

(1) Number of Pages 8(2) Date of repair 25/11/67(3) Initials of the Referencer 23.2.68**MADRAS RECORD OFFICE****GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS***Revenue***DEPARTMENT**

G.O. No.

B.P. No.

1150

Press

Mis.

Dated

30/7/1967

196

Not to be folded or roughly handled

1150

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
30th July 1875.*

No. 331. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 24th July 1875, No. 2,069 :—

Read the following letter from R. J. MELVILLE, Esq., Acting Collector of the Chingleput District, to H. E. STOKES, Esq., Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Sydapet, 19th July 1875, No. 418 :—

REFERRING to the Proceedings of Government and Board quoted in the margin, and in continuation of my G.O., dated 25th July 1874, No. 935; Board's Proceedings, dated 5th May and 30th June 1874, Nos. 1,039 and 1,630. Board's Proceedings, No. 3,231, of the 10th November 1874. Board's Proceedings, dated 7th July 1875, No. 1,876. No. 409, dated 15th instant, I have the honor to state that the Government Pleader reports that the District Judge has refused to issue an injunction to restrain the 1st and 2nd defendants,

Canakammal and Narasimma Charry, from alienating any portion of the property left by the late Streenevasa Raghava Charry. The Board will observe that the issue of an injunction was one of the reliefs sought for in the plaint filed by the Collector in Original Suit No. 4 of 1875.

2. It further appears that one Streenevasa Raghava Charry and Pillapakam Thattay Raghava Charry moved the District Judge to include their respective sons, named Raghoonathasami Iyengar and Rungasami Iyengar, as defendants in the suit filed by Government and that filed by Streenevasa Charry against Canakammal and Narasimma Charry on the plea that Raghoonathasami Iyengar was adopted by Canakammal and Rungasami Iyengar by the late Latchumammal, but that the Judge refused to grant their prayer.

SUBMITTED for the information of Government with reference to G.Os., dated 25th July 1874, No. 935, and 2nd October 1874, No. 1,252, and in continuation of Board's Proceedings, dated 7th and 20th July 1875, numbered respectively 1,876 and 2,030.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) H. E. STOKES,
Acting Secretary.

No. 332. ORDER THEREON, 30th July 1875, No. 1,150.

Miscellaneous.

COMMUNICATED to the Government Pleader in continuation of G.O., dated 15th July 1875, No. 1,068.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) A. MACGREGOR,
Acting Secretary to Government.

To the Government Pleader.
„ the Board of Revenue.

Exd. J. W. Fischer.

Revenue Department

1150
2

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue
Dated 24th July 1875
Read the following:-

No. 418

From R. J. Melville Esquire
Acting Collector of the
Chingleput District
To H. E. Stokes Esquire
Acting Secretary to
the Board of Revenue
Madras

Sir

Referring to the Proceedings
of Government and Board,
quoted in the Margin, and
in continuation of my No. 409
dated 15th Instant, I have
the honor to state that the
Government Pleader reports
that the District Judge
has refused to issue an
injunction to restrain the
pat.

G.O. dated 25th July
1874 No. 935

Bd's Pro: 5th May
& 30th June 1874
Nos 1039 & 1630.

Bd's Pro: No. 3231 of
the 10th November 1874

Bd's Pro: 7th July 1875
No. 1876.

GOVT. OF 1875

REVENUE.

THE GOVERNMENT PLEADER.

At. Ac. Ac.

Dated July, 30th.

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., 30th July 1875, No. 1150.

JULY.

Nos. 331-332.

Original Sent, No. 16 of 1874, filed in Chingleput District Court. - Communicating to the Government Pleader Board's Proceedings reports that the District Judge has refused to issue an injunction in - restraining the 1st and 2nd defendants from alienating the property left to the late Sthanayasa Begum Chetty.

1st and 2^d Defendants, Kanakam
Kammal and Karasimma,
Chari, from alienating
any portion of the
property left by the
late Sreenivassa Raghava-
Chari. The Board will
observe that the issue of
an injunction was one
of the reliefs sought for
in the plaint filed by
the Collector in O. S. No. 4
of 1875.

2. It further appears that
one Sreenivassa Raghava
Chari and Pillapakam
Thattay Raghava Chari
moved the District Judge
to include their respective
sons named Raghoo-
nathasami Sengar and
Rengasami Sengar as
Defendants in the suit
filed

filed by Government, and
that filed by Sreenivassa
Chari against Kanakam
Kammal and Karasimma
Chari, on the plea that
Raghounathasami Sengar
was adopted by Kanakam-
Kammal and Rengasami
Sengar by the late Lutchu-
mammal; but that the
Judge refused to grant
their prayer. I have &c

Chingleput Dist.
Collector's Office } Bigg & R. F. Melville
Saidapett
19th July 1875 } By Collector

Submitted for the in-
-formation of Govern-
-ment with reference
to Government Orders
dated 25th July 1874 No. 935
and 2^d October 1874 No. 1252
and in continuation
of Board's Proceedings
dated

dated 7th and 20th July
1875 numbered respectively
1876 and —.

(True Copy & Extract)

H. H. H. H.

By Secretary

To
The Secretary to
Government Revenue
Department

Ex. J. K. Kuntz
C. H. Kuntz & Co.

1150
 Commenced to the Gov^r
 Reader in continuation of
 No. 15 July 1875. No 1068.

Sir. *Alu*
 30 July 75.
 in N.S. To the Gov^r Reader on 20 day
 of Breached Paper on 9 August
 14 to the Board of New on 9 August

but nothing to
 have been done
 by Council of Albany
 informing that the
 but judge has refused
 to issue an injunction
 in O.S. No. 16 of 1874. No.
 holding the 1st of 723
 debts from allowing
 the property left by
 the deceased in the
 County of Rensselaer
 Albany. No. 16 of 1874.

Chas. 1150. 30 July 1875
 Dated 24 July 1875
 Dated 24 July 1875
 Dated 25 July 1875
 Received of the
 Board of Finance