M.R.O. 1—20,002—12-8-65.

(1) Number of Pages 54.

(2) Date of repair 7-3-68.

(3) Initials of the Referencer [illegible] 26-3-68

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

Revenue. DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.	1639.	Press
B.P. No.		Mis.
Dated	13-11-1875 ~~196~~ .	

Not to be folded or roughly handled

1/1639

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
13th November 1875.

Read the following papers :—

No. 181. From R. W. LODWICK, Esq., Accountant-General, Madras, to the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, Ootacamund, dated Fort St. George, 5th July 1875, No. 227.

I HAVE the honor to request that if Government, in passing G. O., No. 824, dated 27th May 1875, intended the retention of a Special Deputy Collector in Kurnool beyond the 31st December 1875, up to which date alone his retention is sanctioned in the letter from the Secretary to the Government of India, No. 285, dated 21st April 1875, communicated in G. O., No. 842, of 28th May 1875, the Proceedings referred to may be submitted for the confirmation of the Government of India.

No. 182. Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 9th July 1875, No. 1,910.

Read the following letter from J. HOPE, Esq., Acting Collector of Kurnool, to H. E. STOKES, Esq., Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated 28th June 1875, No. 220.

I HAVE the honor to solicit early instructions on the following points connected with the correspondence ending with Board's Proceedings, Miscellaneous No. 5,100, dated 8th June 1875.

2. Is the Special Deputy Collector now attached to this district to be regarded as a Deputy Collector on general duties and entrusted with the charge of a division, and is he to be considered as permanently attached to this district? This point has to be settled before I can reply to Board's Proceedings, No. 786, dated 1st April 1875, regarding the distribution of divisions. In paragraph 4 of the letter, No. 285, dated 21st April 1875, from the Government of India, it is stated that, in Mr. Pelly's revision, two General Deputy Collectors are provided for this district. The same appears from the list appended to paragraph 3 of G. O., No. 1,572, dated 16th December 1874, Revenue Department, though it would seem from the remarks noted in the margin made in the latter part of the same paragraph that one of the General Deputy Collectors is to be attached only in consideration of the additional duties imposed by the irrigation canal.

"The additional work entailed by the operation of the Irrigation and Canal Company in Kurnool, which was not foreseen when the original allotment was decided upon, render two officers necessary in that district."

3. Should one of the two Deputies be withdrawn, the redistribution of work between two divisional officers and myself of eight taluqs (two of which are separated by a broad range of mountains from the rest of the district and *must* form one division) will be a task of the greatest difficulty.

4. In connection with this, the question arises what is to become of the establishment hitherto attached to the Deputy Collector at Nandial, *as a Deputy Collector on general duties*, should that officer be withdrawn. Is it to be transferred to the office of the new Deputy Collector on general duties posted to the Bellary District, or retained here under the Special Deputy Collector. If it is to be retained here, is

it to be regarded as *permanent* or classed merely as a *temporary* establishment, service in which does not qualify for pension under Section 17 of the Civil Pension Code. I am of opinion that it would be very hard to transfer to the head of "Temporary" an establishment of officials some of long service, simply because the office in which they have been last serving is transferred to another district.

SUBMITTED for the orders of Government.

2. In G. O., dated 16th December 1874, No. 1,572, Government observed that Bellary had hitherto been deprived of a second general duty Deputy Collector, and that to supply this officer, one would be transferred from Kurnool as a permanency, and the sanction of the Government of India applied for, for an additional Deputy to be retained for the present in Kurnool when his services are required, owing to the extra work entailed by the operations of the Irrigation Company.

3. In the same orders Government directed that O. Cannan (then employed on general duties in Malabar) should take charge of the Salt and Sea Customs Department agreeably to the original allotment.

4. The result was to increase the number of Deputies on the permanent establishment to fifty-one, and the Government of India have since disallowed the increase (G. O., 28th May 1875, No. 832). The question therefore arises how to provide for Kurnool.

5. The Board observe that only one general Deputy was originally provided for Bellary, and that no sanction for a second permanent Deputy has ever (previously to the Government Order of December) been given for that district. Nor has a Salt Deputy ever been sanctioned for Malabar under Mr. Pelly's scale or otherwise, and though his employment on other than salt duty is referred to in the Order of 10th July 1861, quoted by Government, yet in the Collector's letter also quoted, he stated that none was provided, and that none was necessary unless manufacture was to be resumed.

6. The Collector of Kurnool now points out that a second permanent general Deputy is absolutely necessary, and the Board are fully of opinion that, independently of the Irrigation Company's operations, the district could not be administered with only one Deputy Collector and a Head Assistant. The question of the re-establishment of the salt manufacture in Malabar is under discussion, and Dr. Ratton has reported upon it; but it does not seem likely that the necessity for a Salt Deputy Collector in Malabar on that account will shortly arise. When it does, there will be good ground for demanding an addition to the strength of Deputy Collectors on account of it. The Board, therefore, recommend that the order constituting a Salt Deputy Collectorship in Malabar be withdrawn, and that the second general Deputy Collector in Kurnool be declared permanent. They do not see any other way of meeting the present requirement and reducing the number of general deputies to fifty, the strength sanctioned. The completion of the escheat work remaining might be made over to the Head and Special Assistants respectively, in their own divisions.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) H. E. STOKES,
Acting Secretary.

No. 183. Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 30th July 1875, No. 2,144.

Read the following letter from W. LOGAN, Esq., Acting Collector of Malabar, to H. E. STOKES, Esq., Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Calicut, 24th July 1875, No. 242.

WITH reference to Board's Proceedings, No. 1,910, dated 9th July 1875, which reached me only two days ago, I have the honor to submit, for the Board's consideration, that a Deputy Collector for general duties is absolutely necessary for this district.

2. The Board admit (paragraph 6) that when salt manufacture is commenced an additional Deputy Collector will be required; and, apart altogether from the consideration that what is now proposed to be done will, probably within a year, require again to be undone, I respectfully beg to submit that the Board have not in their Proceedings given sufficient consideration to the fact that Mr. O. Cannan is also in charge of the Sea Customs Department, which is of more importance in this district than in any other district of the Presidency. The value of our imports and exports is *more than* DOUBLE* that of any other district (page 267 of the Trade Returns for 1873-74), and is little short of being half of that of the Presidency Town itself, while at the same time it is obvious that the department is more difficult to manage inasmuch as, while the work at the Presidency Town is concentrated in a small space, the work here is spread over a number of ports lying at long distances the one from the other. I have not the latest figures ready to my hand, and I do not wish to delay this letter; but the Board will find, on reference to their Proceedings, No. 551, dated 8th April 1873, that out of the first twelve most important ports in the Presidency, including the Presidency Town, *no less than five, viz.*, (1) Cochin, (2) Calicut, (3) Tellicherry, (4) Badagara, and (5) Beypore, *belong to this district.* The Sea Customs Department and the Salt Department work of this district cannot, without loss of efficiency—I had almost said without bringing matters to a complete standstill—be made over to the respective divisional officers. The two departments must be centralised in my office; and if my General Duties Deputy Collector is taken away, and I am left without an Assistant, the work of those departments must suffer, as, with my other heavy duties, I cannot give the necessary time to the detail work.

	RS.
* Malabar	3,41,33,959
Tanjore	1,33,01,422

SUBMITTED to Government in continuation of Board's Proceedings, No. 2,099, dated 27th July 1875, in which they have recommended that sanction be applied for for a Salt Deputy in Malabar as part of the permanent establishment.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) H. E. STOKES,
Acting Secretary.

No. 184. Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 1st September 1875, No. 2,460.

Read the following letter from J. HOPE, Esq., Acting Collector of Kurnool, to H. E. STOKES, Esq., Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Kurnool, 23rd August 1875, No. 293.

WITH reference to Board's Proceedings, No. 1,910, dated 9th July 1875, I have the honor to inform you that I have this day received an objection statement from the Accountant-General requiring me to recover at once the pay (Rs. 130) drawn by the establishment of A. Ramachendra Row as General Deputy Collector for the month of June, as from the 1st of that month an establishment was newly entertained under the second General Deputy lately appointed to Bellary.

2. A similar objection statement may shortly be expected for the pay drawn for the month of July; and for the current month I cannot pass the pay of the establishment without sanction.

3. I therefore request that Government may be moved to pass early orders on Board's Proceedings above quoted, and also to sanction, as soon as possible, the pay of the Deputy Collector's establishment from 1st June last.

4. As no specific orders were received on the subject in G. O., No. 1,572, dated 16th December 1874, or in any subsequent order, I have continued to employ the Special Deputy Collector on general duties as heretofore, and, of course, the usual establishment has been employed under him. Had this not been known to, and intended by, the Board or Government, the said establishment would doubtless have been transferred with the Deputy Collector to Bellary. No communication on the subject was received from the Collector of Bellary.

SUBMITTED for the orders of Government.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) H. E. STOKES,
Acting Secretary.

No. 185. ORDER THEREON, 13th November 1875, No. 1,639.

Miscellaneous.

Letter from the Government of India, dated 21st April 1875, No. 285, (G. O., 28th May 1875, No. 842, Revenue Department).

THE foregoing correspondence has arisen out of the letter marginally noted in which the Government of India stated that they would prefer that the services of the second Deputy Collector in Kurnool "should be eventually dispensed with".

2. In paragraph 4 of the same letter it was stated that Mr. Pelly's scheme provided for Kurnool "two Deputy Collectors for general duty," but from the correspondence noted in the margin it would appear that though in providing a second Deputy Collector for Kurnool the sanctioned number of deputies was not exceeded, still this was only effected by removing one of those allotted to Malabar.

G. O., 2nd June 1860, No. 910.
„ 27th Nov. 1865, „ 2,918.
„ 12th Feb. 1866, „ 371.
„ 10th Dec. „ „ 3,319.
„ 11th Jan. 1867, „ 94.

3/1639

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
13th November 1875.

3. His Excellency in Council is fully satisfied that the present number of two Deputy Collectors on general duties is required for the last-named district, and the second Deputy Collector, when relieved of his salt duties, should revert to his former station at Ponany.

4. The retention of the establishment of the second Deputy Collector on general duties in Kurnool from the 1st of June last until further orders is sanctioned, and the Accountant-General will withdraw the retrenchment.

5. A further communication on the subject of an addition to the number of permanent Deputy Collectors will now be addressed to the Government of India.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) L. A. CAMPBELL,
Under-Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.
„ the Accountant-General.

Exd. F. h.

Govt. of Madras

REVENUE.

To

THE BOARD OF REVENUE.

Dated November, 1875.

Read

G. O., 28th November 1870, No. 1,639.

NOVEMBER.

Nos. 181—185.

Second Deputy Collector on General Duties in Kurnool.—Sanctioning the retention of the establishment of the — from the 1st of June last until further orders, requesting the Accountant-General to withdraw the remittance, and resolving to address the Government of India on the subject.

P.P. resd by rental Cattle

4/1639

No. 1,910.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 9th July 1875.

Read the following letter from J. HOPE, Esq., Acting Collector of Kurnool, to H. E. STOKES, Esq., Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated 28th June 1875, No. 220:—

I HAVE the honor to solicit early instructions on the following points connected with the correspondence ending with Board's Proceedings, Miscellaneous No. 5,100, dated 8th June 1875.

2. Is the special Deputy Collector now attached to this district to be regarded as a Deputy Collector on general duties and entrusted with the charge of a division, and is he to be considered as permanently attached to this district? This point has to be settled before I can reply to Board's Proceedings, No. 786, dated 1st April 1875, regarding the distribution of divisions. In paragraph 4 of the letter, No. 285, dated 21st April 1875, from the Government of India, it is stated that, in Mr. Pelly's revision, two general Deputy Collectors are provided for this district. The same appears from the list appended to paragraph 3 of G. O., No. 1,572, dated 16th December 1874, Revenue Department, though it would seem from the remarks noted in the margin made in the latter part of the same paragraph that one of the general Deputy Collectors is to be attached only in consideration of the additional duties imposed by the irrigation canal.

"The additional work entailed by the operation of the Irrigation and Canal Company in Kurnool, which was not foreseen when the original allotment was decided upon, render two officers necessary in that district."

3. Should one of the two Deputies be withdrawn, the redistribution of work between two divisional officers and myself of eight taluqs (two of which are separated by a broad range of mountains from the rest of the district and *must* form one division) will be a task of the greatest difficulty.

4. In connection with this, the question arises what is to become of the establishment hitherto attached to the Deputy Collector at Nandial, *as a Deputy Collector on general duties*, should that officer be withdrawn. Is it to be transferred to the office of the new Deputy Collector on general duties posted to the Bellary District, or retained here under the special Deputy Collector. If it is to be retained here, is it to be regarded as *permanent* or classed merely as a *temporary* establishment, service in which does not qualify for pension under Section 17 of the Civil Pension Code. I am of opinion that it would be very hard to transfer to the head of "temporary" an establishment of officials some of long service, simply because the office in which they have been last serving is transferred to another district.

SUBMITTED for the orders of Government.

2. In G. O., dated 16th December 1874, No. 1,572, Government observed that Bellary had hitherto been deprived of a second general duty Deputy Collector, and that to supply this officer, one would be transferred from Kurnool as a permanency, and the sanction of the Government of India applied for, for an additional Deputy to be retained for the present in Kurnool when his services are required, owing to the extra work entailed by the operations of the Irrigation Company.

3. In the same orders Government directed that, O Cannan (then employed on general duties in Malabar) should take charge of the salt and Sea Customs Department agreeably to the original allotment.

4. The result was to increase the number of Deputies on the permanent establishment to fifty-one, and the Government of India have since disallowed the increase (G. O., 28th May 1875, No. 832). The question therefore arises how to provide for Kurnool.

5. The Board observe that only one general Deputy was originally provided for Bellary, and that no sanction for a second permanent Deputy has ever (previously to the Government Order of December) been given for that district. Nor has a Salt Deputy ever been sanctioned for Malabar under Mr. Pelly's scale or otherwise, and though his employment on other than salt duty is referred to in the Order of 10th July 1861, quoted by Government, yet in the Collector's letter also quoted, he stated that none was provided, and that none was necessary unless manufacture was to be resumed.

6. The Collector of Kurnool now points out that a second permanent general Deputy is absolutely necessary, and the Board are fully of opinion that, independently of the Irrigation Company's operations, the district could not be administered with only one Deputy Collector and a Head Assistant. The question of the re-establishment of the salt manufacture in Malabar is under discussion, and Dr. Ratton has reported upon it; but it does not seem likely that the necessity for a Salt Deputy Collector in Malabar on that account will shortly arise. When it does, there will be good ground for demanding an addition to the strength of Deputy Collectors on account of it. The Board, therefore, recommend that the order constituting a Salt Deputy Collectorship in Malabar be withdrawn, and that the second general Deputy Collector in Kurnool be declared permanent. They do not see any other way of meeting the present requirement and reducing the number of general deputies to fifty, the strength sanctioned. The completion of the escheat work remaining might be made over to the Head and Special Assistants, respectively, in their own divisions.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) H. E. STOKES,
Acting Secretary.

To the Acting Secretary to Government, Revenue Department.

Copy to the Collector of Kurnool.
" the " of Malabar.
" the " of Bellary.

Exd W H French.

1875.
Board of Revenue
MADRAS.

PROCEEDINGS, 9TH JULY 1875, No. 1,910.

JULY.
No. 1,910.

Received

Encl.

Deputy Collector, Second General—in Kurnool District.—Recommendation made to Government to declare retention of — permanent, and to withdraw order constituting a Salt Deputy Collectorship in Malabar.

No. 2,460.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 1st September 1875.

Read the following letter from J. HOPE, Esq., Acting Collector of Kurnool, to H. E. STOKES, Esq., Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Kurnool, 23rd August 1875, No. 293 :—

WITH reference to Board's Proceedings, No. 1,910, dated 9th July 1875, I have the honor to inform you that I have this day received an objection statement from the Accountant-General requiring me to recover at once the pay (Rs. 130) drawn by the establishment of A. Ramachendra Row as General Deputy Collector for the month of June, as from the 1st of that month an establishment was newly entertained under the second General Deputy lately appointed to Bellary.

2. A similar objection statement may shortly be expected for the pay drawn for the month of July; and for the current month I cannot pass the pay of the establishment without sanction.

3. I therefore request that Government may be moved to pass early orders on Board's Proceedings above quoted, and also to sanction, as soon as possible, the pay of the Deputy Collector's establishment from 1st June last.

4. As no specific orders were received on the subject in G. O., No. 1,572, dated 16th December 1874, or in any subsequent order, I have continued to employ the Special Deputy Collector on general duties as heretofore, and, of course, the usual establishment has been employed under him. Had this not been known to, and intended by, the Board or Government, the said establishment would doubtless have been transferred with the Deputy Collector to Bellary. No communication on the subject was received from the Collector of Bellary.

SUBMITTED for the orders of Government.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

H Stokes
Acting Secretary.

To the Acting Secretary to Government, Revenue Department.

Copy to the Acting Collector of Kurnool.
" to the Collector of Bellary.

Exd. A. Grubert.

Rev 3519/75

1875.

Board of Revenue,

MADRAS.

PROCEEDINGS, 1ST SEPTEMBER 1875, No. 2,460.

Dept Sept 1/75

Encl.

Received

SEPTEMBER.

No. 2,460.

General Deputy Collector A. Ramachendra Row—of Kurnool District.—Letter from Collector forwarding, for necessary sanction, Accountant-General's objection statement to pay drawn by establishment of — for June 1875, and requesting orders on subject of permanent retention of this officer, submitted to Government.

6/16

No. 2,144.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 30th July 1875.

Read the following letter from W. LOGAN, Esq., Acting Collector of Malabar, to H. E. STOKES, Esq., Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Calicut, 24th July 1875, No. 242:—

WITH reference to Board's Proceedings, No. 1,910, dated 9th July 1875, which reached me only two days ago, I have the honor to submit, for the Board's consideration, that a Deputy Collector for general duties is absolutely necessary for this district.

2. The Board admit (paragraph 6) that when salt manufacture is commenced an additional Deputy Collector will be required; and, apart altogether from the consideration that what is now proposed to be done will, probably within a year, require again to be undone, I respectfully beg to submit that the Board have not in their Proceedings given sufficient consideration to the fact that Mr. O. Cannan is also in charge of the Sea Customs Department, which is of more importance in this district than in any other district of the Presidency. The value of our imports and exports is *more than* DOUBLE* that of any other district (page 267 of the trade returns for 1873-74), and is little short of being half of that of the Presidency Town itself, while at the same time it is obvious that the department is more difficult to manage inasmuch as, while the work at the Presidency Town is concentrated in a small space, the work here is spread over a number of ports lying at long distances the one from the other. I have not the latest figures read[illegible] to my hand, and I do not wish to delay [illegible] letter; but the Board will find, [illegible] reference to the[illegible] Proceedings, No. 551, dated [illegible] April 1873, that out of the first twelve most important ports in the Presidency, including the [illegible] Town, *no less than five, viz.*, (1) Cochin, (2) Calicut, (3) Tellicherry, (4) Badagara, and (5) Beypore, *belong to this district.* The Sea Customs Department and the Salt Department work of this district cannot without loss of efficiency—I had almost said without bringing matters to a complete standstill—be made over to the respective divisional officers. The two departments must be centralised in my office; and if my General-Duties Deputy Collector is taken away, and I am left without an Assistant, the work of those departments must suffer, as, with my other heavy duties, I cannot give the necessary time to the detail work.

	RS.
* Malabar	3,41,33,959
Tanjore	1,33,01,422

SUBMITTED to Government in continuation of Board's Proceedings, No. 2,099, dated 27th July 1875, in which they have recommended that sanction be applied for for a Salt Deputy in Malabar as part of the permanent establishment.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) H. E. STOKES,
Acting Secretary.

To the Acting Secretary to Government, Revenue Department.

Copy to the Acting Collector of Malabar.

Exd. J. W. Fischer.

Rev 3091/75

1875.

Board of Revenue,

MADRAS.

PROCEEDINGS, 30TH JULY 1875, No. 2,144.

Received

Encl.

JULY.

No. 2,144.

Deputy Collector for general duties.—Letter from Collector of Malabar reporting that one such officer is absolutely necessary for his district, submitted to Government with remarks.

Audit DEPARTMENT.

No. 227

7/1689

Fort Saint George,

Accountant General's Office,

5th July 1875.

FROM

THE ACCOUNTANT GENERAL

TO THE GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,

To

THE Secretary to Government

Revenue Department

Ootacamund.

SIR,

I have the honor to request that if Government, in passing G.O. No. 824 dated 27th May 1875 intended the retention of a Special Deputy Collector in Kurnool beyond the 31st December 1875, up to which date alone his retention is sanctioned in the letter from the Secretary to the Government of India No. 285 dated 21st April 1875, communicated in G.O. No. 842 of 28th May 1875, the Proceedings referred to may be submitted for the confirmation of the Government of India.

I have the honor to be

Sir,

Your obedient servant

[signature]

Accountant General.

Compd. [illegible]

Revenue Department.

8/1689

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue
Dated 9th July 1875

Read the following

No. 220

From

The Acting Collector
of Kurnool

To

The Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Madras.

Sir,

I have the honor to solicit early instructions on the following points connected with the correspondence ending with Board's Proceedings Miscellaneous No. 5100 dated 8th June 1875.

2. Is the Special Deputy Collector now attached to this District to be regarded as a Deputy Collector on General Duties and entrusted with the charge of a Division, and is he

Rev. 1875: Department,
ACCTT. GENL.'S OFFICE, MADRAS.

No.

From
The Dept. Accountant General, Madras,

To The Secretary to Government
Revenue Dept.

S.O. No. 1639-130 November 1875

Dated 5th July/75
Recd. 7th
Ansd.
No. of answer.

No. 227

Subject.

Requesting that if Govt. in passing G.O. No. 824 dated 27th May 1875 intrusted the retention of a special Dy. Coll. in Kurnool beyond the 31st December 1875 the Pro. referred to may be submitted for the confirmation of G. I.

No. 187

181

he to be considered as permanently attach-
-ed to this District? This point has to be
settled before I can reply to Board's Pro-
-ceedings, No. 786, dated 1st April 1875 regard-
-ing the distribution of Divisions. In para
4 of the letter No. 285 dated 21st April 1875
from the Government of India, it is stated
that, in Mr. Pelly's revision, 2 General
Deputy Collectors are provided for this Dis-
-trict. The same appears from the list
appended to para 3 of G.O. No. 1572 dated
16th December 1874, R.D., though it would seem
from the remarks noted in the margin made
in the latter part of the same para that
one of the General Deputy Collectors is to
be attached only in consideration of the
additional

*The additional work entailed by the operation of the Irrigation & Canal Company in Kurnool, which was not fore-seen when the original allotment was decided upon, render two officers necessary in that District

9/1639

additional duties imposed by the Irrigation
Canal.

3. Should one of the two Deputies be
withdrawn, the re-distribution of work between
2 Divisional officers and myself of 8 Talugs
(2 of which are separated by a broad range
of mountains from the rest of the District
and must form one Division) will be a
task of the greatest difficulty.

4. In connection with this, the ques-
-tion rises what is to become of the
establishment hitherto attached to the
Deputy Collector at Nandial, as a
Deputy Collector on General Duties,
should that Officer be withdrawn.
Is it to be transferred to the office of the
new

new Deputy Collector on General duties posted to the Bellary District, or retained here under the Special Deputy Collector. If it is to be retained here, is it to be regarded as <u>permanent</u>, or classed merely as a <u>temporary</u> establishment, service in which does not qualify for pension under Section 17 of the Civil Pension Code. I am of opinion that it would be very hard to transfer to the head of "temporary" an establishment of officials some of long service, simply because the office in which they have been last serving is transferred to another District.

Kurnool Collectorate
Office 28th June 1875

I have &c
by James Hope
Ag Collector

Submitted

10/1639

Submitted for the orders of Government.

2. In Government Order, 16th December 1874, No. 1572, Government observed that Bellary had hitherto been deprived of a second general duty Deputy Collector, and that to supply this officer, one would be transferred from Kurnool as a permanency, and the sanction of the Government of India applied for, for an additional Deputy to be retained for the present in Kurnool where his services are required owing to the extra work entailed by the operations of the Irrigation Company.

3. In the same order Government directed that A. Cannan (then employed on

on general duties in Malabar should take charge of the Salt and Sea Customs Department agreeably to the original allotment.

4. The result was to increase the number of Deputies on the permanent establishment to 51, and the Government of India have since disallowed the increase (G. O. 28 May 1875, No 832). The question therefore arises how to provide for Kurnool.

5. The Board observe that only one General Deputy was originally provided for Bellary and that no sanction for a second permanent Deputy has ever (previously to the G. O of December) been given for that district. Nor has a Salt Deputy ever been sanctioned for Malabar under Mr Kelley's scale or otherwise and though his employment on other than Salt duty is referred to in the order of 10 July 1861 quoted by Government, yet in the Collector's letter also quoted he stated that none was provided, and that none was necessary unless manufacture was to be resumed.

5. The Collector of Kurnool now points out that a second permanent General Deputy is absolutely necessary, and the Board are fully of opinion that independently of the Irrigation Company's operations the District could not be administered with

with only one Deputy Collector, and a Head Assistant. The question of the reestablishment of the salt manufacture in Malabar is under discussion and Dr. Patton has reported upon it, but it does not seem likely that the necessity for a Salt Deputy Collector in Malabar on that account will shortly arise. When it does, there will be good ground for demanding an addition to the strength of Deputy Collectors on account of it. The Board therefore recommend that the order constituting a Salt Deputy Collectorship in Malabar be withdrawn and that the second General Deputy Collector in Kurnool be declared permanent. They do not see any other

12/1639

other way of meeting the present requirement and reducing the number of General Deputies to 50 the strength sanctioned. The completion of the escheat works remaining might be made over to the Head and Special Assistants respectively, in their own divisions.

/True Copy & Extract/

H Stokes

Ag Secretary

To The Secretary to Government

Revenue Department

Copd HL

Revenue Deposit

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue
dated 30th Jul 1875

Read the following

From No. 242.
W. Logan Esq
Ag Collector of Tinnevelly

To H. E. Stokes Esq
Ag Secretary to the
Board of Revenue Madras

Sir,

With reference to the Board's Proceedings No. 1910, dated 9th July 1875 which reached me only two days ago, I have the honor to submit, for the Board's consideration, that a Deputy Collector for General duties is absolutely necessary for this District.

No. 2780.
Proceedings of the
Board of Revenue
S.O. No. 1639-1 Nov. 1875
Dated 9 July 1875
Recd 19th

Submitting for the orders of Govt. with certain remarks, letter from Ag Collector of Kurnool, solicitating early instructions on certain points connected with the correspondence regarding the retention of a 2nd Permanent General Dy Colly in the Dist

No. 182

182

The Board admit (para 6) that when salt manufacture is commenced an additional Deputy Collector will be required, and apart altogether from the consideration that what is now proposed to be done will, probably within a year, require again to be undone, I respectfully beg to submit that the Board have not, in their Proceedings, given sufficient consideration to the fact that Mr. O. Cannan is also in charge of the Sea Customs Department, which is of more importance in

this District than in any other District of the Presidency. The value of our imports and exports is more than double* that of any other District (page 267 of the Trade Returns for 1873/74) and is little short of being half of that of the Presidency Town itself, while at the same time it is obvious that the Department is more difficult to manage in as much as, while the work at the Presidency Town is concentrated in a small space, the work here is spread over a number of ports lying at long distances the one from the other.

I

* Malabar. Rs. 3,41,33,959
Tanjore — 1,33,01,422

14/1639

I have not the latest figures ready to my hand, and I don't wish to delay this letter; but, the Board will find, on reference to their Proceedings No. 551, dated 8th April 1873, that out of the first twelve most important ports in the Presidency including the Presidency town, no less than five, Viz, 1 Cochin, 2 Calicut 3. Tellichery, 4 Badagara and 5 Beypore belong to this District. The Sea Customs Department and the Salt Department work of this District cannot, without loss

15/1639

loss of efficiency, I had almost said without bringing matters to a complete stand still, be made over to the respective Di-visional Officers. The two De-partments must be centralized in my office, and if my general duties Deputy Collector is taken away and I am left without an Assistant, the work of those Departments must suffer as, with my other heavy duties, I cannot give the necessary time to the detail work.

Malabar Collector's Office
Calicut. 24th July 1875

I have &c.
(Sig'd) W. Logan
Ag Collector.

Submitted to Government in
Continuation of Board's Proceed-
=ings No. dated 27th July
1875 in which they have recommend-
=ed that Sanction be applied
for, for a Salt Depety in Malabar
as part of the permanent esta-
=blishment.

(True Copy & Extract)

H Stokes

Ag Secretary.

To

The Secretary to
Government R.D.

[illegible]

C.H.

Revenue Department

17/1639

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue
Dated 1st September 1875
Read the following:-

No. 293

From,
The Acting Collector of Kurnool

To,
The Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Madras

Sir,

With reference to Board's Proceedings No. 1910 dated 9th July 1875, I have the honor to inform you that I have this day received an objection Statement from the Accountant General requiring me to recover at once the pay (Rupees 130) drawn by the Establishment

Rev: 1875 - Dupl No 3091
No 881
Proceedings of the Board of Revenue

Dated 31st July 1875
Dupl 30 - 1 -
Recd 9th Aug 72

S.O.C. No. 1639 - 130 November 1875

Submitting to Govt. letter from the Ag Collector of Kurnool, reporting with reference to Board's Oc. No. 1910 dated 9th Jul, that a Dy Collector for general duties is absolutely necessary for this District.

183

Go 21 May 1873 No. 147 - 28.

h. 183

Establishment of A. Ramachendra Rao as General Deputy Collector for the months of June as from the 1st of that month an establishment was newly entertained under the second general deputy lately appointed to Bellary.

2. A similar objection statement may shortly be expected for the pay for the months of July and for the current month I cannot pass the pay of the Establishment without sanction.

3. I therefore request that Government may be moved to pass early orders on Board's Proceedings above quoted, and also to sanction as soon as possible the pay of the Deputy Collector's establishment from 1st June last.

4. As no specific orders were received on the subject in Government Order No. 1572 dated 16th December 1874 or in any subsequent order I have continued to employ the Special Deputy Collectors on general duties as heretofore, and of course the usual Establishment has been employed under him. Had this not been known to and intended by the Board or Government the

the said establishment would doubtless have been transferred with the Deputy Collector to Bellary. No communication on the subject was received from the Collector of Bellary.

I have &c

Kurnool Coll's Office
23 August 1875

Sig^d. James Hope
Ag Collector

Submitted for the orders of Government.

(True Copy & Extract)

H Elwins
Ag Secretary

To, The Secretary to Government
Revenue Department

[illegible]
[illegible]

G.O. 16 Decemb/74 No 200-202
28 May 75 - 344-45
C.P. 2780/75

Rev: 1875 Dec
No 3579.
Proceedings of the Board of Revenue

Dated 1st September 1875
Desp 1 " "
Rec'd 5th " "

S.O. No 1639 - 13 November 1875

Submitting for orders of Govt letter from Sy Collector of Kurnool stating that the Accountant General had retrenched the pay of the Second General Sy Collector's Establishment for fine cash and requesting to move Govt to passed only orders on Bro's Rev: recommending permanent retention of the Office and to sanction the pay of the Establishment.

No 184

Memo. for orders.

20/1639

In G.O. 16 Decr 1874 No 1572 it was decided & allot a second Defr Collr to the District of Bellary & to apply to the Govt of India for sanction for a temporary Defr Collr in Kurnool, on the ground that the additional work entailed by the operations of the Irrigation Co was not foreseen when the original allotment was made.

The sanction of the Govt of India was necessary because under the arrangement then proposed there would be 51 Defr Collrs or one more

- Letter from Accr Genl to Secr to Govt R.D. 5th July 75 No 227.
- Pro. Board of Revn 9 July 1875 1910.
- Pro. Board of Revn 30 July 75 No forwarding letter from Collr Malabar
- Pro: Board of Rev. 1st Sept 1875 forwarding letter from Collr of Kurnool.

Boards 3rd Sept 75
No 2474
Recommending a change in the Deputy Collector's division as sanctioned in G.O. 12th May 75 No 713.

than the sanctioned scale.

In passing these orders it appears to have been overlooked that the original allotment of G. D. Defr. Collrs allowed 2 for Kurnool. & this the Govt. of India have now pointed out —

It was the meaning, not of an additional Defr. Collr. for Kurnool, but Bellary that had to be proved to the satisfaction of the G. of I.

From the letter of one of the G. D. Defr. Collr. of Bellary printed with Board Pro.+ it does not appear that the pressure there is so very great —

+ Mr. Macpherson omitted to enter the No & date of the Progs: referred to but apparently Bds. 3 Sept. 75 No 2474 were intended as in para 11 of the letter therein the Deputy Collr. (the old one) writes "I have no sufficient work" When the Deputy Collector made this remark he had 4 Values under including Hospet which has since (G.O. 12 May 1875 No 713) been taken & with one of the Collectors Values Raidrug been formed into a division for the 2nd Deputy. The result is that the Collector has only Bellary to take care of and that his Assistant when he has one has no Values at all

The Accountant General states in his letter of the 5 Jul. that if it is intended to retain the Defr. Collr. beyond the 31st Decr. the sanction of the G. of I. should be obtained for further it appears from the letter of the Collr. of Kurnool embodied in Board's Pro. 1st Sept. that he has retrenched the Mag of the Est. from the 1st June being the date of the Entertainment of an Establishment in Bellary.

When the question of Defr. Collr. was disposed of in December last it was decided to deprive Malabar of the Additional

Defr. Coll. who had for
2 years been in charge
of the Salt & Sea Customs.
To meet this the
Southern or Ponany Division
was abolished, & this
large Talug, comprising
[illegible] of the old Talugs was
tacked on to the already
heavy charge of the
Head Assistant. from
which however it is
geographically detached.
The ~~Officer hitherto~~ Defr.
Coll. hitherto in charge
of that Division was
converted into a Salt
& Sea Custom Defr. & in
that capacity he was at
all events available to
assist the Collector &
Magistrate at Head
Quarters & to ~~fill~~ meet any
sudden call such as

must frequently occur in
a District like Malabar.
with a Sub Division. a
Head Asst. Division. a
Spl. Asst's Division. a
Wynaad Division,
a Cochin St. Mags.
Division, a Cantonment
Magistracy & a group
of Islands several hundred
miles off.
It is a mistake to
suppose that when there
are a number of Divisional
officers the Collector's work
is diminished. The reverse
is the case for then all
represent a proportionate
amount of work, leading
to appeals & correspondence, to say nothing
of the multiplied chance
of one officer or other
being on leave or for

some cause not available.

In the original allotment of Defr. Colls for Malabar there were undoubtedly 2 officers sometimes referred to as both G. D. Defr Colls. & sometimes one as Salt. & the other as G. D. For many years they have been both employed as G. D. Defr. Colls & it was in any urgent representation of the manner in which Salt & Sea Custom Rev. was suffering that a third temporary Defr was employed – Thus there were the Ponany Defr. Coll. the Wynaad Defr. & the Salt. Defr. Coll.

First the Salt Defr is withdrawn, then

23/1639

the Ponany Defr. is changed into Salt, & now the Board of Revn. say a Salt Defr is not wanted. & should be taken to get over the Bellary difficulty so that if agreed to the result would be to deprive the Ag Coll of the services of two out of three men the receipt of whom I can vouch for – It is true that in the supposition that Salt manufacture was to be commenced the Board withdrew their recommendation but under the recent orders their

recommendation would again hold good. So far as Malabar is concerned I submit that the as soon as the Salt trade is given up by Govt the Defts now employed in Salt & Sea Customs should revert to Ponany.

In the mean time orders are requested as to how the objection of the Govt of India is to be met —

A.M.

In the orig. programme of Gov 27 11 April 1860 – Malabar 8 of 4 Dep. Cr

29/1639

1 Treasury
1 Salt
2 Wynad
4

Ad Pro 24th Oct 1860

The Bd. revised the arrangt. as follows

1 Treasury
1 Salt
1 Gl. Duties – apparently for Wynad –
3.

We have 3 at this moment
Cole Treasury
Underwood Wynad
Cannan Salt & Gl. D.

We cannot possibly do without this Estn. & I entirely agree with the local officers that no time should be lost in remanding the third to Ponany when relieved of Salt-duty – Sea Custs. Mr. be managed by the Coll. (with aid of possible of the Treasury Defr.) – Gov. not ~~[illegible]~~ agree to the proposals of the Bd.

As regards Bellary Gov. apply to L. G. I. if really emergent for an extra man – [illegible]

Orders should be drafted in the sense of Hon. the Hon. Mr. Robinson's note. [illegible]

[illegible]

23/10

No 1639 Revenue Dept.

25/1639

Proceedings of the Madras Government

dy 13th November 1875

Read the following papers.

From the Accountant General

Requesting that G.O. 27th May 1875, No 824 may be submitted for confirmation of Govt. of India if it is intended that the Special Dy Collector Kurnool should be retained beyond 31st December 1875, up to which date that Govt. sanctioned his retention — H. E. 5th July 1875, No 227

Pros. Board of Revenue

Recommending that the 2d General Dy Collector in Kurnool be declared permanent, & that order constituting a Salt Dy Collector shop in Malabar be withdrawn. — " 9th July 1875, No 1910.

Pros. Board of Revenue

Forwarding letter from Coll. of Malabar reporting that a Dy. Collector for general duties is absolutely necessary for his district — " 30 July 1875, No 2144

Pros. Board of Revenue

Forwarding a letter from Collector of Kurnool reporting retrenchment by Acctt. General of pay of 2. General Dy Collector's estbt. & requesting early orders on Bds Pros. recommending permanent retention of this officer as well as to sanction the pay of the estbt. from 1st June — " 1st Septr. 1875, No 2460

The foregoing correspondence has arisen

out

out of the letter mar-
-ginally noted in which
the Sec.ty of India stated
that they would prefer
that the services of the
second Deputy Collector
in Kurnool "should be
eventually dispensed
with."

2. In para 4 of the
same letter it was stated
that Mr. Pelly's scheme
provided for Kurnool
"two Deputy Collectors
for general duty;" but
from the correspondence
noted in the margin
it would appear that
though in providing
Deputy Collector for Kurnool,
the sanctioned number
of deputies was not
exceeded, still this was
only effected by removing
one of those allotted
to Malabar.

Letter from the Govt of India dt 21st April 1875, No 285 (G.O. 28th May 1875, No 842, R.D.)

G.O. 2nd June 1860, No 910
" 27th Nov 1865. " 2918
" 12 Febry 1866 " 371
" 10 Decr 1866 " 3319
" 11 Jany 1867 " 94

2/9/1639

3. His Excellency in
Council is fully satisfied
that the present number
of two Deputy Collectors
on general duties is
required for the last-
named District and
the second Deputy
Collector when relieved

of his Salt duties should revert to his former station at Ponany.

4. The retention of the establishment of the second Deputy Collector on General duties in Kurnool from the 1st of June last until further orders is sanctioned, and the Accountant General will withdraw the retrenchment.

5. A further communication on the subject of an addition to

to the number of permanent Deputy Collectors will now be addressed to the Govt. of India.

14 To the Board of Revenue Dec 20 Nov
6 The Accountant General
Med Copy Dec 13 Nov.

50
4

Ed Atch

Règlement

Relative to Dep^ty Collectors —

1639
13-11-75

1639
13-11-75

C 8e hov^e Nt 13 hov /75.