

(1) Number of Pages.....20
(2) Date of repair 19. 7. 1968
(3) Initials of the Referencer 2/18

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

Revenue DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.
B.P. No.

1345

Press
Mis.

Dated 25. 6. 1975 196 .

Not to be folded or roughly handled

List of Civil Suits for the transfer of puttees the defence of which at the public cost was sanctioned by the Collector under the Board's Standing Order No. $\frac{226}{5}$ of 1874 for the quarter ending 31st March 1875.

Plaintiff and
Defendants

Substance
of the
Paint

Substance of the Response

Collector's name
No. and date

Suite No 200 of Muruga Pillay of Mogayar Thatou Thandava
1874, District in Chidambaram Taluk -roya Pillay, the
Munsiff of Versus father of the 1st and
Chidambaram 1. Muthusawmy Pillay grand father of the 2nd
30 January 1875- 2. Sasasiva Pillay Defendant. Another
3. A. S. Lister Esquire Anantha Pillai
Ag Subcollector of and the Plaintiff
South Arcob. are divided brothers;
that Anantha Pillai
having died without
issue the Plaintiff
is entitled to a
moiety of the lands
which fell to his
share; that these
lands are entered
in the Pattab of

the 2nd Defendant's deceased father
Periaperumal Pillai; that the 3rd Defend-
-ant on being applied to directed the transfer
of this Puttal to the name of the 2nd Defend-
-ant and that puttal for a half share of
these lands should be made out in the
name of the Plaintiff.

Camp Vasudevapur
9 January 1875

That the puttah in question stood in the name of the deceased Periapernumal Pillai, that the 2nd Defendant was his son and prima facie heir, and that therefore the 3rd Defendant directed the transfer of the Puttah to the name of the 2nd Defendant unless such transfer was stayed within six months by an injunction of a Competent Court; that notice of the present suit having been received within the above period the transfer in question has not been carried out ^{and will not be carried out} until the decision of the suit by which this Defendant is willing to abide.

And the 3rd Defendant prays for
his Costs

The value of the suit as entered
in the Plaint is Rupees 229-7-10

Signed / A. L. Lister

By Subcollector.

District Munsiff
Court. Chidambaram

Kasi Aik
Versus

That the plaintiff
requested 2nd Defendant

250 of 1874
Date of hearing 3
was fixed for the
30 January 1875
but an extension for
one month is ap-
plied for.

1. Ramaswami Aik
for A. L. Fisher Esq.
By Sub Collector.

Mr Fisher that Puttali
for certain land which
Plaintiff's father had
purchased from the
1st Defendant might
be transferred to his
own name and that
2nd Defendant Mr. di-
-has declined to comply
with his request.

Plaintiff therefore prays for the transfer of the
Puttali.

466
1874 on the file of
the District Munsiff.

Laminada Pillai
Versus

Court of Villapamam
17 March 1875.

1. Subbaraya Pillai
2. Head Aftt Collr of South
Arcot.

that he purchased the
land in question from
the 1st Defendant for
Rs 500 in 1858, that it is

in his possession ever since it was purchased, that the 1st Defendant
who is the Puttadar refuses to transfer the Puttali in his name,
that he applied to the Head Aftt Collr the 2nd Defendant but he
declined to interfere and referred him to the Civil Court.
Prays that the puttali for the land now standing in the
name of the 1st Defendant may be transferred to his name.

That 2nd Defendant had no au-
-thority to transfer a puttali standing in
the name of 1st Defendant the registered
holder who is living, without his express
consent.

He therefore prays that the suit as
regards him may be dismissed with costs.

4 January 1875
Camp. Cuddalore }
Sigs Charles Rough
By Head Aftt Collector
in charge of Sub Division

The 2nd Defendant submits that the Plaintiff
has no cause of action against him as he
has been included as Co-defendant only pro-
forma being the divisional officer who alone
can make the transfer of Puttali, that he is
ready to issue the Puttali in the name of
any person or persons in whose favor the Court
may so decree and he therefore prays that
as regards him the suit may be dismissed
with costs.

4 February 1875
Cuddalore }
Sigs J. Thomson
Aftt Collector in
charge of Head Assistant
Collector's Office

Answer Approved

8-2-75
Sigs J. H. Garsden
Collector

Answer Approved.

8-2-75
Sigs J. H. Garsden
Collector.

1345/3

633 of 1874 on the *Plaintiff* That the plaintiff
 file of the District *Thy-lammal* widow of on the death of her
 Munsiffs of Virsa-Subbramaniam Pillai of husband without any
 Chellam - Date of *Alichigudi* in Virsa-chellam issue about 25 years
 hearing 19 February *Salug*
 1875. Extension has *Chioambara* Pillai 1. ago got his puttah
 been applied for, for *Vadamalai* Pillai 2. 2nd Defendant's name
 a month from the sons of *Appu* Pillai (2nd brother of the deceased
 above date - Value *Krishna* Pillai 3 puttahs) but that
 of the suit No 39-13-11 son of the 2nd Defendant the land has all
 Two months extension *A. L. Lister Esquire* 4 along been in her
 applied for.

own enjoyment and
 the *teerwa* was paid by herself, that she is now
 disturbed in her enjoyment by the 2nd Defendant
 and therefore the plaintiff prays that the land
 may be put in her possession and that the
 4th Defendant may be directed to transfer
 the *Puttah* to her name.

Cuddalore Dis. *Plaintiff* That the Plaintiff
 District Munsiff's *Pommai* Ramasami Naik - iff requested the
 Spt. 217 of 1874 *Versus* 3rd Defendant (Mr
 Date of hearing *Arur* Rungasami Naik 1. Sister) that puttah
 9 April 1875 *Dorasami* Naik 2 for certain land
 Value of the suit *A. L. Lister Esq.* 3 which he purchased
 is Rs 25- - - from

1345
 That the original transfer of the *Answer Approved*
 Plaintiff's puttah to 2nd Defendant was made 20 February 1875
 in accordance with her application - as *Sigs / J. H. Garstin*
 admitted in her plaint and that now the *Collector*
 4th Defendant has no authority to transfer a
 puttah registered in the name of the 2nd
 Defendant who is living without his
 express consent.

He therefore prays that the suit
 as against him may be dismissed with
 costs.

Camp Cuddalore *Sigs / Charles Hough*
 16 February 1875 *Sigs / J. H. Garstin*
in charge.

The undersigned for the 3rd Defendant *Answer Approved*
 submits. with the alterations
 noted
 1. That the 1st Defendant is the eldest 20 February 1875
 son and *prima facie* heir of the deceased *Sigs / J. H. Garstin*
 puttahdar *Arur* Krishnappa Naik, and *Collector*
 his name was ordered to be entered in
 the

from the 1st Defendant's father since deceased might be transferred to his name and that the 3rd Defendant declining to grant the plaintiff's request ordered the transfer of the puttah to the name of the 1st Defendant.

The plaintiff therefore prays for the transfer of the puttah to his name.

9th February 1875

the puttah after the expiration of six months time from the 17th September 1873 as notified at page 307 of the District Gazette.

2. That no injunction was within that time produced from a Competent Court to restrain the 3rd Defendant from transferring the puttah, the transfer was effected at the farmabundi of fasli 1283.

3. That the undersigned is prepared to issue the puttah to any one that the Court may decree to be entitled thereto and he prays therefore that the suit as against him be dismissed with costs.

Signed Charles Hough
By Head of Public Division.

The undersigned for the 1st Defendant. Approved with the alteration noted.
and Mr. Lister submits.

1. That there is no cause of action against the Sub-collector as no application for the transfer of puttah was ever made by the plaintiff nor were any orders passed.

2. That the undersigned is prepared to transfer the puttah to any one that the Court may decree to be entitled to.

2nd March 1875.
Signed J. N. Garsden
Collector.

Viduchellam Veeranna Reddi guardian The Plaintiff sues
Dist. Munsiff's Court of Karayanna Reddi minor for the transfer of
647 of 1874 and adoptive son of Anna-puttah in the name
Date of hearing - chella Reddy of Vinayagur of one Karayanna Reddi
2.6 February 1875. in the Viduchellam Taluq. a minor and the adoptive
An extension of two Versus
months has been Mootthos Reddi
applied for. Value Venkatarama Reddi
of the suit Rs 1212-2-11 Karayanna Reddi
as Mother's share

1. Reddy who died is useless
2. and in whose name the
3. puttah for the land in question

as mentioned in the plaint. —
 Muttukrishna Periy — 4 question now stands.
 Rama Periy — 5 In the plaint it is said
 Annamalai Periy — 6 that the Ag Subcollector
 A. L. Lishev Esq — 7 is included as Defend-
 — ant in the present suit simply because he has the
 authority to issue puttahs.

413 of 1874, on the file *Ramanatha Padayachi* The plaintiff sues
of the District Muns. alias *Ramm Padayachi* son for the transfer of a
siff of *Chidambaram* of *Simmathu padayachi* of *puttath* in his name
Date of hearing *Mamargudi, Chidambaram* in the following grounds
13th March 1875 but *Polig* 1. That an extent of
an extension for one *Simmathorai* *Syr* 9 Acres 11 Cents of Land
month from that 2. *Subbaya* *Surnam* of was originally held on
rate has been ap. a *Probnayyadi* *Mayamaram* *puttath* before *Rama*
plied for. 3. *Venkata* *subramania* *Syr* *Syr*. Out of this he
Value of Suit Rs 148-13-8 4. *Ayasastris* mortgaged Acres 6-82

5. A. L. Lister Esquire. to a brother of the 1st Defendant. From the time of mortgage his brother and after his death the 1st Defendant himself have had nominal putta for the land but the enjoyment was with Rama Iyer who having redeemed the land in question from mortgage by paying the debt, sold it to the 1st Defendant in

As it.

We therefore pray that the suit be dismissed
with costs as far as the 4th Defendant is concerned.

Perko Inno } Wm Charles Kough
27 February 1875 } Agt Genl. & Sp^l Collector
in charge of Sub Division.

The undersigned for the 5th Defendant submits
 Defence sanctioned and draft answer approved.
 As to the 1st item in the plaint
 An appeal was made to 5th Defendant and
 no order was passed by him therefore no cause
 of action.

15 March 1875
 J. H. G. G. G.
 Collector

2. Puttali shared in the name of a person now living and without his consent or an Order of Court 5th Defendant is incompetent to transfer it.

As to 2nd item.

3. Defendant acted in accordance with Standing Orders of Board of Revenue

4. The puttah has not yet been transferred through plaintiff failed to produce any in-
junction

5 The undersigned is prepared in both cases to
issue the puttak to any one that the Court may
deem to be entitled thereto and prays therefore
that

that the suit as against the 5th defendant be dismissed with costs.

Sigs / G.D. Irvine
Ag Sub collector

in 1869 and the same was bought by the plaintiff in 1874 from the 1st Defend.

ant. The land is thence in the Plaintiff's enjoyment. The plaintiff therefore requests to direct the 5th Defendant to transfer the puttah in his name as it now stands. Nominally in the name of the 4th Defendant.

II That the puttah was Rameswar died. In Hashi 1283 the 3rd Defendant a divided member of the plaintiff's family requested the 5th Defendant to transfer the puttah for Ann 2.29 in his name. Notwithstanding the objection raised by the 1st Defendant the 5th Defendant has

published a notice in the District Gazette to the effect that the puttah will be transferred in the name of the 3rd Defendant unless restrained by a Civil Court's injunction. The plaintiff therefore requests for the cancellation of the above order and that the 5th Defendant may be directed to transfer it in his name.

13 March 1875
Cuddalore

491
1874 on the file of the
V. Papuram District
Munaff's Court. Date
of hearing 3rd April 1875
Value of the Suit is
Rupees 18-10-4

Vedantha Chetty	Plaintiff state
Veraay	that his father
Ayasami Pillai	1 purchased the
Manelommal	2 land in question
Venakachetty	3 from the 1 st Defend.
Vedantha Chetty	4 and for Rs 10-8

The 6th Defendant submits Defence Sane-
that the plaintiff has no cause of action against him as he has been answer approved
included as co-defendant only pro-
forma being the divisional officer who
19 March 1875
Sigs / J.H. Garstin
Collector

Krishnamachari — 5 in 1855
Hd. Asst. Collector — 6

That since his father's death the land fell to his share and is in his enjoyment.

That the 1st Defendant refuses to transfer the putta to his name, that he applied to the Head Asst. Collector the 6th

Defendant who declined to interfere and referred him to the Civil Court. Prays that the putta for the land now standing in the name of 1st Defendant may be transferred to his name.

Collector's office
South Arcot
4 June 1875
Camp Trichalore

Copy

who alone can make the transfer of putta and that he cannot do this without the consent of the registered holder (1st Defendant) which was not given. That he is ready to issue the putta in the name of any person in whose favor the Court may so decree.

Prays that as regards him the Suit may be dismissed with costs.

Lindemann } Wd. Charles Hough
18 March 1875 } Ag. Head Asst. Collr.

Signed / J. H. Garstin
Collector.

True Copy

H. H. H.

Acting Secretary

1345/8

Revenue Department.

Miscellaneous No 5562

134⁵/₉

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue

Dated 21st June 1875.

Read list, from the Collector of South Arcot dated 4th June 1875, No 242, of Civil suits for the transfer of puttahs, the defence of which was sanctioned by him under the Board's Standing Order No 296 of 1874 for the quarter ending 31st March 1875.

Submitted for the information
of Government.

(True Extract)

H. H. Turner

Acting Secretary

To The Secretary to Government
Revenue Department with
copy of Statement.

Edw
Copd R

Enclosure in forwarding
of the Board of Revenue to
the Secretary to Government
= month, Revenue Department
dated 21st June 1875, No 5562

No 2434/75

Rev 29/75

Copy of a list of Civil
suits for the transfer
of puttahs, the defence
of which was sanctioned
by the Collector of South
Arcot for the quarter ending
31st March 1875.

Aug: 1875: 346
1876: 491 - 1874: 34
Proceedings of the

Board of Revenue

Oct 21st }
Repts 24 } June 1875
June 25th

and

Submitting for the
information of Govt
and from the Govt
J. Hook of Civil with
for the transfer of outlets,
the adequacy of which was
sanctioned by him for
the quarter ending 31st
March 1875. Remd 28

To the Board of Revenue, July

25 June 1875