M.R.O. 1—20,002—12-8-65.

(1) Number of Pages 8

(2) Date of repair 25/11/67

(3) Initials of the Referencer 23.2.68

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

Revenue DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.	1150	Press
B.P. No.		Mis.

Dated 30/7/1811 196 .

Not to be folded or roughly handled

No. 331. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 24th July 1875, No. 2,069 :—

Read the following letter from R. J. MELVILLE, Esq., Acting Collector of the Chingleput District, to H. E. STOKES, Esq., Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Sydapet, 19th July 1875, No. 418 :—

REFERRING to the Proceedings of Government and Board quoted in the margin, and in continuation of my No. 409, dated 15th instant, I have the honor to state that the Government Pleader reports that the District Judge has refused to issue an injunction to restrain the 1st and 2nd defendants, Canakammal and Narasimma Charry, from alienating any portion of the property left by the late Streenevasa Raghava Charry. The Board will observe that the issue of an injunction was one of the reliefs sought for in the plaint filed by the Collector in Original Suit No. 4 of 1875.

G.O., dated 25th July 1874, No. 935.
Board's Proceedings, dated 5th May and 30th June 1874, Nos. 1,039 and 1,630.
Board's Proceedings, No. 3,231, of the 10th November 1874.
Board's Proceedings, dated 7th July 1875, No. 1,876.

2. It further appears that one Streenevasa Raghava Charry and Pillapakam Thattay Raghava Charry moved the District Judge to include their respective sons, named Raghoonathasami Iyengar and Rungasami Iyengar, as defendants in the suit filed by Government and that filed by Streenevasa Charry against Canakammal and Narasimma Charry on the plea that Raghoonathasami Iyengar was adopted by Canakammal and Rungasami Iyengar by the late Lutchmammal, but that the Judge refused to grant their prayer.

SUBMITTED for the information of Government with reference to G.Os., dated 25th July 1874, No. 935, and 2nd October 1874, No. 1,252, and in continuation of Board's Proceedings, dated 7th and 20th July 1875, numbered respectively 1,876 and 2,030.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) H. E. STOKES,
Acting Secretary.

No. 332. ORDER THEREON, 30th July 1875, No. 1,150.

Miscellaneous.

COMMUNICATED to the Government Pleader in continuation of G.O., dated 15th July 1875, No. 1,068.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) A. MACGREGOR,
Acting Secretary to Government.

To the Government Pleader.
„ the Board of Revenue.

Exd. J. W. Fischer.

Govt. of India.

REVENUE.

To

The GOVERNMENT PLEADER.

&c. &c.

Dated July, 30th.

Read.

Rec'd.

G. O., 30th July 1875, No. 1,150.

JULY.

Nos. 331-332.

Original Suit, No. 16 of 1874, filed in Chingleput District Court.—Communicating to the Government Pleader Board's Proceedings reporting that the District Judge has refused to issue an injunction [illegible] restraining the 1st and 2nd defendants from alienating the property left by the late Sheeraivasa Reguava Chetty.

Revenue Department

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue
Dated 24th July 1875
Read the following:-

No. 418

From R. J. Melville Esqre
acting Collector of the
Chingleput District

To N. E. Stokes Esqre
acting Secretary to
the Board of Revenue
Madras

Sir

Referring to the Proceedings of Government and Board noted in the margin, and in continuation of my No. 409 dated 15th instant I have the honor to state that the Government Pleader reports that the District Judge has refused to issue an injunction to restrain the

pet.

G.O. dated 25th July 1874 No. 935
Bd's Pro: 5th May & 30th June 1874 Nos. 1039 & 1630.
Bd's Pro: No. 3231 of the 10th November 1874
Bd's Pro: 7th July 1875 No. 1876.

1st and 2d Defendants, Kana-
-kammal and Narasimma-
-chari from alienating
any portion of the
property left by the
late Streenivassa Raghava-
-chary. The Board will
observe that the issue of
an injunction was one
of the reliefs sought for
in the plaint filed by
the Collector in O. S. No. 4
of 1875.

2. It further appears that
one Streenivassa Raghava
chary and Pillapakam
Thattay Raghava Chary
moved the District Judge
to include their respective
sons named Raghoo-
-nathasami Iyengar and
Rungasami Iyengar as
Defendants in the suit
filed

filed by Government, on
that filed by Streenivassa
Chary against Kanakam-
-mal and Narasimma
Chary, on the plea that
Raghoonathasami Iyengar
was adopted by Kanakam-
-mal, and Rungasami
Iyengar by the late Lutcha
-mammal; but that the
Judge refused to grant
their prayer.

Shane & Co
Chingleput Dist.
Collector's Office
Saidapett
19th July 1875
} Sig.d R.J. Melville
As Collector

Submitted for the in-
-formation of Govern-
-ment with reference
to Government Orders
dated 25th July 1874 No. 935
and 2d October 1874 No. 1252
and in Continuation
of Board's Proceedings
dated.

dated 7th and 20th July
1875 numbered respectively
1876 and —.

/True Copy & Extract/

H Ebbrs
Ag Secretary

To
The Secretary to
Government Revenue
Department

Ex/ C. Parkinson
Collector

No 1150

Communicated to the Govt.
Pleader in continuation of
G.O. 15 Aug 1875. No 1068.

Lieut.

30 July/75.

in M.S. To the Govt Pleader Dewoodaf
2 Brembal Esqr Dy Supdt
14 To the Board of Revenue Dy August

Rec'd 15th Aug 1875
No 2956
Proceedings of the
Board of Revenue

Rec'd 24th July 1875
Answd 24 — " — —
Rec'd 25 " —

No 1150. 30 July 1875

Lieut. Hickey to
Govt Pleader Madras
Re Collector of Chingleput
intervening the Estate
Bail suit to recover
to recover an enfranchised
in O.S. No 16 of 1874 on
transmitting the 1st issue
settle from abstract
the pendency of the suit
Sheristadar with papers
Chairs, Collector and