

(1) Number of Pages.....8

(2) Date of repair.....25/11/67

(3) Initials of the Referencer.....*23.2.68***MADRAS RECORD OFFICE****GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS***Revenue* DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.

B.P. No.

1150

Press

Mis.

Dated

30/7/1875

196

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
30th July 1875.

No. 331. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 24th July 1875, No. 2,069 :—

Read the following letter from R. J. MELVILLE, Esq., Acting Collector of the Chingleput District, to H. E. STOKES, Esq., Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Sydapet, 19th July 1875, No. 418 :—

REFERRING to the Proceedings of Government and Board quoted in the margin, and in continuation of my G.O., dated 25th July 1874, No. 935, No. 409, dated 15th instant, I have the Board's Proceedings, dated 5th May and 30th June 1874, Nos. 1,039 and 1,630. honor to state that the Government Board's Proceedings, No. 3,231, of the 10th November 1874. Pleader reports that the District Judge Board's Proceedings, dated 7th July 1875, has refused to issue an injunction to No. 1,876. restrain the 1st and 2nd defendants,

Canakammal and Narasimma Charry, from alienating any portion of the property left by the late Streenevasa Raghava Charry. The Board will observe that the issue of an injunction was one of the reliefs sought for in the plaint filed by the Collector in Original Suit No. 4 of 1875.

2. It further appears that one Streenevasa Raghava Charry and Pillapakam Thattay Raghava Charry moved the District Judge to include their respective sons, named Raghoonathasami Iyengar and Rungasami Iyengar, as defendants in the suit filed by Government and that filed by Streenevasa Charry against Canakammal and Narasimma Charry on the plea that Raghoonathasami Iyengar was adopted by Canakammal and Rungasami Iyengar by the late Lutchmammal, but that the Judge refused to grant their prayer.

SUBMITTED for the information of Government with reference to G.Os., dated 25th July 1874, No. 935, and 2nd October 1874, No. 1,252, and in continuation of Board's Proceedings, dated 7th and 20th July 1875, numbered respectively 1,876 and 2,030.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) H. E. STOKES,
Acting Secretary.

No. 332. ORDER THEREON, 30th July 1875, No. 1,150.

Miscellaneous.

COMMUNICATED to the Government Pleader in continuation of G.O., dated 15th July 1875, No. 1,068.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) A. MACGREGOR,
Acting Secretary to Government.

To the Government Pleader.
„ the Board of Revenue.

Exd. J. W. Fischer.

Revenue Department

Proceedings of the Board of Regents
Dated 24th July 1875

Read the following

№ 418

From R. J. Melville Esq
Acting Collector of the
Chingleput District
To H. E. Stokes Esq
Acting Secretary to
the Board of Revenue
Madras

Referring to the Proceedings
of Government and Board,
quoted in the Margin, and
in continuation of My No 409
dated 15th Instant, I have
the honor to state that the
Government Pleader reports
that the District Judge
has refused to issue an
injunction to restrain the
pet.

G.O. dated 25 July
 1874 no 935
 Bro's Pro: 5 May
 + 30 June 1874
 no 1039 + 1630.
 Bro's Pro: no 3231 of
 the 10 November 1874
 Bro's Pro: 7 July 1875
 no 1876.

5001. 11 1876. 21 1876.
11 1876.

THE HISTORY OF THE

[illegible]

G. C. Smith, Jr. 1875, 1876.

62-10593-100

*Original Sub. No. 16 of 1874, sent to Council
re District Court.—Communicating to the Hon-
ourable Member Board's proceedings re report,
that the District Judge has refused to issue an
injunction — mentioning the Act and the
objections, with a request to report to the
Hon. Member Board the following facts.*

1st and 2^d Defendants, Kanakammal and Karasimma Chari, from alienating any portion of the property left by the late Sreenivasa Raghava Chari. The Board will observe that the issue of an injunction was one of the reliefs sought for in the plaint filed by the collector in O. S. No. 4 of 1875.

2. It further appears that one Sreenivasa Raghava Chari and Pillapakam Thattay Raghava Chari moved the District Judge to include their respective sons named Raghoo-nathasami Syengar and Rengasami Syengar as Defendants in the suit filed

filed by Government, or that filed by Sreenivasa Chari against Kanakammal and Karasimma Chari, on the plea that Raghounathasami Syengar was adopted by Kanakammal and Rengasami Syengar by the late Lutchumammal; but that the Judge refused to grant their prayer. I have &c

Chingleput Dist. Collector's Office } Bigg & R. F. Melville
Saidapett }
19th July 1875 } By Collector

Submitted for the information of Government with reference to Government Orders dated 25th July 1874 No. 935 and 2^d October 1874 No. 1252 and in continuation of Board's Proceedings dated—

dated 7th and 20th July
1875 numbered respectively
1876 and -

(True Copy Extract)

H. W. M.

By Secretary

To The Secretary to
Government Revenue
Department

Ex. J. M. Smith
C. M. M. Smith

1150
 Commenced to the Gov^r
 Order in continuation of
 No. 15 July 1875. No 1068.

Sir. *Alu*
 in N.S. 30 July 75.
 To the Gov^r Order on 20 July
 & Breached Order on 9 August
 1480 the Board of New on 9 August

Rev: 15/5 24/1
 825 2956
 Proceeding of the
 Board of Revenue
 Order 24 July 1875
 Order 24 - " - "
 Order 25 - " - "

No 1150. 30 July 1875

Admission to
 Grant Notes from
 by Street of the
 information that
 but judge has refused
 to issue an injunction
 in O.S. No. 16 of 1874. No.
 bearing the 1st 123
 left from clearing
 the property left by
 the owner as a
 Chantry. No 1068