M.R.O. 1—20,002—12-8-65.

(1) Number of Pages 6

(2) Date of repair 4.3.68

(3) Initials of the Referencer 7/3/68

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

Revenue DEPARTMENT

G.O. No. 1658	Press
B.P. No.	Mis.
Dated 18-11-1875	196 .

Not to be folded or roughly handled

MNG

1658/1

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
18th November 1875.

No. 222. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 14th October 1875, No. 2,870 :—

Read the following letter from Colonel F. J. B. PRIESTLEY, Superintendent of Revenue Survey, to H. E. STOKES, Esq., Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Chepauk, 5th October 1875, No. 2,899-128.

In paragraph 5 of his letter, dated 15th July 1875, No. 1,441-40, Mr. R. Puckle says that the redemarcation of the villages in the Trichinopoly District would cost Rupees 150 per square mile and would necessitate resurvey, &c.

Proceedings of Board of Revenue, No. 2,419, of 26th August 1875.

2. From paragraphs 18 and 19 of the memorandum which accompanied the letter, the Board will observe that Captain Cloete's estimate of Rupees 150 per square mile is for resurvey as well as redemarcation and includes cost also of the demarcation and survey of lands taken up for cultivation since the original survey.

3. As regards paragraph 3 of the Board's Proceedings above quoted, I have the honor to append extract from paragraph 3 of a letter from the Deputy Superintendent, No. 1 Revenue Survey, representing that the errors in the old survey were primarily due to the entire absence of demarcation marks.

Dated 30th September 1875, No. 1,194-516.

Extract from paragraph 3 of a letter from the Deputy Superintendent, No. 1 Revenue Survey, dated 30th September 1875, *No.* 1,194-516.

3. The Board state (paragraph 3) that the errors in the old survey owing to the former system of plotting are, in my opinion, such that the restoration of the demarcation could only be done by trained surveyors, and would, in fact, necessitate a resurvey of the district, but the errors in the old survey were *primarily* due to the entire absence of demarcation marks, which necessitated the adoption of means of closing our maps which led to a good many of the errors referred to.

1/2

Submitted to Government in continuation of Board's Proceedings, dated 26th August 1875, No. 2,419.

(A true Copy and Extract.)
(Signed) H. E. STOKES,
Acting Secretary.

No. 223. Order thereon, 18th November 1875, No. 1,658.

Miscellaneous.

Recorded.

(True Extract.)
(Signed) L. A. CAMPBELL,
Under-Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.

Exd. A. Grubert.

Govt. of [illegible] Madras.

REVENUE.

To

THE BOARD OF REVENUE.

Dated November, 1875.

Read.

Ans.

G. G., [illegible] November 1875, No. [illegible].

NOVEMBER

Nos. 222-223.

Reassessment of Villages in Trichinopoly.—Recording Proceedings of the Board of Revenue intimating that Captain Cloete's estimate for—at Rs. 150 per square mile includes also cost of demarcation and survey of lands taken up for cultivation since the original survey.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

~~MISCELLANEOUS No.~~

Board Revenue

PROCEEDINGS OF THE ~~COURT~~ OF ~~WARDS~~.

Dated 14 October 1875.

Read the following

No. 2899/128

From The Superintendent Revenue Survey

To The Secretary to the Board of Revenue

Sir,

Rec. Board of Rev. No. 2419 of 26 August 1875

In para 5 of his letter dated 15 July 1875 No 1421/40, Mr. R. Ruckle says that demarcation of the villages in the Trichinopoly District would cost Rs 150 per square mile and would be necessitate resurvey &c.

2. From paras 18 & 19 of the Memo which accompanied the letter, the Board will observe that Captain Cloete's estimate of Rs 150 per square mile is for resurvey as well as demarcation and includes cost also of the demarcation and survey of lands taken up for cultivation since the original survey.

3. As regards Para 3 of the Board's Proceedings above quoted, I have the honor to append extract from Para 3 of a letter from the Deputy Superintendent, No 1 Revenue Survey representing that the error

Dated 30 Sept. 1875 No 1194/516.

errors in the old survey were primarily due to the entire absence of demarcation mark.

Revenue Survey office
Cheepauk
5th October 1875

I have
Sd/ F. Priestley Col
Supdt Rev: Survey.

Extract from Para 3 of a letter from the Deputy Superintendent No 1 Revenue Survey dated 30th September 1875, No 1194/578

× × ×

3. The Board state (Para 3) that the errors in the old survey were primarily due to the entire absence of demarcation marks which necessitated the adoption of means of closing our maps which led to a good many of the errors referred to.

Signed / V.P.

Submitted to Government for consideration of Board's Proceedings 26th August 1875, No 2419.

True Copy & Extract

J. Elwin
Ag Secretary

To The Secretary to Government
Revenue Department

Revenue 1875 Department Board

PROCEEDINGS OF THE ~~COURT~~ Board
OF ~~WARDS~~ Revenue

No. 4086

Dated 14th September
Recd. 26th } October 1875.

No. 1658 18 November 1875

With the Wards

Submitting letter from the Supdt. Rev. Survey stating that Capt. Clarke's estimate for re-demarcation of villages in Trichinopoly at Rs 150 per sq. Mile includes also cost of demarcation & survey of lands taken up for cultivation since the original survey

No. 1658

Recorded

15th Nov. 1875.

14 Folio D.O. Deputy Pro. 190 [illegible]

C. 7 Nov/75 No. 12 Nov/75