M.R.O. 1—20,002—12-8-65.

(1) Number of Pages 20.

(2) Date of repair 19.7.1968

(3) Initials of the Referencer

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

Revenue DEPARTMENT

G.O. No. / B.P. No.	1344	Press / Mis.

Dated 25.6.1875 196 .

Not to be folded or roughly handled

List of Civil Suits for the transfer of Pattahs, the defence of which at the public cost was sanctioned by the Collector under the Board's Standing Order No. 296/5 of 1874 for the District ending 31st Dec. 1874.

Court and number and date of hearing	Plaintiff / Defendant	Substance of plaint	Substance of defence	Collector's order, number and date
Cuddapah No.				

13 & 4
2

1 Suit at No 316 of 1874 on the file of the District Munsiffs Court at Villupuram.

Date of hearing 4th December 1874.

1. Venkatachela Gounden.
2. Rania Gounden.
3. Gounda Gounden
4. Kóriyana Gounden

Versus

1. Vania Vandappa Chetty
2. Head Assistant Collector of South Arcot.

The Plaintiffs state that their father purchased the land in question from the 1st Defendant for Rs. 2 on July 11th 1859, that it had been in the possession of their father now deceased & continues to be in their possession, that the 1st Defendant refused to transfer the puttah to their names; that they appealed to the Head Assistant Collector the 2nd Defendant but he declined interference in the matter.

Pray that the puttah for the land in question now standing in the name of the 1st Defendant may be transferred to their names.

The value of the Suit is Rs. 5-13-6

Camp. Panchanadeey.
10th November

The 2nd Defendant submits that the Plaintiffs have no cause of action against him as he has been included as a Co-defendant only pro-forma as being the divisional officer who alone can make transfer of the Puttah, that he is ready to issue the Puttah in the name of any person or persons in whose favor the Court may so decree, and he therefore prays that as regards him the Suit may be dismissed with costs.

Sd/ Charles Kreyle
By Head Assistant
Collector.

Approved.
17th November 1874
Cuddalore
Sd/ J. H. Garstin
Collector.

(34)
3

2 Suit No. 313 of 1874 on the file of the District Munsiff of Villupuram.

Date of hearing Decm^r 2^d 1874.

Parasuraina Mudali Versus 1. Venkatachela Mudali. 2. Head Assistant Collector of South Arcot

The Plaintiff states that he & the 1st Defendant are divided brothers that each is in possession of the portion of their ancestral property that fell to his share, the Puttah for the land containing in the name of 1st Defendant the elder of the Brothers who refuses to present a transfer Razinamah for his portion in his favor, that he applied to the Head Assistant Collector, 2nd Defendant who declined interference in the matter.

Prays that the Puttah for the land in question may be issued in his name. The value of the suit is Rupees 126-1-9.

Tindevanam
17th November 1874

The 2nd Defendant submits that the Plaintiff has no cause of action against him as he has been included as a Co-defendant only pro-forma as being the divisional officer who alone can make transfer of the Puttah, that he is ready to issue the Puttah in the name of any person in whose favor the Court may so decree and he therefore prays that as regards him the Suit may be dismissed with costs.

[Sd] Charles Keogh
Ag Head Assistant-
Collector.

The proposed answer is approved.
[Sd] J. H. Garstin
Collector.
25th November 1874.

3 District Munsiffs Court of Vridachalam
No 178 of 1874
Date of hearing 2nd Dec 1874.
Application has been made to the Court to adjourn the Suit upto the 10th Proxmo.

Rangammal Veraa
1. Heera Kheiammal
2. Peria Thaila Pillai
3. A. L. Lister Esq
Ag Sub Collector.

That a petition for certain lands which stood in the name of the Plaintiff husband has been made out jointly in the names of the Plaintiff and the 1st Defendant her brother-in-law, (the mother of the deceased) whereas under the Hindu law the Plaintiff, is sole heir to her husband who died issue-less.

That it was reported to this Defendant that the land in question was jointly enjoyed by Plaintiff and her mother-in-law, and that therefore a notice was published in the Gazette proclaiming that a joint Puttah would be made out in their names unless within six months this defendant was restrained from so doing by an injunction of Court and that no such injunction having been received within the time allowed the transfer notified was duly carried out.

This defendant is however willing to retransfer the Puttah in the name of any person whom the Court may adjudge to be entitled thereto and prays for his costs.

[sig.] A. L. Lister
Ag Sub Collector.

The value of the Suit as entered in the Plaintiffs Rupees 100-11-3.

Proposed answer is approved.

[sig.] J. H. Garstin
Collector.

2nd December 1874.

24th November 1874
Vridachalam

4 District Munsiff's Court of Chedambaram
332 of 1874
Date of hearing 12th Decr 74.
Value of the Suit is Rupees 619-13-0 as mentioned in the plaint.

Ramasami Pillai
Versus
Subbaya Chetty
K. Le Faux Esq
Ag Sub Collector.

That the Puttah held by the deceased Nyanpennual Pillai who is the grandson of a divided uncle of the Plaintiff was improperly made out in the name of the 1st Defendant who is the son of the deceased sister, whereas the Plaintiff is heir to the deceased property under the Hindu Law; and has performed his obsequies and that Subbaya Chetty the 1st Defendant is not entitled to the property having lost his caste.

Viradachalam
30th November 1874

Nyanpennual Pillai died without issue; it was reported that his sister the 1st Defendant's mother was in enjoyment of the lands. This defendant therefore notified that the 1st Defendant's name would be entered in the Puttah unless parties objecting to the change restrained him from so doing by an injunction of a Competent Court within six months. No such injunction was received and the transfer was accordingly carried out.

The 2nd Defendant is prepared to abide by the decision of the Court and prays for his costs and the dismissal of the suit as regards him.

Sd/ A. L. Lister
Ag. Sub Collector.

Approved.
Sd/ J. H. Garstin
Collector.
13444/6

5 District Munsiff's Court of Cuddalore
O.S. No. 510 of 1874
Date of hearing 15-1-1875
Value of the suit Rs. 20-10-0

Subbaya Gounden
Versus.
Pecia Pein
Chinnathe Gounden
Narayanaigounden
Muttammal

The Subject of the Suit is for 1 transfer of puttah 2 for certain land 3 in the Plaintiff's 4 name from that of

As modified by the Collector.
The 1st Defendant as the registered owner of the land had the right to transfer it to whomsoever he pleased and the Revenue Authorities having no power to enquire into the merits of

Sanctioned
Sd/ J. H. Garstin
Collector.
2nd June 74.

Srinivasa Chetty — 5 of Penedapeim
Tahsildar of Cuddalore — 6 the 1st Defdt.
Venkataramaiah — 6 -ent in the villag
Venkatasubbiah, Addl. — 7 of Allenipat
Dy Collr S. Arest — 7 by virtue of pur-
=chase. The 1st
Defendant filed
a razinamah on the 20th Novr 1873 to substitute Sri-
=nivasa Reddy's name in his place for Respt. 1-Ds.
The notice provided for in Standing Order No 149
was duly issued. The petitioner urged his right
for Rs. 1-12-0 before the Surveyor on mortgage. A
notice was sent by the Tahsildar to the Plaintiff
on the 31st January 1874 directing him to present
his claim within 8 days and file his documents. This
was served upon him on the 10th February and
his signature obtained thereto. He however
presented a petition to the Assistant Collector
on the 6th January 1874 requesting to transfer
the puttah in his name which was dismissed
by Mr. Thompson with an endorsement under date the
22nd February on the Tahsildar's urge petn dated
18th idem. Thereupon the Tahsildar has issued
an order to transfer the puttah in accordance with
the Razinamah in the name of Srinivasa Reddy on the
22nd April last. The puttah has not yet been transfrd
and will be done in the ensuing Jamabundi if the order is not
set aside in the meanwhile.

31st October 1874
Cuddalore.

Cd

of an alleged purchase by Plaint-
=iff of part of the land were pe-
=titioned in the absence of an
injunction of Court in allowing
the registry as requested by
1st Defendant.

This Defendant prays
that this answer may be taken
as covering the 6th Defendant,
his Subordinate, also, and
that the suit as against
them may be dismissed with costs.

(Sd) S. Venkatasubbiah
Addl. Deputy Collector.

South street
Collector's office
Camp. Trichy
4th June 1875

(Sd) J. H. Garstin
Collector.

(True copy)

H Thom
Ag Secretary.

1397/7

-65.

15/8

H Ellerman
Ag Secretary

To The Secretary to
Government [illegible]

with copy of Statement

E Q
Capt 4 C.B.

Revenue Department

Miscellaneous No. 5567. 1349/9

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 21st June 1875

Read list from the Collector of South Arcot dated 4th June 1875 No. 241, of Civil Suits for the transfer of Puttahs the defence of which at the public cost was sanctioned by the Collector under the Board's Standing order No. 296/5 of 1874 for the Quarter ending 31st December 1874.

Submitted for the information of Government.

/True Extract/

H Ellis
Ag Secretary

To
The Secretary to
Government R. D.
with copy of Statement.

C/L
Copied C.B.

Collector in Council Papers
of the Board of Revenue
to the Secretary to
Government R.D. dated
2nd June 1875 Miscellaneous No. 5567.

Rec 2431/75

Rec 494/75

Letter Civil Suits for the transfer of Puttahs the defence of which at the public cost was sanctioned by the Collector under the Board's Standing Order No 296/5 of 1874 for the quarter ending 31st December 1874.

25/6/1875

Rev. 1875: Draft
No 2431
No 474

Proceedings of the
Board of Revenue

Dated 21st June 1875
Desp 21 —"—
Rec'd 25th —"—

encl.

Submitting for the infor-
=mation of Govt list of
Suits (civil) for the trans-
=fer of Pattahs the defaul
of which at the Public Auc
was sanctioned by the
Collector under the Board's
Standing Order No 296/5
of 1874 for the Pending
31st December 1874 No 1344
Recd.

1 Encl. Board's Order dated 1 July

25 June/75