

(1) Number of Pages 34  
 (2) Date of repair 29.11.1967  
 (3) Initials of the Referencer 23-2.68

# MADRAS RECORD OFFICE

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

Revenue DEPARTMENT

|          |          |       |
|----------|----------|-------|
| G.O. No. | 1170     | Press |
| B.P. No. |          | Mis-  |
| Dated    | 2.8.1875 | 196 . |

Not to be folded or roughly handled

1170

---

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,  
2nd August 1875.*

---

Read the following:—

No. 24. Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 1st July 1875, No. 1,827, Forest No. 126.

Read the following papers:—

Read Proceedings of the Madras Government, dated 17th June 1875, No. 920, Revenue Department:—

From A. WEDDERBURN, Esq., Collector of Coimbatore, to H. E. STOKES, Esq., Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated 22nd June 1875, No. 187.

WITH reference to the Board's remark in their Proceedings, No. 103A-1,544, of 8th June 1875, that Extract Minutes of Consultation, 2nd June 1854, No. 630, has not been communicated to the Board, I have the honor to refer to the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 5th June 1854, No. 492, Public Works Department.

From the same officer, dated 24th June 1875, No. 189.

WITH reference to Board's Proceedings, No. 103A, of 8th June 1875, I have the honor to forward, for the information of the Board of Revenue and of Government, copy of a letter\* from the Acting Resident of Travancore and Cochin, of

\*No. — of 18th June 1875.

which the object is apparently to postpone the delineation of the boundary. The Cochin Dewan is in doubt whether the demarcation in question is a demarcation or a settlement. The survey, I understand, provides for both—a permanent record, both on the ground and on paper, of the settlement, which seems to have been already decided by Government as before stated.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.

From Major W. HAY, Acting Resident in Travancore and Cochin, to A. WEDDERBURN, Esq., Collector of Coimbatore, dated 18th June 1875, No. —.

I HAVE the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 28th ultimo, No. 106, which was duly communicated to the Dewans of Travancore and Cochin, and whose replies I have now received.

2. The G. Os., No. 1,317, dated 13th October 1874, and No. 425, dated 15th March 1875, Revenue Department, alluded to by you have not been received in this office.

3. The Dewan of Cochin is in doubt whether the demarcation now proposed is for the settlement of boundaries between the Travancore and Cochin States on the one hand, and the adjacent British district on the other, as proposed by Mr. Ballard, and approved by Government in their order, dated 10th June 1874, No. 712, or an action of the Revenue Survey Department which Government then considered should be subordinate to the general scheme sketched by the Resident.

4. In the former case, the Dewan observes that it would be desirable to postpone the demarcation till the final settlement of the boundary is effected, and in the latter case he regrets that His Highness' Government is at present unable to depute any officer on this duty on such short notice, the only officer available for the purpose being now on leave. When he resumes duty, the Dewan will communicate to you the date on which he will be able to meet Mr. Douglas, if the object in view is the final settlement of the boundary as abovementioned.

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,  
2nd August 1875.*

5. I learn that the Dewan has forwarded to you direct a copy of his letter to me, and, with reference to the 3rd paragraph of it, I request you will be good enough to explain the work which is contemplated to be first taken in hand.

6. With reference to Travancore, the Dewan states that when the Commissioners appointed to settle the boundary between Travancore and British India resume work, which they will do when the fair weather sets in again, he hopes to have the entire boundary between Tinnevely and Travancore demarcated during the next season, and then Madura and Coimbatore will follow in turn.

7. I would suggest that the Commissioners be allowed to continue the boundary in a continuous line, and that the demarcation of the line between Travancore and Coimbatore be deferred till that between Travancore, Tinnevely, and Madura on the other side is fully completed.

8. Adverting to the last paragraph of your letter, I beg to state that, unless evidence is available to show that Travancore possesses territory on the other side of the water-shed, no contention will be raised by that Government to the water-shed, to be carefully ascertained by the Commissioners, being taken as the line of separation between Travancore and Coimbatore.

(True Copy.)

(Signed) A. WEDDERBURN,  
Collector.

THE Board find that they were in error in stating that Extract Minutes of Consultation, dated 2nd June 1854, No. 630, was not communicated to them. It was recorded in the Tank Department of the office, the records of which were long since transferred to that of the Chief Engineer and thence to the Superintending Engineer, 4th Division. Hence the error, and hence also the Board are now unable to trace the correspondence connected with the order.

2. It appears, however, to refer to the portion of the boundary southward from the Vengoly Peak to the Shoreyaur, or exactly that which it is now proposed to survey, and which was referred to in paragraph 2 of the letter from the Collector of Coimbatore, recorded in Board's Proceedings, dated 21st September 1874, No. 2,699 (G. O., 13th October 1874, No. 1,317), as not a natural boundary and ill-defined.

3. From the letter of the Acting Resident, Travancore and Cochin, forwarded by the Collector of Coimbatore, the Board regret to learn that the Cochin Sirkar is unable to send a representative to be present at the identification and survey of the boundary, and inquires whether the present proceedings are for the settlement of the boundary in pursuance of the scheme proposed by the Resident in 1874, or an action of the Revenue Survey Department, which Government thought should be subordinated to the general scheme.

4. As to what the boundary is to be, the question appears to be settled by the orders of 1854, and what is now to be done is to identify it on the ground and have it surveyed. It is clear that this process cannot be carried out satisfactorily, unless representatives of the Governments of the territories on each side of the line are present. There may be disputes as to small portions of the line, of which that marked on a map to so small a scale as the Trigonometrical map may not be decisive; and the presence of representatives would be necessary to settle such disputes if they could agree, and, if they could not, to prepare matters for reference to the Governments concerned.

5. As, however, the general line should apparently be regarded as already settled by the orders of 1854, and as a present survey will definitely mark it, the Board see no reason to defer work in this quarter, and to delay the survey until

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,  
2nd August 1875.*

the tract is reached in pursuance of the scheme originally sketched by Mr. Ballard, which it cannot be for several seasons. The Board consider, therefore, that the present survey should be completed, liable to such modifications, if any hereafter, as may be found necessary by representatives of both the Governments meeting on the spot. The speedy settlement of the matter is needed in order to the completion of the Coimbatore map.

6. It is requested that early orders may be issued whether the work is to be proceeded with in the absence of a representative from Cochin, otherwise the Board fear that this season will be lost. The party was to assemble on 1st July.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) H. E. STOKES,  
Acting Secretary.

No. 25. Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 16th July 1875, No. 1,999.

Read the following letter from Colonel F. J. B. PRIESTLEY, Superintendent of Revenue Survey, to H. E. STOKES, Esq., Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Chepauk, 2nd July 1875, No. 1,869-75.

WITH reference to the Board's Proceedings noted in the margin, I have the honor to inform you that I learn that the

No. 1,544, dated 8th June 1875. Cochin forest officer, Mr. Stephenson, is at present absent on leave, and that on this and other grounds efforts are being made by the Cochin authorities to postpone the demarcation and settlement of the Cochin frontier line.

2. This is the commencement of the short working season in that locality, and I therefore beg respectfully to urge on the Board the necessity for not losing another season without taking any practical steps towards carrying out this emergent work.

3. I would suggest that the original programme should be adhered to as far as the British Government is concerned, and that a forest officer from Coimbatore should at once commence clearing the line in accordance with former agreements, and that the survey should follow immediately afterwards. I believe that the boundary, as shown in the sheet atlas, was agreed to on a former occasion when the question was discussed.

SUBMITTED to Government in continuation of Board's Proceedings, dated 1st July 1875, No. 126-1,827.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) H. E. STOKES,  
Acting Secretary.

No. 26. ORDER THEREON, 2nd August 1875, No. 1,170.

Miscellaneous.

In the first of these Proceedings, the Board discuss certain letters from the Collector of Coimbatore and the Resident of Travancore regarding the proposed demarcation and survey of the boundary line between Coimbatore and Cochin to the south of Vengoly Peak. With the second they forward a letter from the Superintendent of Revenue Survey on the same subject.

demarcation

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,  
2nd August 1875.*

2. From this correspondence it appears that the Cochin Government are unable to depute an officer to watch the demarcation on their behalf, and it, therefore, becomes a question whether work shall be carried on according to the original programme or whether another season shall be lost.

3. The Board of Revenue remark that the settlement of the boundary cannot be satisfactorily carried out unless representatives of the Governments of the territories on each side of the line are present; but they propose that the demarcation and survey should be now proceeded with and completed, liable to such modification hereafter as may be necessary by representatives of both Governments meeting on the spot. The speedy settlement of the matter is, it is observed, needed, in order to the completion of the Coimbatore survey map, and the view advocated by the Board is shared by the Collector and Superintendent of Revenue Survey.

4. In G. O., 10th June 1874, orders were passed on a scheme drawn up by Mr. Ballard, Resident of Travancore, for the investigation and settlement of the frontier line between the British territory and that of Travancore and Cochin throughout its entire length. The boundary in the neighbourhood of the Annamallays had at that time led to some disputes, and the Board of Revenue had, in their Proceedings, 28th May 1874, recommended that the Cochin Government should be requested to send a representative to be present at the demarcation. This was approved of, but it was observed by Government that this inquiry was to be subordinate to the general scheme sketched by the Resident.

5. That inquiry, which involved land lying on both sides of Vengoly Peak, and therefore a portion of the frontier both of Coimbatore and Malabar, was not carried out as reported in paragraph 5 of the Board of Revenue's Proceedings, 21st September 1874, No. 2,699. The proposal now made is for the present season to limit the inquiry to that portion of the frontier alone which adjoins Coimbatore.

6. The Board explain that the question as to the boundary at that point was decided by Government in their order of 2nd June 1854, No. 630. This order having been communicated to the Board in the Bank Department had escaped notice when the matter was under discussion last year, the records in that department having been transferred to the Superintending Engineer. The order in question accepts the line as indicated in the Trigonometrical survey map, and the Board do not anticipate any important difference of opinion arising as to its identification on the ground.

7. The Government sanction the recommendation of the Board, that the Revenue Survey shall now demarcate and survey the line as laid down in the map and decided by Government in 1854, leaving the Cochin Government to determine whether they will accept or contest that demarcation when the representatives of both Governments are able to meet on the spot.

(True Extract.)

(Signed)

A. MACGREGOR,  
Acting Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.

„ the Superintendent of Revenue Survey.

„ the Acting Resident in Travancore and Cochin.

*Political Dept.*

Edw. F. B. Bantleman.

Coimbatore Collector's Office

No: 189.

24<sup>th</sup> June 1875

1170

From

A. Meddeshim Esqr

Collector of Coimbatore

To

H. E. Stokes Esqr.

Ag Secretary to the  
Board of Revenue  
Madras

Enclosure 1.

Sir,

With reference to "Boards"  
Proceedings No: 103 A of 8<sup>th</sup> June  
1875, I have the honor to forward  
for the information of the Board  
of Revenue, and of Government  
Copy of a letter from the Acting  
President of Travancore and Cochin  
of which the object is apparently  
to postpone the delineation of  
the Boundary. The Cochin  
Dewan is in doubt whether the

Recd - of 18<sup>th</sup> June  
1875

COPI. of 1875 JUNE

REVENUE.

To

THE BOARD OF REVENUE,

Es, Es, Es.

Dated August, End.

Recd.

Recd.

CO, 2nd AUGUST, 1875, 1170

AUGUST.

Nos. 24-26.

Boundary between Travancore and Cochin.—  
With reference to the settlement of the —  
tioning Boards recommendation that the Revenue  
Survey shall now demarcate and survey the line  
as laid down in the Trigonometrical Survey map  
and decided by Government in 1851, leaving the  
Cochin Government to determine whether they  
will accept or contest that determination when the  
representatives of both Governments are able to  
meet on the spot.

demarcation in question is a  
demarcation or a settlement  
The Survey I understand pro-  
vides for both and a permanent  
record both on the ground and  
on paper, of the settlement which  
seems to have been already decided  
by Government as before stated.

I have &c

(sd) A. Wedderburn  
Collector.

Residents' Office - Ferozpur  
18<sup>th</sup> June 1875

To -  
From

Major W. Hay

Ag. Resident in

Ferozepore & Cochin

A. Wedderburn Esqr.

Collector of Contributions

Sir

I have the honor to  
acknowledge the receipt of your  
letter of the 28<sup>th</sup> ultimo No 106  
which

117/6  
which was duly communicated  
to the Dewans of Ferozepore and  
Cochin and whose replies I have  
now received

2. The Government Orders, No  
1317 dated 13<sup>th</sup> October 1874 and  
No 425 dated 15<sup>th</sup> March 1875

Revenue Department alluded to  
by you have not been received  
in this Office.

3. The Dewan of Cochin is in  
doubt whether the demarcation  
now proposed is for the settle-  
ment of boundaries between  
the Ferozepore and Cochin  
States on the one hand and  
the adjacent British District  
on the other, as proposed by  
Mr. Ballard and approved by

by Government in their Order dated 10<sup>th</sup> June 1874 No 712 or an action of the Revenue Survey Department which Government then considered should be subordinate to the general scheme sketched by the President

4. In the former case, the Dewan shaves that it would be desirable to postpone the demarcation till the final settlement of the boundary is effected and in the latter case he requests that His Highness' Government is at present unable to deputise any officer on this duty on such short notice - the only officer available for the purpose

11<sup>th</sup> 10/6  
being now on leave. When he resumes duty, the Dewan will communicate to you the date on which he will be able to meet Mr. Douglas, if the object in view is the final settlement of the boundary as above mentioned

5. I learn that the Dewan has forwarded to you direct, a copy of his letter to me, and with reference to the 3<sup>rd</sup> para of it, I request you will be good enough to explain the work which is contemplated to be first taken in hand.

6. With reference to Travancore, the Dewan states that when the Commissioners are appointed

Appointed to settle the boundary  
between Travancore and British  
India, resume work, which  
they will do when the fair  
weather sets in again, he hopes  
to have the entire boundary  
between Trinnevelly and Travancore  
demarcated during the  
next season and then Ma-  
dura and Coimbatore will  
follow in turn.

I would suggest that the  
Commissioners be allowed  
to continue the boundary  
in a continuous line and  
that the demarcation of the  
line between Travancore and  
Coimbatore be deferred till that  
between

1120  
between Travancore, Trinnevelly  
and Madura, on the other side  
is fully completed.

8. Adverting to the last Para  
of your letter I beg to state that  
unless evidence is available  
to show that Travancore possesses  
territory on the other side of  
the water-shed, no contention  
will be raised by that Government  
to the water shed, to be carefully  
ascertained by the Commissioners  
being taken as the line of  
separation between Travancore  
and Coimbatore.

Shore &c  
(Sd) N. Hay  
Asst. Resident  
(True Copy)  
(Sd) A. Maddebunje  
Collector  
(True Copy)  
H. M. S.  
Asst. Secretary.

*[Signature]*

Revenue Department <sup>1172/8</sup>

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue  
dated 1<sup>st</sup> July 1875, M. L. 27.  
- 7000 L. 126.  
= Read Proceedings of the  
Madras Government, Revenue De-  
partment, dated 17<sup>th</sup> June 1875, N<sup>o</sup> 920  
forwarding to the Board copy of  
G. O. 2<sup>d</sup> June 1854, N<sup>o</sup> 630 regarding  
settlement of boundary between  
Coimbatore, Cochin & Travancore States.

Read again letter from the Col-  
lector of Coimbatore dated 22<sup>d</sup> June 1875  
N<sup>o</sup> 187 referring to Revenue Board's  
Proceedings 5<sup>th</sup> June 1854, N<sup>o</sup> 492 P. W. D. re-  
garding their remark that C. M. C. has  
not been communicated to them.

Read also letter from the same  
Officer dated 24<sup>th</sup> June 1875, N<sup>o</sup> 189 forwarding  
copy of Travancore Resident's letter re-  
garding the postponement of the de-  
-lineation of the boundary.

The Board find that they  
were

Revenue in  
Board's Proceedings  
dated 1<sup>st</sup> July 1875  
to the Secretary to  
Government N. D.

Rev Secy 1/75

Rev 2631/75

Rev Secy 1/75

Copy of letter

from the Collector of

Coimbatore and to

By. Revenue Revenue

Coimbatore

were in error in stating that  
E. M. C. 2<sup>nd</sup> June 1854, N<sup>o</sup> 630 was  
not communicated to them.  
It was recorded in the Tank De-  
partment of the Office, the records  
of which were long since trans-  
ferred to that of the Chief Engineer  
and thence to the Superintending  
Engineer's Division. Hence the  
error, and hence also the Board  
are now unable to trace the  
correspondence connected with  
the order.

2. It appears however to refer  
to the portion of the boundary southward  
from the Vengoly Peak to the Shorepaur,  
or exactly that which it is now  
proposed to survey, and which  
was referred to in para 2 of the  
letter from the Collector of

170/9  
Coimbatore recorded in Board's  
Proceedings 21<sup>st</sup> September 1874, N<sup>o</sup>  
2699 (G. O. 13<sup>th</sup> October 1874 N<sup>o</sup> 1317) as  
not a natural boundary and ill  
defined.

3. From the letter of the Acting  
Resident, Travancore and Cochin  
forwarded by the Collector of Coim-  
batore, the Board regret to learn  
that the Cochin Pirkar is unable  
to send a representative to be  
present at the identification and  
survey of the boundary and  
enquires whether the present  
proceedings are for the settlement  
of the boundary in pursuance  
of the scheme proposed by the  
Resident in 1874, or an action of  
the Revenue Survey Department  
which

which Government thought should be subordinated to the general scheme.

4. As to what the boundary is to be, the question appears to be settled by the orders of 1854, and what is now to be done is to identify it on the ground, and have it surveyed. It is clear that this process cannot be carried out satisfactorily unless representatives of the Governments of the territories on each side of the line are present. There may be disputes as to small portions of the line, of which that marked on a map to so small a scale as the Trigonometrical map may not be decisive, and the presence of representatives would

117/10  
would be necessary to settle such disputes if they could agree, and if they could not, to prepare matters for reference to the Governments concerned.

5. As however the general line should apparently be regarded as already settled by the orders of 1854 and as a present survey will definitely mark it, the Board see no reason to defer work in this quarter, and to delay the survey, until the tract is reached in pursuance of the scheme originally sketched by Mr. Ballard which it cannot be for several seasons. The Board consider therefore that the present survey should be completed, liable to such modifications if any

hereafter as may be found  
necessary by representatives of  
both the Governments meeting on the spot.  
The speedy settlement of the matter  
is needed, in order to the completion  
of the Coimbatore map.

5. It is requested that early  
orders may be issued whether the  
work is to be proceeded with  
in the absence of a representative  
from Cochin. Otherwise the Board  
fear that this season will be lost.  
The party was to assemble on 1<sup>st</sup> July.

| True Extract |

J. H. M.

Acting Secretary

To,  
The Acting Secretary to Government  
Revenue Department

with copy of letter from Collector of 24<sup>th</sup> June/57 No. 189  
with its enclosure

B. H. M.

1170/1875  
Revenue Department.

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue  
dated 16<sup>th</sup> July 1875

Read the following.

Rs. 1869  
75

From The Superintendent  
Revenue Survey.  
To The Secretary to the  
Board of Revenue.

Sir,  
With reference to the  
Board's Proceedings noted in the  
margin, I have the honor to in-  
form you that I learn that  
the Cochin Forest officer Mr.  
Stephenson is at present absent  
on leave and that on this and  
other grounds efforts are being  
made by the Cochin authorities  
to postpone the demarcation and  
settlement of the Cochin frontier  
line.

\* No 1544 dated  
2<sup>nd</sup> June 1875

G.O. 20 June 1875  
11-12-25

Rev: 1875: 246  
N. 2631

Proceedings of the  
Board of Revenue

Del. 1170. 22 Aug 1875

Dated 16<sup>th</sup> July 1875

Rs. 1-4-11

Rs. 2-8-11

and

Submitting that Board were in  
error in stating that S. R. C. of  
2<sup>nd</sup> line 1874 of 1830 was not am-  
ounted to Rs. 1170 and 1094  
ing consequence of Govt. who  
the work of settling the bound-  
ary between Cochin State, Salu-  
and Tanjore State is also  
forwarded with in the above  
for representation from Cochin

N-24

G.O. 20 June 1875

11-12-25

live.

2. This is the commence-  
ment of the short-working sea-  
son in that locality and I there-  
fore beg respectfully to urge  
on the Board the necessity for  
not losing another season with-  
out taking any practical  
steps towards carrying out  
this emergent work.

3. I would suggest  
that the original programme  
should be adhered to as far  
as the British Government  
is concerned, and that a  
Forest Officer from Louisa-  
ville should at once commence  
cleaning the line in accordance  
with

117/13  
with former agreements and  
that the survey should follow  
immediately afterwards. I  
believe that the boundary as shown  
in the Sheet Atlas was agreed  
to on a former occasion when  
the question was discussed.

Revenue Survey Office } I have &c  
Chepauk } 117/13  
2<sup>nd</sup> July 1895 } Supt. of Rev. Survey.

Submitted to Government  
in continuation of Board's Or-  
derings dated 1<sup>st</sup> July 1895 -

CV:  $\frac{126}{1827}$

(True Copy & Extract)

H. H. H.

By Secretary

C. H. H.

To  
The Secretary to  
Government R. D.

In the first of them the  
the Board discuss certain  
letters from the Collector  
of Comibato - the  
Resident of Francisco  
regarding the proposed  
demarcation & survey of  
the boundary line between  
Comibato & Pashin &  
the South of Vulp.  
Peak. With the second  
they forward a letter from  
the Sup<sup>t</sup> of Novocine  
Survey on the same  
subject. —

J. P. Kennedy Esq. Dec. 17<sup>th</sup> 1876  
 & I presume that I shall be very  
 glad to see you & to see a  
 representative.

Recd: 1875: 24th  
280756 W. 2827-  
Proceedings of the  
Board of Trustees

Dated: 16<sup>th</sup> July 1947  
 Dated: 16<sup>th</sup> July 1947  
 Recd: 20<sup>th</sup> July 1947

Secd. 1170. & 2d Aug. 1874

Submitting Letters  
from the Superintendent  
of the Revenue Survey  
to Government, Request-  
ing that the same be  
and statement of the  
Land Revenue be  
not be forwarded in  
of the forest officer  
Stations being about  
Leave

2021 10/25/25 2021 10/25/25

From this correspondence  
it appears that the  
Cochin govt. are unable  
to dispute an officer to  
visit the demarcation  
on their behalf. It  
therefore becomes a question  
whether work shall be  
carried on according  
to the original programme  
or whether another season  
shall be lost —

The Board of New South  
Wales think that the statement  
of the boundary cannot  
be satisfactorily carried  
out unless representing  
of the Govt. of the territories  
on both sides of the  
line are present —  
but they propose that

1170/15  
the demarcation  
survey should be  
now proceeded with &  
completed. Little to  
such modification  
hereafter as may be  
required by representatives  
of both Govts. meeting  
on the spot — The speedy  
settlement of the matter  
is it is believed needed  
in order to the carrying  
out of the <sup>survey map</sup> ~~provision~~  
the view advocated by  
the Board is shared  
by the Col. & Capt.  
of New South  
Wales. 10 June 1874.  
orders were passed on  
a scheme drawn  
up by Mr. Ballard  
Resident of Travancore

for the investigation &  
settlement of the frontier  
line between the British  
Territory & that of Kaim  
Jochin throughout its  
entire length. The boundary  
in the neighbourhood  
of the Anamullis had  
at that time led to  
some disputes & the  
Board of Rev. Nov. 21<sup>st</sup> May  
1874 recommended that  
the Cochin Govt. should  
be requested to send a  
representation to be present  
at the demarcation.

This was approved of but  
it was observed by Genl  
that this surveying was  
the subordinate & the  
general scheme sketched  
by the Resident —

2/

1170/16

That surveying, which  
involved land lying  
on both sides of Kaim  
Peak & therefore <sup>partly</sup> in the  
frontier both of  
Caimbaton & Malabar  
was not carried out, as  
reported in para 3 of the  
Board of Rev. Nov. 21<sup>st</sup>  
Sept. 1874. 2699. The  
proposal now made is  
for the present season  
to limit the surveying  
to that portion of the  
frontier alone which  
adjoins Caimbaton.

The Board explain that  
the question as to the  
boundary at that point  
was decided by Genl  
in their order of 2<sup>nd</sup> June

1854. No 630. This order  
having been communicated  
to the Board in the  
Tank Dept. had special  
notice when the matter  
was under discussion  
last year, the records  
that Dept. having been  
transferred. The Sub  
Inf. The order in  
question accords the  
line as indicated  
in the Instrumental  
Survey made by the Board  
do not anticipate  
any important difference  
of opinion arising as  
to its identification on  
the ground.

1170  
17

The Governor <sup>Sanction</sup> ~~accepts~~  
the recommendation of the  
Board that the Res-  
urvey shall now be made  
along the line as laid  
down in the map issued  
by Govt of 1854. leaving  
the position Govt to determine  
whether they will accept  
a contest that illustrates  
when the representatives  
of both Governments are  
able to meet in the spot.

In Supply to the Boarding Officer on 20 Aug  
1 Presented Cap on 20 Aug  
1 To the Supl of the Sunday S  
1 The Resident in Louisiana & Cochen on 25 Aug  
1 The Political Diff with Cap of State by the Honorable W. H. Harrison on the 26 Aug  
1 Dec 26 Aug.

604

*[Faint handwritten notes, possibly "L. 100"]*