

- (1) Number of Pages..... 6
(2) Date of repair..... 7.3.68
(3) Initials of the Referencer..... 2/11.68

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

Revenue DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.
B.P. No.

1633

Press ✓
Mis.

Dated

12-11-1875

196 .

Not to be folded or roughly handled

1633/1

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
12th November 1875.*

No. 168. Read the following letter from H. H. SHEPHARD, Esq., Officiating Government Pleader, Madras, to L. A. CAMPBELL, Esq., Under-Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, dated Madras, 26th October 1875, No. 98:—

Dated 1st October 1875, No. 1,444.

I HAVE the honor to acknowledge the Proceedings of Government, Revenue Department, noted in the margin.

2. I am of opinion that the suit instituted on behalf of the Secretary of State against the widow of Srinivassa Ragava Chari and others has been rightly dismissed, and that it is useless to appeal against the decision. Not only does the circumstance that no consequential relief could possibly have been obtained justify the dismissal of the suit in accordance with the recent judgment of the Privy Council in *Kathama Natchiar versus Dorasinga Taver*, but, independently of that judgment, it also appears that the suit was premature, the interest of Government being of too remote and contingent a character to claim the protection of a declaratory decree.

3. I do not think that any further action can be taken during the life of the surviving widow.

4. I return the original enclosures forwarded to me with the above Proceedings.

No. 169. ORDER THEREON, 12th November 1875, No. 1,433.

Miscellaneous.

COMMUNICATED to the Board of Revenue.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) L. A. CAMPBELL,
Under-Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue, with two Enclosures returned.
„ the Government Pleader.

Exd. F. R. Bantleman.

No 98

Madras

26th October 1875

Ser^d

27th

do

From The Off^r Government Pleader,
Madras

To The Under Secretary to
Government
Revenue Department

Sir

I have the honor to acknowledge
the proceedings of Government
Revenue Department noted in
the margin.

Dated 1st October
1875
No 11444

2. I am of opinion that the
Sut instituted on behalf of the
Secretary of State against the
widow of Srinivasa Pagavashari
and others has been rightly
dismissed, and that it is useless
to appeal against the decision.
Not only does the Circumstance
that no consequential relief could
possibly have been obtained justify

GOVT. OF INDIA
REVENUE

NOVEMBER

Nos. 168-169

Not noted entry of Srinivasa Pagavashari
Died. Communicated to the Board of Revenue
and letter from the Government Pleader advising
as to appeal from the decision in --

the dismissal of the suit in
accordance with the recent
judgment of the Privy Council
in *Kathama Satchiar - v.*

Dorasinga Saver, but, independently
of that judgment, it also appears
that the suit was premature
the interest of Government
being of too remote and contingent
a character to claim the protection
of a declaratory decree.

3. I do not think that any
further action can be taken during
the life of the surviving widow.

4. I return the original en-
-losures forwarded to me with
the above Proceedings.

I have the honor to be
Your most Obedt Servant

W. R. Shinkham

Off. Government Pleader

Communicated to the Board of Revenue.

14. To the Board of Revenue with a copy
of the Government Order of the 12th Nov 1875.

12th November 1875.

1st October 1875 No 1-2

Revenue 1875-76
From the Govt of Madras
Please Madras

To the Board of Revenue
Madras

Order 263 dated
Sept 27: as

Recd 28th
Re. Dec 1st 1875

That notwithstanding the
order of the 26th Sept
the State against
the Union of Admini-
-strative Regions has

Advised as above
from the Revenue
No 1633-12 of November 1875
With order.