

(1) Number of Pages.....10  
 (2) Date of repair.....14.12.1967  
 (3) Initials of the Referencer.....23.4.68

# MADRAS RECORD OFFICE

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

*Revenue* DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.  
 B.P. No.

1252

Press  
 Mis.

Dated 26.8.1875 196 .

Not to be folded or roughly handled

1252  
1

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,  
20th August 1875.*

No. 191. Read the following letter from H. H. SHEPARD, Esq., Officiating Government Pleader, Madras, to A. MACGREGOR, Esq., Acting Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, dated 4th August 1875, No. 80 :—

In acknowledging the receipt of the Proceedings of Government, Revenue Department, noted in the margin, I have the honor to state that, although it is certainly not necessary that Government should be joined as defendant in the suit instituted by R. Strinivassa Chariar, I think it is as well the proposed application should be made to the Court in order to prevent the proceedings being carried on collusively and to secure the dismissal of the suit.

2. Whatever facts the plaintiff may be ready to prove with regard to his claim to the property on the death of the 1st defendant, the plaint does not seem to me to disclose any such circumstances as would, according to the decision of the Privy Council in *Kathama Natchiar* versus *Dorasinga Tever* (L. R. 2, Indian Appeals 169), entitle him to a declaratory decree; and I think, therefore, that the suit ought to be dismissed in the first instance.

3. I return the enclosures.

No. 192. ORDER THEREON, 20th August 1875, No. 1,252.

Miscellaneous.

The Government are of opinion that the Government Pleader has shown good grounds for deciding that Government should, to prevent collusion, be made a party to the suit. He is, therefore, authorised to take the necessary measures in that behalf.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) A. MACGREGOR  
Acting Secretary to Government.

To the Officiating Government Pleader.  
„ the Board of Revenue.

Exd. F. R. Bantleman.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

No. 3058

1252  
2

*Govt Pleader* Dated *4 August* 1875.

FOR ORDERS.

*I agree with Mr. Shepley in thinking that Govt. had better have made a party to the suit to obviate possible collusion.*

*L.B.*

*Yes I think this the best course to take*  
*W.B.*  
*10/10*

GOV. OF 1875

REVENUE.

OFFICIALS GOVERNMENT

PLEADER.

No. 191-192

Dated August 20th.

Recd. G. O. 20th August 1875, No. 1,352.

AUGUST.  
Nos. 191-192.

Ent No. 16 of 1874 filed in the Circuit Court of the District of Columbia by one Elizabeth Cherry, — Authorizing the Offending Government Pleader to take the necessary measures for making Government a party to —

FOR ORDERS.

Suit No 15 of 1875  
 filed in Chingleput  
 District Court by one  
 Strimivasa Chari

Date 15<sup>th</sup> July  
 1875  
 No 1068

No 80 132/14  
 Madras  
 4<sup>th</sup> August 1875

Mr. H. S. Shephard Esqre  
 Off<sup>r</sup> Government Pleader  
 Madras

To  
 A. Macgregor Esqre.  
 Sec<sup>y</sup> to Government  
 Revenue Department

Sir  
 In acknowledging the receipt  
 of the Proceedings of Government  
 Revenue Department noted in  
 the margin, I have the honor  
 to state that, although it is  
 certainly not necessary that  
 Government should be joined  
 as defendant in the suit  
 instituted by P. Strimivasa  
 Chariar, I think it is as  
 well the proposed application  
 should be made to the Court  
 in order to prevent the proceedings  
 being carried on collusively and

to secure the dismissal of the suit

2. Whatever facts the Plaintiff  
may be ready to prove with  
regard to his claim to the  
property on the death of the  
1<sup>st</sup> defendant; the plaint does  
not seem to me to disclose any  
such circumstances as would,  
according to the decision of the  
Privy Council in Eastham  
Natchiar v Doraswami Siver  
(L. R. 2 Indian appeals 169),  
entitle him to a declaratory  
decree and I think therefore  
that the suit ought to be dismissed  
in the first instance

3. I return the enclosures.

I have the honor to be  
Your most <sup>ob</sup>ed<sup>t</sup> servant

H. H. Thapar

Off<sup>r</sup> Government Secy

13 Aug 75 1875 19 Aug 75

Despatch from Minutes. No 1252. 20 Aug 75.  
 The Govt an of opinion that the  
 off for Madras has shown good  
 funds for deciding that Govt  
 should to prevent collusion in  
 under a part to the suit. He is  
 therefore authorizing Madras the necessary  
 measures in that behalf. Am

Received by the Secy to Govt of Madras  
 20 Aug 75  
 1875  
 1875  
 1875

Am: 1875: 244-  
 15:3050.  
 From the Govt of Madras  
 to the Secy to Govt of Madras  
 Re: 1875: 244-  
 15:3050.  
 (Two Enclosures)  
 dated 16th 1875  
 filed in the Secy to Govt of Madras  
 District Court by one  
 of the parties to the suit.  
 Enclosure whether the  
 Govt should be notified  
 as a part in —  
 Advise as to  
 for order  
 Am