

1034

(1) Number of Pages.....8

(2) Date of repair.....17-11-67

(3) Initials of the Referencer.....*20.2.68*

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

Revenue DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.	1034	Press
B.P. No.		Mis.
Dated	9. 2.	196187.5

Not to be folded or roughly handled

1034

1034

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
9th July 1875.*

No. 102. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 1st July 1875, No. 1,824:—

Read the following letters:—

From J. H. GARSTIN, Esq., Collector of South Arcot, to H. E. STOKES, Esq.,
Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Chinna Salem, 3rd
April 1875, No. 146.

With reference to the Board's Proceedings noted in the margin, I have the
Board's Proceedings, dated 5th August 1869, honor to request sanction for the dis-
No. 5,753; 5th January 1870, No. 46; 14th bursement of Rupees 10 (ten), being the
December 1872, No. 2,391; 11th April amount of process fees advanced by the
1874, No. 828; and 19th May 1874, Government Vakil on account of batta,
No. 1,163, &c., to witnesses examined on behalf of
G. O., No. 317, dated 5th March 1870. Government at the remanded trial in Original Suit No. 6 of 1871, on the file of
the District Court.

From the same officer, dated Trinomalay, 16th June 1875, No. 260.

In reply to the Board's Official Memorandum, No. 570, of the 21st April last,
I have the honor to inform you that the costs awarded to Government by the
High Court in the suit therein referred to, did not include the amount now applied
for. The High Court should, I think, be moved through the Government Pleader
to adjudge also this amount.

2. The costs already awarded have been collected.

SUBMITTED for the orders of Government with reference to G. O., dated 2nd
June 1874, No. 678.

2. The appeal preferred by the ryots of Padarapooliyoor in a suit against
Government was dismissed with costs by the High Court, but through an oversight
apparently a portion (Rupees 10) of the costs incurred by Government was not
included in the amount awarded. The Collector suggests that the High Court
be moved to rectify the mistake. As the decree was passed more than a year ago,
the Board think it is too late to adopt the Collector's suggestion. They accord-
ingly recommend that payment of the amount, Rupees 10, be sanctioned.

(True Copies and Extract.)

(Signed) H. E. STOKES,
Acting Secretary.

No. 103. ORDER THEREON, 9th July 1875, No. 1,034.

Miscellaneous.

SANCTIONED.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) A. MACGREGOR,
Acting Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.
„ the Accountant-General.

Exd. W. H. French.

Mr. P. H. French Esquire Revenue Department

Miscellaneous No. 139

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue
Dated 1st July 1875

Read the following papers.

No. 146
From
J. H. Garstin Esquire
Collector of South Arcot.
To H. E. Stokes Esquire
Secretary to the Board of
Revenue, Madras.
Sir

With reference to the Board's
Proceedings noted in the margin.

Board's Proceedings
5th August 1869, No. 5753.
5th January 1870 No. 46.
14th December 1872, No. 2391
11th April 1874, No. 828.
19th May 1874, No. 1163
Government order
No. 317 dated 5th March 1870.

I have the honor
to request sanction for
the disbursement of
Rupees 10 (Ten) being
the amount of process
fees advanced by the

Government Vakil on account of
Batta &c to witnesses examined
on behalf of Government at
the resumed trial in original
suit.

6001.011875

REVENUE.

THE BOARD OF REVENUE.

10th July 1875.

10th July 1875, No. 1034.

10th July 1875.

No. 103-103.

Original No. 6 of 1875, on A. & S. of Govt.
of Madras - Sanctioning Department.
412, 10, Madras No. 1034.

Suit No. 6 of 1871 on the file of the District Court.

I have &c
Collectors Office } (sigd) J. H. Garstin
Camp Chinnai Salem }
3^d April 1875. } Collector

From No. 260

J. H. Garstin Esquire
Collector of South Arcot.
To H. E. Stokes Esquire
Secretary to the Board
of Revenue, Madras.

Sir
In reply to the Board's official memorandum, No. 570 of the 21st April last, I have the honor to inform you that the costs awarded by Government by the High Court in the suit therein referred to did not include the amount now applied for. The High Court should, I think, be moved through the Government Pleader to adjudge also this amount.

The

2 The costs already awarded have been collected.

I have &c
Collectors Office } (sigd) J. H. Garstin
Camp Trinomalay }
16th June 1875 } Collector.

Submitted for the orders of Government with reference to Government order dated 2^d June 1874 No. 678.

The appeal preferred by the ryots of Padanapooligoor in a suit against Government was dismissed with costs by the High Court but through an oversight apparently a portion (Rupees 10) of the costs incurred by Government was not included in the amount awarded. The Collector suggests that the High Court be moved to rectify the mistake. As the decree was passed more

than a year ago the Board think
it is too late to adopt the Collec-
tor's suggestion. They accordingly re-
commend that payment of the
amount, Rupees 10, be sanctioned.

/ True copies &c. true /

H. H. H.

To
The Secretary
The Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department.

C. A. Wagh
Munim

6.05 Head 1700 236-38
20 June 74 - 19-20

Rev: 1875: 244
210 600 24.2566
Pro: of the Bond

of Revenue

Dated 1st July 1905

Recd 5th []

Ch 1004. 9th July 1875

Submitting for orders of
Govt. letters from []

G.S. Acad. regarding
Sanction for disbursement of
10 Rs. being purchase fees
in 50 Rs. 6 p 1901 in file
of 30.2. Contd. & suggesting
that the High Court be
informed & awarded cer-
-tain costs etc.

With order.

N^o 1034

Sanctioned.

Alk

9 July 1905

3/7/75

1487000 2000 of Dec. 16 July
6. Dec. Dec. 1905

40
-4

26 July 1905. 1808 July 1905