

(1) Number of Pages 16
(2) Date of repair 23-2-68
(3) Initials of the Referencer Subs.

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

Revenue DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.
B.P. No.

1623

Press
Mis.

Dated

8-11-1875

196

.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Remt

1603

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
8th November 1875.*

No. 109. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 6th September 1875, Miscellaneous No. 8,064:—

Read the following letter from G. D. IRVINE, Esq., Acting Sub-Collector, in charge of South Arcot, to H. E. STOKES, Esq., Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Cuddalore, 6th August 1875, No. 332.

* Sub-Collector's letter, No. 499, with six enclosures, (enclosures of Sub-Collector's letter to be returned.) I HAVE the honor to forward* the enclosed for the orders of the Board.

2. The former reference would appear to have been unnecessary, except in the case of the charge for carriage of tents (Rs. 6-4-0), which charge will be withdrawn if the Board think it should be.

3. As the matter has been once referred, although clearly under a misapprehension, I think it proper, especially in Mr. Garstin's absence, to refer it again.

4. I may, at the same time, mention that the case of Mr. Thompson which is before Government is similar to this.

Vide Board's Proceedings, No. 6,357, dated 13th ultimo.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.

From G. D. IRVINE, Esq., Acting Sub-Collector of South Arcot, to J. H. GARSTIN, Esq., Collector of South Arcot, dated Cuddalore, 6th August 1875, No. 499.

With reference to the Proceedings marginally noted, I have the honor, under the following circumstances, to resubmit my bill for travelling expenses incurred in joining my present appointment.

Board's Miscellaneous Proceedings, No. 4,953, dated 4th June 1875.

*With four enclosures to be returned.

2. After the matter had been referred to Government, and their Order, No. 839, dated 28th May 1875, received, I was informed that the rule under which the allowance had been refused did not apply in the case of *acting* appointments. I consulted the Treasury Deputy Collector, and ascertained from him that a travelling allowance bill had, in a similar case to mine, been passed by the Accountant-General, although he (Treasury Deputy Collector) was unaware under what rule this had been done. I therefore referred to the Accountant-General on the subject, and received the enclosed reply from him.

3. It will then be seen that the Government of India has ruled that the certificate is only required in the case of transfer from one *substantive* appointment to another. This Notification had been overlooked by the Treasury Deputy Collector, and hence he insisted upon the certificate in my case, when it was not really necessary.

4. I have, therefore, the honor to request that my bill may now be passed for payment.

SUBMITTED for the orders of Government.

2. The Board observe that, under paragraph 236 of the Civil Service Manual and the Notification quoted, both Mr. Irvine and Mr. Thompson are entitled to the travelling allowances claimed, and they accordingly request that the Government will be pleased to reconsider their orders noted in the margin.

G. O., dated 28th May 1875, No. 839.
" " 29th July " " 1,586.

(True Copies and Extract.)

(Signed)

G. A. GALTON,
Acting Sub-Secretary.

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
8th November 1875.*

No. 110.

ORDER THEREON, 8th November 1875, No. 1,603.

Miscellaneous.

HIS EXCELLENCY the Governor in Council will not object to the admission of the claim in these cases, as it is not barred as was erroneously supposed.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) L. A. CAMPBELL,
Under-Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.
.. the Accountant-General.

13th Dec: 4/6 Sept: 1875-
no 6044.

1603/3
Memo forward of ~~the~~ ^{the} ~~same~~

In G.O. of 28 May 1875 no 839,
it was decided that the
claim to travelling al-
lowance put forward
by Mr. G. D. Penne when
transferred from Nagapalan
to S. A. should be with-
drawn.

The papers then sub-
mitted for his consideration
of Govt. showed that the Treas-
ury officer at Nagapalan
required a certificate
from Mr. Penne to the
effect that his transfer
was not made for his
private advantage
but on public grounds
& that he necessary for
the certificate led to his
reference. Then made 5
Govt.

I think there is no
question that the
claim is not barred
in these cases, as
formerly supposed
to be admitted.

[Signature]

Govt. of 1875: ~~the~~ ^{the} ~~same~~

REVENUE.

To

THE BOARD OF REVENUE,

Co., &c., &c.

Dated November, 8th.

Recd.

Encl.

G. O., 8th November 1875, No. 1,003.

NOVEMBER.

Nos. 109-110.

Claim to Travelling Allowance of Messrs.
G. D. Irvine and Thompson for joining their
acting appointments. Admitting the —, as it is not
barred as was erroneously supposed.



Govt.

Mr. Irvine now represents
that he has since the receipt
of the above GO, been informed
by the Accountant General
that the order under which
the certificate above referred
to was issued on by the
Treasury Deputy Collector
was superseded by the
notification of the Govt. of
India d.d. October 1874.
No 876. in which it is
ruled that the certificate
case is only required
in the case of transfer
from one substantive
appointment to another.

The Board of Wt. urge
a reconsideration of the
orders of Govt. d.d. May 11. 71
& 29 Feb 1875 No. 439 & 1516.
stating their opinion that
under para 236 of the Civil
Service Manual & the

Notification

"The supersession of the
the Govt. General in Council is
pleased to rule that when
a public officer is transferred
without promotion from
one substantive app't to another
at a different station, a
copy of his order transferring
him shall be sent to the
Accountant General with
with an endorsement
stating either that the
transfer has been ordered
in public service & not
at the request of his officer
or that the transfer has
been made at his officer's
request."

1875

notification above quoted,
both Messrs Irvine & Thompson
are entitled to the
travelling allowance
claimed.
to Travelling allowance
whether he be so appointed
at his own request or not.
It is not known whether
these rulings were duly
brought to notice when
the Govt. in question were
issued. The matter is
therefore submitted
for order.

It may perhaps be
deemed advisable to
commit the question to
the Accountant General
for report.

14-10-75

* This does not seem
necessary. His letter to
Mr. Irvine is enclosed.

The rule seems clearly
in favor of Messrs
Irvine & Thompson's
claim. & it is presumed
it must be admitted
Lal



1607/5

Miscellaneous No. 8064.

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue
Dated 6 September 1875

Read the following:-

No. 332

From,

G. Duncan Irvine Esquire
Acting Subcollector
in charge of
South Arcot

To,

H. E. Stokes Esquire
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Madras

Sir,

I have the honour to
forward the enclosed for
the orders of the Board.
2. The former reference
would appear to have been
unnecessary except in the
case of the charge for carriage
of tents (Rupees 6-4-0) which
Charge

Subcollector's
letter No 499
with 6 Enclosures.
(Enclosures of
Subcollector's
letter to be re-
turned).

charge will be withdrawn
if the Board think it
should be

3. As the matter has
been once referred, and
although clearly under
a misapprehension
I think it proper, espe-
cially in Mr. Garstin's
absence, to refer it
again.

4. I may at the same
time, mention that
the case of Mr. Thomson
which is before Govern-
ment is similar to
this.

South Creek
Collector's Office
Cuddalore
6 August 1875

Thamra
H. D. Irvine
Acting Subcollector
in charge

N^o

vide Board
Proceedings No. 638
dated 13. 11. 1875

N^o 499

From

The Subcollector of
South Creek

To The Collector of
South Creek

Sir With reference to the

is: Pro:
384
1875

Proceedings marginally
noted, I have the honor
under the following
circumstances, to sub-

Enclosure
returned

mit my bill for tra-
velling expenses incurred
in joining my present
appointment.

2. After the matter had
been referred to Govern-
ment and their Order
No. 839 dated 28th May 1875
received, I was informed
that the rule, under which

the

the allowance had been refused, did not apply in the case of Acting appointments. I consulted the Treasury Deputy collector, and ascertained from him that a travelling allowance bill had, in a similar case to mine, been passed by the Accountant General, although he (Treasury Deputy collector) was unaware under what rule this had been done. I therefore referred to the Accountant General on the subject and received the enclosed
reply

reply from him. ¹⁸⁷¹
3. It will then be seen that the Government of India has ruled that the certificate is only required in the case of transfer from one substantive appointment to another. This notification had been overlooked by the Treasury Deputy collector and hence he insisted upon the certificate in my case when it was not really necessary.

4. I have therefore the honor to request that
my

My bill may now be passed
for payment. Shunery

Subcollector's Office }
Juddalore }
1 August 1875 } By Subcollector

/True copy/

/Sgd/ G. D. Irvine
By Subcollector
in charge.

Submitted for the or-
ders of Government.

The Board observe that
under para 236 of the Civil
Service Manual and ^{at only}
the notification quoted
both Mr Irvine and
Mr Thompson are
entitled to the travel.

being allowances claimed
and they accordingly
request that the Government
will

will be pleased to
reconsider their orders
noted in the margin.

/True Copies & Extract/

By Sub Secretary

To The Secretary to
Government Revenue
Department
with 6 Enclosures to be
returned.

L.O. 28 May
1875 No 834
29 July 1875
No 1586.

G. D. Irvine
By Subcollector

No 28 May 1875 No 330-39
29 July 1875: 1875 No 39

14th Sept. 1875
8th Nov. 1875

will not object to the assignment of the
claim in these cases as it is not
made as was erroneously
stated of

No 1603

c 33004 No 1875

No 4004

Examiner 1875, dated
Proceedings of the
Board of Examiners

Rules 6th September 1875
Article 6 — " — "
Rule 18th — " — "
and 1875 — " — "

No 1603: 8th December 1875

In submitting
for examination
of patent claims to
the Board of Examiners
of the Board of Examiners
I am informed for
joining that such
appointment
with the