 1876 32-3
27 April 1876 55-833 Mis

No. 4108

Copy—1875.

BOARD OF REVENUE,
MADRAS.

PROCEEDINGS, 13TH OCTOBER 1875, No. 2,831.

Despatch Cattle

Encl. 2
Case

Pch 1890 25 Novembr 1876

Received 21 Dec 1876

OCTOBER.
No. 2,831.

*Compensation*—for certain Shrotriem and Muttah lands in Chingleput District taken up for public purposes.—Sanctioning reduction of quit-rent proposed in the case of Padavur Inam, requesting sanction of Government for the reduction of peishcush of certain estates, and making certain suggestions in regard to source whence the proposed money compensation should be paid.

R-285

Revenue Department

Extract from the Proceedings of Government

dated 25th November 1875 no 1690.

The Govt sanction the reduction of peishcush as proposed in paragraph 3, and also the payment of money compensation as recommended in paragraph 4 of the Board's Proceedings.

2. As the lands were taken up, and presumably the works were carried out, before the Local Funds as now constituted were created, the Govt agree with the Board in thinking that the compensation is not properly debitable to those funds. The Board rightly regard the compensation for loss of profit in back years as an Imperial Refund, as, where a reduction of peishcush or quit-rent is allowed for the future, the compensation for back years is in effect tantamount to granting the reduction from the date on which the lands were acquired. The Govt accordingly direct that the disbursement of Rs 4,807-9-2 on this account be debited to Refunds.

3. Provision will be made in the

Financial Dept.t for the payment,
from Provincial Funds, of Rs 3,000,
being the amount of compensation
for rights in the soil and trees,
on lands taken up for the East
Coast Canal.

(True Extract)
(Signed) D.r Carmichael
Secretary to Govt

G. O. 25th Nov.r 1875
No 1690

Madurantakam Taluq

Cheyoor Salt road

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 3 | 1865 | Do | Cheyoor | Poonjah | 3 | 12 | 14 | 3 | 6 | 9 | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Nunjah | 9 | 7 | 4 | 45 | 7 | 1 | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Garden | " | 7 | " | 3 | 15 | 2 | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 13 | 11 | 2 | 52 | 13 | " | 15 | 13 | " | 475 | 5 | " | 675 5 " | Not under the acts |
| 4 | | Do | Chunambedu | Poonjah | 3 | " | 12 | 2 | 15 | 1 | [illegible] | 15 | 1 | 26 | 7 | 9 | 26 7 9 | Do |
| 5 | | Do | Velamoor | Poonjah | 1 | " | 12 | " | 6 | 8 | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Nunjah | 2 | 4 | 8 | 10 | 15 | 8 | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 3 | 5 | 4 | 11 | 6 | 4 | [illegible] | 6 | 4 | 102 | 9 | " | 102 9 " | Do |

up for past years which may be granted to him in the form of refund as suggested in Case No 1 and I request the sanction of the Board for the same. This Course was adopted in the Case of Seroovamoor Shrotriem (Vide G. O. 25th May 1871 No 925)

3000 I also request the sanction of the Board for paying the Moottadar who is also the Mirassidar of the village Rs 2675 (Vide Col: 10) being the market value of the lands taken up, & this I think may be met from the Canal Collections.

In Cases Nos 3, 4 & 5, the lands were taken up by the D P W in 1865 for the Salt road from Cheyoor to Chunambett and Sothupakam. The Mirassidars have not preferred any claim to Compensation in respect to the loss of their tenant's right; but the Moottadars have in respect to their loss of Mélvaram share. The Moottahs are unassessed estates and Consequently the rates of assessment in each of these cases have been fixed on the principle adopted in the preceding ones. The lands being generally of an ordinary quality unlike those in Arumbacum Moottah, the assessment is proportionately low; and I request that the amount entered in Col: 9, being the total assessment of the lands lost to the Moottadar, may be deducted from the Peishcush now payable to Government.

I also request the sanction of the Board for refunding to the several proprietors, the sums entered against each estate in Col: 15, in Consequence of loss of revenue for past years from fusly 1275 to 1283, both inclusive.

[signature]

Collector

9th April 1875

Homes Garden

Col: G with reference to the Commuted value of the Kemindars share from them and other lands of similar quality in the immediate neighborhood, during a period of 10 years preceding July 1872. These rates seem somewhat high at first sight, but are not really so, when we take into consideration the fact that the lands are capable of producing two crops in the year. The rate proposed for wet lands is equal to the highest wet rate for single crop land in the District, previous to 1862, when the Government ordered a reduction in the higher Nunjah rates while the Poonjah rate is below the highest Inamavary Nunjah rate of the District previous to 1866. We have never had so high a rate as Rs 35 per Cawny for garden land in a Government village, but Moottadars and Yakaboga Inamdars generally Collect a high rent, when garden produce such as Betel, plantain, Sugar Cane &c are raised. I therefore think the rates proposed may be adopted & the total rent value of the whole area taken up, Calculated on the above principle amounting to Rs 251-3-5, may permanently be deducted from the Peishcush of the Moottah.

The Moottadar is further entitled to a money Compensation of Rs 2,675 being the proportionate assessment of the lands taken

D P W found that they Can Bnot alter the line and it is
Moottadar made a move in the matter of Compensation

The land having been taken up under Act VI of 1857 a notice
ought to have been served on the proprietor and all parties interested
to appear within a given time, as required by section IV and to state
their claims and in default of an amicable settlement as to com-
pensation being come to, the Moottadar should have been required
to name his arbitrator, but none of these formalities seem to
have been observed and all that I find from the records, has been
done, is that Mr Cunliffe, the then Collector nominated one
Cuddalore Muthusamy Mudaly, in whom he seemed to have had
great confidence, to act as arbitrator and to propose reasonable
Compensation. After Considerable delay, this Muthusamy Mudaly
sent certain accounts of cultivation Vea and a report, from which I
gather that the lands in question both wet & dry are 1st class soil
containing a lot of brick wells, springs Vea, which furnished an
abundant supply of water sufficient to raise two crops in the year
and that the land assessed at Rs ... be of a assessment rendered in

The Moottadar ... entitled to a money Compensation
for loss of Melvaram from fusly 1272 to 1283 and I beg to recom-
mend that it may be granted by refunding to him the proportion-
-ate total assessment of the lands taken up by Government, which
amounts to Rs 8-15-4.

In Case No 2 the lands were taken up under the Act, ...
owing to the persistent opposition of the Moottadar to the line of the
Canal being carried through them, on the plea that they were the
best and the most fertile in the Moottah, containing a lot of well
and springs &c., which enabled the Moottadar to raise the most
remunerative description of dry garden, and wet crops and it appears
that even after the land had been declared by the usual notifica-
published in the Gazette, as being required for public purposes,
the Moottadar went on incessantly petitioning the Board and Gove
ment for some time in view to getting the line deverted, but

| | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 3 | 5 | 3014 | 9 | u | 6014 | 9 |

Under the act.

12/25 3.5

A

Statement of Lands taken up in Jaffy Mootahs for public purposes for which Compensation is proposed in the District of Chingleput

| Number | Taluq | Mahl for which the land was taken up | Date of Assumption | Name of Land | Number & name of village | Description of Land | Particulars of land: Six Fasli | Particulars of land: Assessment | Buildings, trees &c: Value of Com: Compensation proposed | Buildings, trees &c: Particulars | Buildings, trees &c: Value of Com: Compensation proposed | Total of Col: 10 & 12 | Amount of Subsistence to be made in the annual Peishcush | Compensation in arrear or Immediate payment | Total of Columns 13 & 15 | Whether the Land was taken up under the Award by punchayet agreement | Remarks. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 |
| 1 | Chingleput | East Coast Canal | 1862 | Government Property | Manavary Poonjah | | 15 8 0 | 67 15 6 | - - - | - - - | - - - | - - - | 67 15 6 | 815 10 0 | 815 10 0 | Not under the Act | |

In Case No 1, Compensation so far as right of occupancy is concerned, was already disbursed to the parties concerned and all that is now required is to recompense the Moottadar for the loss of his Melvaram or Land Lord's share, by making a permanent deduction in the Peishcush of the Moottah, proportionate to the rental value of the lands lost to him.

The total extent of land taken up, exclusive of Perumbok is Cawnies 15-8-0, of which Cawnies 4-3-4 are cultivable waste, while the remainder was actually under cultivation at the time it was taken up. The Moottah being an unassessed and unsurveyed estate the rental value of the cultivated lands was fixed with reference to the Commuted value of the Moottadar's Melvaram in grain during the 11 years preceding fasly 1272, the year in which the land was entered upon by the E.C.C. According to this mode of Calculation, the assessment of the lands under cultivation amounts to Rupees 67-4-11, 6 this I have added Rs 10-10-7 on account of wastes; thus the total rent value of lands taken up is Rs 67-15-6 as shown in Column 9 and I request that the sanction of Government may be obtained for deducting the above sum permanently from the annual Peishcush

In a letter from the
Collector of Chicago
dated 8 July 1875
No 389

Jul Rec No 2507/75

In a letter from the
Collector of Chicago
dated 9th April 1875
No 172

2

Rev 4108/75
Recd 25 Nov 75 L L L.

A

B

ment of Remuneration in land or otherwise proposed to be given to Inamdars in lieu of their Inams which have become unprofitable by some act of Government or from causes beyond Inamdars Control in the District of Chingleput.

| Nature of the Inam whether Personal or for Religious, Chari-table or Charitable Service. | Description. | Entire Inam Area | Assessment | Quit rent if any | Net Assessment | Portion lost to Inamdar Area | Assessment | Description | Area | Assessment | A.— Fresh grant of Lands Addition of Quit rent to the Land proposed to be given in lieu of that lost to the Inamdar | Net Assessment of fresh assignment | B.— Reduction of Quit rent proposed in lieu of fresh Lands, if any Quit rent is payable on the rest of the Inam. Reduction of Quit rent | Future Quit rent | Estimated price of Inam lost calculated from sales of similar Lands in the neighbourhood | Money Payment once for all. | Nature of Compensation proposed. C.— Money payment | Remarks. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | | 19. |
| Personal | dry | 145 86 | - - - | - - - | - - - | 21 64 | 17 5 8 | - | - - - | - - - | - - - | - - - | - - - | - - - | - - - | - - - | | Land was taken up in fusly 1267 for the Kambakal Channel. The Mirasidars have not preferred any claim for Compensation, though a Statement showing the extent taken up, was prepared and submitted to the Huzoor by the Tahsildar, is on record, but the Shrotriendar has preferred his claim for Compensation and I propose that a reduction should be made in his present Quit-rent proportionate to the rental value of the Lands taken up amounting to Rs. 21-15-1. The Shrotriendar is further entitled to a refund of Rs. 372-15-5 in consequence of loss of revenue from fusly 1267 to fusly 1283 and I request sanction for the same. |
| | Wet | 212 19 | - - - | - - - | - - - | 1 47 | 4 9 8 | - | - - - | - - - | - - - | - - - | - - - | - - - | - - - | - - - | | |
| Total | | 358 5 | 741 13 7 | 300 | 441 13 7 | 23 11 | 21 15 1 | - | - - - | - - - | - - - | - - - | 21 15 1 | 278 . 11 | - - - | - - - | | |

17 x Rs 21-15-1 = Rs 372-15-5

Compd Wly

W W Barton
Collector.

Chingleput Collectors Office.
9th April 1875.

In a letter from the
Collector of Chingleput
dated 8. July 1875 No 385

In GM No 2501
In a letter from
the Collector of
Chingleput dated
9th April 1875
No 172

1
Rec 4108/75
Issued 25 Nov/75 Ln 1258

Revenue Dept.

No. 1690

Proceedings of the Madras Government

Under date the 25th Novr 1875

Read the following

Proceedings of the Board of

Revenue.

Requesting sanction for reduction of peishcush of certain estates & grant of compensation for certain Shrotriem & Inamdar lands in Chingleput Dt. taken up for public purposes &c.

G.E. 11 Oct 1875 No 2831.

The Govt sanction the reduction of Peishcush as proposed in para 3 and also the payment of money compensation as recommended in para 4 of the Board's

Proceedings

Proceedings.

2. As the Lands were taken up, & presumably the works were carried out, before the Local Funds as now constituted were created, the Govt. agree with the Board in thinking, that the compensation is not properly debitable to those funds. The Board rightly regard the compensation for loss of profit in past years as an Imperial Refund, as where a reduction of Peishcush or quit-rent is allowed for the future, the compensation for past years is in effect tantamount to granting the reduction from the date on which the Lands were acquired - The Govt. accordingly direct, that the disbursement of Rs 4,807-9-2 on this account be debited to Refunds.

3. Provision will be made in the Financial Dspte for the payment, from Provincial Funds, of Rs 3,000, being the amount of compensation for right in the soil & trees &c on Lands taken up for the East Coast Canal.

14 To the Board of Revenue
15 The Accountant General
16 The Financial Secy

504

G.O

Compensation for certain lands taken up for public purposes in Choctaw Nation

Sept. 1st 1854