M.R.O. 1—20,002—12-8-65.

(1) Number of Pages 6

(2) Date of repair 28.12.67

(3) Initials of the Referencer 7/4.68 24.4.

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

Rev. DEPARTMENT

G.O. No. 1428 Press
B.P. No. Mis.

Dated 29-9-1875 196 .

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Beware

1426/1

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
29th September 1875.

No. 256. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 5th May 1875, No. 1,162 :—

Read the following letter from R. K. PUCKLE, Esq., Acting Director of Revenue Settlement, to H. E. STOKES, Esq., Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Tiruvattiyur, 2nd April 1875, No. 629-15.

I HAVE the honor to furnish the information called for in Board's Proceedings, No. 2,284, dated 23rd ultimo.

2. In paragraph 4 of the late Director's letter to the Board, embodied in Board's Proceedings, No. 1,622, dated 29th June last, it is stated that "the increase in area in Cuddapah between faslis 1273 and 1282 represents, for the most part, the 3,704 acres of newly-cultivated land lately demarcated by us. The assessment of this area has hitherto been merely nominal, and as, in many cases, highly-assessed lands have been given up for more lowly-rated ones, this will explain why there is a falling off in assessment, while there is an increase in area." This statement in no way referred to the revision of assessment by settlement, but related solely to transactions that had occurred under the old revenue system immediately prior to settlement, and was alluded to merely to illustrate one cause of discrepancy between the old revenue figures adopted for the year, upon which the settlement proposals were based, and those adopted as a comparison with the results of the settlement actually made nine years later. The statement, in fact, in no way affects the new settlement, as seems to be apprehended by Government in paragraph 1 of G. O., dated 24th July 1874, No. 919, and the reference has no doubt arisen from the Deputy Director's words having been quoted by the late Director in his covering letter, without further allusion to the circumstances that induced the Deputy Director to draw a comparison between the old revenue figures of fasli 1273 and those of fasli 1282.

SUBMITTED for the information of Government, with reference to G. O., No. 919, dated 24th July 1874. The Director of Revenue Settlement points out that the resignations alluded to from over-assessment were made prior to and not subsequent to the settlement, and were due to the high assessment of certain lands on the old system and not the revised rates of assessment.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) H. E. STOKES,
Acting Secretary.

No. 257. ORDER THEREON, 29th September 1875, No. 1,428.

Miscellaneous.

FROM these reports the Government learn with satisfaction that the apprehensions expressed in G. O., 24th July 1874, No. 919, Revenue Department, that the new settlement of the taluqs of Jamulmudugu and Koilkuntla had led to the relinquishment of superior lands in favor of inferior are shown to be ungrounded.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) L. A. CAMPBELL,
Under-Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.
,, the Acting Director of Revenue Settlement.
Exd. F. R. Bantleman.

J 14-2-07

Govt. of [1875] Madras.

REVENUE.

To

THE BOARD OF REVENUE.

&c., &c., &c.

Dated September 29th,

Read. *Encl.*

G. O., 29th September 1875, No. 1,428.

SEPTEMBER.

Nos. 256-257.

New Settlement of the Taluqs of Jamulmudugu and Koilkuntla in the Cuddapah and Kurnool Districts.—Observing, with satisfaction, that the apprehensions expressed in G. O., 24th July 1874, No. 919, that the — had led to the relinquishment of superior lands in favor of inferior are shown to be ungrounded.

1428

2

No. 1,162.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 5th May 1875.

Read the following letter from R. K. PUCKLE, Esq., Acting Director of Revenue Settlement, to H. E. STOKES, Esq., Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Tiruvattiyur, 2nd April 1875, No. 629-15:—

I HAVE the honor to furnish the information called for in Board's Proceedings, No. 2,384, dated 23rd ultimo.

2. In paragraph 4 of the late Director's letter to the Board, embodied in Board's Proceedings, No. 1,622, dated 29th June last, it is stated that "the increase in area in Cuddapah between Faslis 1273 and 1282 represents for the most part the 3,704 acres of newly cultivated land lately demarcated by us. The assessment of this area has hitherto been merely nominal, and as, in many cases, highly assessed lands have been given up for more lowly rated ones, this will explain why there is a falling off in assessment while there is an increase in area." This statement in no way referred to the revision of assessment by settlement, but related solely to transactions that had occurred under the old revenue system immediately prior to settlement, and was alluded to merely to illustrate one cause of discrepancy between the old revenue figures adopted for the year upon which the settlement proposals were based and those adopted as a comparison with the results of the settlement actually made nine years later. The statement in fact in no way affects the new settlement, as seems to be apprehended by Government in paragraph 1 of G. O., dated 24th July 1874, No. 919, and the reference has no doubt arisen from the Deputy Director's words having been quoted by the late Director in his covering letter, without further allusion to the circumstances that induced the Deputy Director to draw a comparison between the old revenue figures of Fasli 1273, and those of Fasli 1282.

SUBMITTED for the information of Government with reference to G. O., No. 919, dated 24th July 1874. The Director of Revenue Settlement points out that the resignations alluded to from over-assessment were made prior to and not subsequent to the settlement, and were due to the high assessment of certain lands on the old system and not the revised rates of assessment.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

H Stokes

Acting Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department.

Copy to the Director of Revenue Settlement.

,, to the Collector of Cuddapah.

,, to the Collector of Kurnool.

Exd. W. H. French.

G O 24 July/74 No 195-96
31 March 75 - 601 Ma

Rec: 1875: Sept
No 1829

No 97.

1875.

Board of Revenue,

MADRAS.

PROCEEDINGS, 5TH MAY 1875, No. 1,162.

Dup 2 11 May 75

Encl.

Received 18 May

MAY.

No. 1,162.

Settlement—of certain taluqs in Cuddapah and Kurnool Districts.—Letter from Director of Revenue Settlement, pointing out that certain resignations from over-assessment were made prior to, and not subsequent to, the new settlement, and were due to high assessment of the lands on the old system and not, to the revised rates of assessment, submitted to Government with reference to their Order No. 919, of 24th July 1874.

No 1428-29 September 1875

Settle order

Encl 2 Sept/75 + c 16

No 1428

From the above the Govt learn with satisfaction that the apprehensions entertained in G.O. 24 July 1874. No 919. N.D. that the new settlement of the Taluqs of Gunnel under the Nallamalla hills would lead to the relinquishment of superior lands in favour of inferior, as there is reason to

1 Ltr from Board of Revenue 12 Aug
1 Ditto Dy Sept

29 Sept/75.