1656

M.R.O. 1—20,002—12-8-65.

(1) Number of Pages 32

(2) Date of repair 2.3.68

(3) Initials of the Referencer 26.4.68

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

Revenue DEPARTMENT

G.O. No. 1656	Press
B.P. No.	Mis.

Dated 17-11-1875 196 .

H103

Not to be folded or roughly handled

1650/1

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
17th November 1875.

Read the following:—

No. 218. Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 24th September 1875, Miscellaneous No. 8,708 (through the Accountant-General).

Read the following letters:—

From the Superintendent of Revenue Survey, to H. E. STOKES, Esq., Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Kadaikanal, 26th July 1875, No. 2,183/87.

I HAVE the honor to enclose a Memorandum (original to be returned) sent to the Deputy Accountant-General in explanation of the objection and his endorsement declining to remove it.

REFERENCE.—Your Official Memorandum, No. 1,126, of the 13th instant, calling for explanation on Objection Statement from the Deputy Accountant-General, dated 26th June 1875.

2. It appears to me that Government cannot have intended Colonel Hessey to send his tents and horses from Madras to Madura, nearly 300 miles by road, and thus deprive himself of their use for a month.

3. If the Board take my view of the matter, I respectfully request that they will obtain the sanction of Government to the charge being passed.

From the same officer, dated Chepauk, 11th August 1875, No. 2,309/97.

IN returning the Objection Statement from the Deputy Accountant-General of the 12th ultimo, No. 1,256, received with your Official Memorandum of the 5th ultimo,

No. 2,183/87 of 26th July 1875.

I have the honor, in continuation of my letter as per margin, to submit that it was not on the occasion of "joining" an appointment, but that of "transfer" from one district to another on duty, that Captain Francklyn was allowed railway fare for one horse and for baggage in accordance with G. O. of 15th June 1868, No. 1,677.

2. From the enclosed Result Statement (return requested) the Board of Revenue will observe that at the beginning of the official year 1875-76 Rs. 36-13-2, railway fare on account of camp equipage carried by Major Clay, Assistant Superintendent, Revenue Survey, when he was transferred to Ganjam on duty, was at first retrenched and, on explanation, admitted by the Deputy Accountant-General.

3. I enclose a copy of G. O., No. 471, of 23rd March 1875, placing the services of Captain Francklyn at the disposal of the Military Department.

SUBMITTED for the orders of Government through the Accountant-General.

2. The question is whether, under G. O., dated 15th June 1868, No. 1,677, Survey Officers transferred on duty from one district to another are entitled to draw railway fare for horses and camp equipage. The Deputy Accountant-General considers that they are not entitled to the amount claimed on the ground that, under the Resolution embodied in G. O., 21st September 1867, officers of the Police are declared not entitled to their *bonâ fide* travelling expenses on transfer from one district to another, and that the same rule applies to Survey Officers to whom the provisions of

the Resolution were declared by G. O., 15th June 1868, applicable [illegible] the same conditions as in the case of Police Officers.

3. The officers, whose railway fare for horses and camp equipage has been disallowed, are Captain Francklyn and Colonel Hessey. The former officer took his horses and luggage from Adoni to Ghooty and from Rajampet to Caroor owing to his transfer on duty from Bellary to Madura under the orders of the Superintendent of the Revenue Survey. Colonel Hessey joined from furlough at Madras as Acting Superintendent, Revenue Survey, and, on his being relieved, he took charge of the party at Cuddapah during the absence of Mr. F. C. Puckle, and again, when he was relieved there, he joined the Central Office for orders, and was directed to join the party at Madura to which he stood posted. It was on the occasion of his journey from Madras to Madura that he took his horses and camp equipage by rail. The amounts objected to are as shown in the margin.

	Rs.	A.	P.
Captain Franklyn	59	8	0
Colonel Hessey	72	13	0

4. The Resolution of the Government of India quoted by the Deputy Accountant-General is plain, and, if it was intended to apply to officers of the Survey Department, the objection raised by the Deputy Accountant-General is valid. The Board observe, however, that claims similar to the present have hitherto been allowed.

(True Copies and Extract.)

(Signed) C. A. GALTON,
Acting Sub-Secretary.

No. 386.

Submitted for orders, with reference to G. O., No. 1,526, dated 21st September 1867, Judicial Department, and No. 1,677, dated 15th June 1868, Revenue Department.

Fort St. George,
27*th September* 1875.

(Signed) E. RULE,
In charge of the Office of
Accountant-General.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department.

No. 219. Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 15th October 1875, No. 2,880.

Read the following letter from Colonel F. J. B. PRIESTLEY, Superintendent of Revenue Survey, to H. E. STOKES, Esq., Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Chepauk, 27th September 1875, No. 2,804-119.

Dated 22nd September 1875, No. 812-215.

In continuation of my letter of the 11th August 1875, No. 2,309-97, I have the honor to submit copy of a communication received from the officer in charge of No. 4, Revenue Survey, Madura District, pointing out that G. O., No. 1,526, of 21st September 1867, Judicial Department, under which railway fare for a horse and luggage of Captain Francklyn, Assistant Superintendent, Revenue Survey, was retrenched, does not refer to this department.

Enclosure No. 1.

Copy of a letter from the Officer in charge of No. 4, *Revenue Survey Party, to the Superintendent of Revenue Survey, dated Madura,* 22*nd September* 1875, *No.* 812-215.

I have the honor to forward copy of a retrenchment, by the Accountant-General, of money drawn for conveyance by rail of a horse and luggage belonging to Captain Francklyn on his transfer from No. 2 Party, Bellary, to No. 4 Party, Madura.

2. The Government Order referred to was not in my office, but I asked for, and have just obtained, a copy from the Central Office, Madras.

3. I beg to point out that the Government Order in question does not refer to this department but to the Police. The rules under which we draw the fare for horses and luggage are those of the Survey Department in Bengal made applicable to Madras by G. O., No. 1,677, of 15th June 1868, that is, subsequent to the Government Order quoted by the Accountant-General.

4. In that Government Order the Government observe that, when moving from one district to another, Police Officers are not required to take tents and horses with them by rail; of this I know nothing, but I do know that an officer of the Survey would be quite inefficient without them, and that it is absolutely necessary he should bring them with him. Further, that when moving by road 8 Annas per mile is allowed, which, when marching fairly, covers the cost of carts for conveyance of camp equipage; of course, *then* the horses are ridden or led. By rail, on the other hand, only 3 Annas is allowed with fare for horses and luggage when really necessary, as in the case of the survey it certainly is.

5. I have the honor to request that you will address the Accountant-General, and, if necessary, Government, on the subject, and get the charge under retrenchment sanctioned.

Enclosure No. 2.—Extract from Deputy Accountant-General's Result Statement.

Submitted for the orders of Government in continuation of Board's Proceedings, dated 24th September 1875, Miscellaneous No. 8,708.

2. Although G. O., dated 21st September 1867, No. 1,526, Judicial Department, quoted in support of the retrenchment, refers to the Police Department, the extension of the provisions of the Resolution of the Government of India, dated 22nd February 1866, No. 704, to the Revenue Survey Department in this Presidency was applied for and sanctioned in G. O., dated 15th June 1868, No. 1,677, subject to the same conditions as those imposed in the case of the Police Department; and, though Colonel Priestley may not have been aware of the Resolution of the 23rd August 1867, No. 2,128, refusing the grant of *bonâ fide* travelling expenses to Police Officers on transfer from one appointment to another, and probably merely referred to the certificate required in support of the charge, the rule was, nevertheless, in force, and must be held to limit the concession granted in G. O., dated 15th June 1868, until the Government rule that such was not their intention.

(True Copies and Extract.)

(Signed) C. A. GALTON,
Acting Sub-Secretary.

No. 220. Order thereon, 17th November 1875, No. 1,656.

Miscellaneous.

His Excellency the Governor in Council is of opinion that, when there is no question of promotion or change of appointment, but merely a transfer from one field of duty to another in the public

interests, the rule for travelling allowances *beyond* the original district should, for officers of the Survey, Settlement, and Police, be precisely the same as for travelling *within* it.

2. These Proceedings will be submitted for confirmation by the Government of India.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) L. A. CAMPBELL,
Under-Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.
,, the Accountant-General.

Ed. F. R. Bantleman.

Govt. of Madras
1875
REVENUE.
To
THE BOARD OF REVENUE.
Dated November 1875
Read.
G.O. 17th November 1875, No. 1,638.
NOVEMBER.
Nos. 218—220.
Travelling Allowances of Captain Knowlys and Colonel Hervey of the Survey Department transferred on duty.—Deciding with reference to the Accountant-General's retrenchment of the — that when there is no question of promotion or change of appointment, but merely a transfer in the public interests, the Rule for travelling allowances beyond the original district should, for officers of the Survey, Settlement, and Police, be the same as for travelling within, and resolving to submit the Proceedings for the confirmation of the Government of India.

1850/4

Revenue Department

Miscellaneous No: 8708

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue

Dated 24th September 1875

Read the following:

No: 2183/87

From The Superintendent
Revenue Survey

To The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue

I have the honor to enclose a Memo* sent to the Deputy Accountant General in explanation of the objection taken to the Reference from Official Memorandum No: 1126 of the 13th Instant calling for explanation on Objection Statement from the Deputy Accountant General, dated 26th June 1875.

*Original to be returned

and his endorsement declining to remove it.

2. It appears to me that Government cannot have intended Col: Hervey to send his tents and

hinses from Madras to Madura
nearly 300 miles by road and
thus deprive himself of their use
for a month.

3. If the Board take my view of
the matter, I respectfully request
that they will obtain the sanction
of Government to the charge being
passed

Rev. Survey Office
Camp Kadaikanal
26th July 1895

I have &c
(sd) C.C. Sargeant Capt
Asstt. Superintendent
for Supt. Rev. Survey

No: 2309/97.

From The Superintendent
Revenue Survey
To The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue.

Sir

In returning the objection
Statement from the Deputy Accountant
General of the 12th ultimo received with
your No: 1256.

No: 2183/87 of 26th July 1895

1895/5

your Official Memorandum of the
5th ultimo, I have the honor to confir-
mation of my letter as per margin
to submit that it was not on the
occasion of "joining" an appointment
but that of "transfer" from one District
to another on duty, that Captain
Franklyn was allowed Railway
fare for one horse and for baggage
in accordance with Government
Order of 15th June 1868 No: 1677.

2. From the enclosed Result
Statements (return requested), the
Board of Revenue will observe that
at the beginning of the official
year 1895-96 Rupees 36-13-2, Railway
fare on account of Camp Equipage
carried by Major Clay, Assistant

-tant Superintendent Revenue Survey,
when he was transferred to Ganjam
on duty, was at first retrenched
and on Explanation admitted
by the Deputy Accountant General.

3. I enclose a copy of Govern-
ment Order No: 471 of 23rd March
1875 placing the services of Captain
Franklyn at the disposal of the
Military Department.

Rev: Survey Office
Chepauk
11th August 1875

I have &c
(sd) F. Prestley. Col.
Supt. Rev: Survey

Submitted for the orders of Government
through the Accountant General

2. The question is whether
under Government Order, dated 15th
June

1656/6

June 1868 No. 1677. Survey Officers
transferred on duty from one District
to another, are entitled to draw
Railway fare for horses and Camp
equipage. The Deputy Accountant
General decides that they are not
entitled to the amount claimed on
the ground that under the Reso-
lution embodied in Government
Order 21st September 1867. Officers of
the Police are declared not entitled
to their bona fide travelling ex-
penses on transfer from one
District to another and that the
same rule applies to Survey
Officers to whom the provisions of
the Resolution were declared by
Government

Government Order 15th June 1868, applicable under the same conditions as in the case of Police officers.

3. The Officers whose Railway fare for horses and Camp Equipage has been disallowed are Captain Franklyn and Col: Hessey. The former officer took his horses and luggage from Adoni to Cooty and from Rajampet to Cavoor owing to his transfer on duty from Bellary to Madura under the orders of the Superintendent of the Revenue Survey. Col: Hessey joined from furlough at Madras as acting Superintendent Revenue Survey, and on his being relieved he took charge of

1656/7

of the party at Cuddapah during the absence of Mr: F.C. Pickle, and again when he was relieved there, he joined the Central office for orders and was directed to join the party at Madura to which he stood posted. It was on the occasion of his journey from Madras to Madura that he took his horses and Camp equipage by Rail. The amounts objected to are as shewn in the margin.

Capt: Franklyn ...	Rs. 59	A. 8
Col: Hessey ...	72	13

4. The Resolution of the Government of India quoted by the Deputy Accountant General is plain and if it was intended to apply to the officers of the Survey

Department, the objection raised by the Deputy Accountant General is valid. The Board observe however that claims similar to the present have hitherto been allowed.

E.R

Accountant General's Office
Recd 27 }
Ansd 9 } 1875

I have the honor to be Sir Your most obedient Servant

Ag. Sub. Secretary

The Secretary to Government Revenue Department through the Accountant General, with 3 original enclosures to be returned.

The Hon'ble Mr. Maghoff

1656/5

Copy of a letter from the Assistant in
Charge of P.W. Pakuma Survey Party to
the Superintendent Pakuma Survey
dated Kaduna 22nd September 1875 No 812/215

I have the honor to forward
Copy of a retrenchment by the Accountant
General of money drawn for Conveyance
by rail of a horse and luggage belonging
to Capt.n Shanklyne on his transfer
from P.W. Party Bekuru to P.W. Party
Kaduna.

2. The Government Order referred
to was not in my office but I asked
for and have just obtained a copy
from the Central office Kaduna.

3 I beg to point out that the Govern-
ment Order in question does not refer
to this Department but to the Police.
The rules under which we draw the
fare for horses and luggage are
those

Rec.d 1875
No 3755.

No 3886

Retrenchment for orders noted referred
to Government Order No 1526 dated 21st Sept.r
1867 Judicial Department and No 1577 dated
15th June 1868 Revenue Department.

For Accountant General
Accountant General's Office
27th September 1875

E. Nor Rule
In charge the Office
For Accountant General

The Secretary to Government
Revenue Department

Comptroller

Proceedings of the
Board pleasure
thereon
Dated 24th September 1875
Letter No 3886 dated 27th September 1875
Order No 3755 —

Board of Revenue
25th September 1875 —

Retrenchment, recommended
relative to the Railway
fare for horse and baggage
of Capt.n Shanklyne from
Bekuru to Kaduna
on duty.

Rec.d 28 Oct. 1875
No 2/8 12468

those of the Survey Department in Bengal made applicable to Madras by Government Order No 1677 of 15th June 1868 — that is subsequent to the Government Order quoted by the Accountant General.

4. In that Government Order, the Government observe that when moving from one District to another, Police officers are not required to take tents and horses with them by rail; of this I know nothing but I do know that an officer of the Survey would be quite inefficient without them and that if it is absolutely necessary he should bring them with him. Further that when moving by road 8 annas per mile is allowed which when marching fairly covers the cost of Carts for conveyance of Camp Equipage; of course then the

the horses are ridden or led. By rail on the other hand only 3 annas is allowed with fare for horses and luggage when really necessary — as in the case of the Survey it certainly is.

1656/10

5. I have the honor to request that you will address the Accountant General and if necessary Government on the subject and get the charge under retrenchment sanctioned

/Signed/ F. Price

/True copy/

A. J. Colton
Ag Sub Secretary.

Enclosure in Secretary
to the Board of Customs &
Inland Revenue &
Secretary to Govt. C.D.
dated 15th October 1875.

Rec.d 4034/75

Copy of letter from the
Supt. of Police, Rangoon
to the Collector of Customs
dated 8th Sept. 1875 No. 1214/255

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

No. 3759 25/11

From Board of Revenue

Dated 24th Sept. 1875.

FOR ORDERS.

I think the practice is wrong. Action accordg. to the instructions of the Govt.

This is a question of promotion. or change of appt. It is surely the change from one field of duty to another & I think the rule

The account Dept. objection seems sound & upon p. 21 Govt. Ry No 1526. It would appear that Police officers are on the same footing. Sal

1856/12

Sir Lumsden & suppose that the G.G. in Council for instance that by Despatch dd. Lucy in consultation if & Genl Staff take charge of part in Lucy for part in Lucy & in Kandahar & Quetta frontier & Quetta frontier & meet the march his losses & at his limits by road & Quetta frontier & Quetta frontier & then possibly Lucy joined the force for Kpt in the frontier Quetta & the

1856/12

I think the ruling of the Advocate correct but the effect is very anomalous. It is clear that these appears were proceeding on duty & the cause their efficiency - they must take their horses with them - I would do as indicated by Mr. H. Secretary of State for India if necessary. P.W.

for Lucy altered by the original Sr. Staff for Br. Lucy. Lieut. & Staff Officers. &c specially the Lucy as for Lucy in this it. I should take the Act for the - & Lucy copy of the Regs. G.G.I. for confirmation in case it shd be considered & Lucy contrary to any existing Rule. This is principle in the contrary practice

Approved list of the D.C.
& Superintendent Operations
thereto. Take this according
& write.

FOR ORDERS

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

~~MISCELLANEOUS No.~~ 1656/13

Board Revenue

PROCEEDINGS OF THE COURT OF WARDS.

Dated 15 October 1875.

Read the following

No. 2804/119

From The Superintendent
Revenue Survey

To The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue

SIR,

No 2309/97

I have the honor to submit a copy
of a communication received from the Officer in
charge of No 4 Revenue Survey, Madura District,
pointing out that by G.O. No 1526 of 21st Septem-
-ber 1867, Judicial Department, under which
Railway fare for a Horse & Luggage of
Capt. Francklyne Assistant Superin-
-tendent Revenue Survey, was sanctioned, does
not refer to this Department.

Dated 22nd Septr 1875, No 812/215.

I have &c
Revenue Survey
Office Chepauk
27 September 1875

Signed H Priestley Col.
Supdt. Revenue Survey

Submitted

Submitted for the orders of Govern-
-ment in continuation of Board's Proceedings dated
dated 24th September 1875, Miscellaneous No 8708.

2. Although Government Order, 21st
September 1867, No 1526, Judicial Department
quoted in support of the retrenchment refers
to the Police Department, the extension of the
provisions of the Resolution of the Government
of India dated 22nd February 1866, No 704,
to the Revenue Survey Department in this
Presidency was applied for and sanctioned
in Government Order, 15th June 1868, No 1677
subject to the same conditions as those
imposed in the case of the Police Depart-
-ment and though Colonel Priestley may
not have been aware of the Resolution
of the 23rd August 1867, No 2128 refusing
the grant of "bonâ fide" travelling expenses
to Police Officers on transfer from
one

1653/14

one appointment to another and probably merely
referred to the certificate required in support
of the charge the rule was nevertheless in
force and must be held to limit the concession
granted in Government Order, 15th June 1868,
until the Government rule that needs
was not their intention.

/True Copy & Extract/

Chas Gelton
Acting Sub. Secretary

To The Secretary to Government
Revenue Department
By direction of the Accountant
General's Result Statement
and copy of a letter from the
Officer in charge of No 4 Rev:
Survey Party to the Supt of
Revenue Survey.

Revenue Dept

1687/2
15

No. 1656

Proceedings of the Madras Government
Under date the 17th Nov: 1875
Read the following
Proceedings of the Board of
Revenue

Letting remarks relative
to the Railway fare for horse and
keeper of Capt: Hamilton & Co:
Horses transferred on duty.

} H. L. 24: Sept/75
Mis: No. 8708

Letting for orders Letter
from Secy. Revenue Board regarding allowance
of Railway fare for a horse and
keeper of Capt: Hamilton —

} " 15: Oct/75

His Excellency
the Governor in Council
is of opinion that,
when there is no
question

Revenue Dept
No. 1163 Brand
PROCEEDINGS OF THE ~~COURT~~
OF ~~WARDS~~ Revenue
No. 4034

Dated 15 Oct 1875
Recd. 18 " "

Submitting for orders a
Petition from Capt: Hamilton
Asst. to the Commr. Salem for
Fare for a horse & keeper
of Capt: Hamilton from
Coimbatore to Salem
Rs. 2 1 0
No Vouchers.

Collector 15th Oct: 1875 —

Copy of Petition
Rs. 2 1 0
Vouchers

C.O. 21 Sept/75 No 228 "
15 Oct/75 " 356 "

question of promotion
or change of appointment
but merely a transfer
from one field of duty to
another in the public interest, the rule for
travelling allowances
beyond the official district
should, for Officers of the
Survey, Settlement, and
Police, be precisely the
same as for travelling
within it

2. These proceedings
will be submitted for
confirmation by the Govt.
of India

14 To the Board of Revenue
& the Accountant General

5/14

Endd.

G.O.

Relative to Railway fare for horse &c of Capt.n Trowell Asst. of Col.l Hefsey of the Survey Dep.t

Hon.ble Board

Sir

Sir

C.J Jun.y 75 N.o 12 Jun.y 75