M.R.O. 1—20,002—12-8-65.

(1) Number of Pages 16.

(2) Date of repair 3-2-67

(3) Initials of the Referencer

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

Revenue DEPARTMENT

G.O. No. / B.P. No.	1304	Press / Mis.
Dated	10-10-1874 196..	

Not to be folded or roughly handled

No. 120. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 15th September 1874, No. 2,620:—

Read again Board's Proceedings, dated 10th March 1874, No. 533.
" " " " 26th May " " 1,247.

Read also the following letter from W. S. WHITESIDE, Esq., Collector of North Arcot, to J. GROSE, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Vellore, 30th June 1874, No. 347:—

I HAVE the honor, with reference to the Proceedings of the Board, dated 26th ultimo, No. 1,247, to submit herewith a translation of a deposition taken from Mujaoodeen by my Treasury-Deputy as regards the delay in prosecuting his claim to a lapsed Dehat Inam allowance.

2. The claimant states in his deposition that, after preferring his claim in the year 1861, he proceeded to Madras leaving a Vakil to prosecute it; that his Vakil gave him no information, and, on hearing of his death after some time, he addressed a petition in the year 1867 to the then Deputy-Collector; that not obtaining any reply to this communication, he again presented another petition to the present Deputy-Collector Mr. Marjoribanks in the year 1870, upon which the inquiry into his claim took place.

3. There is nothing in the records, the Treasury Deputy-Collector reports, to corroborate petitioner's statements, either that he had employed a Vakil formerly or that he had presented a petition in 1867. It is, however, certain that the endorse-

of my remarks in the statement of lapsed Inam embodied in the Board's Proceedings, dated 10th March 1874, No. 533, never reached the petitioner; for in searching for further information as to petitioner's claim the endorsement in question was found in the records as having been returned from the Post-office owing to petitioner not being found.

4. I may add that petitioner's name is Mujaoodeen and not Mirjaooddin as incorrectly printed in the Board's Proceedings.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.—Translation of Deposition.

SUBMITTED for the orders of Government.

2. Sharafoon Bibi, who held an hereditary grant (Dehat Inam) of Rupees 26 per annum, died, 29th July 1858, at Madras where she usually resided. For about 5½ years previous to her death, her allowance was not paid, because she had not furnished the requisite life certificate. In these circumstances, the grant lapsed under Standing Circular No. 179.

3. In 1861, three years after Sharafoon Bibi's death, Mujaoodeen claimed the grant, asserting that he was the grandson of the last incumbent and referring to the Collector of Madras in proof of his assertion.

4. The delay of three years in claiming the grant is accounted for by the fact that when his mother died Mujaoodeen was a minor, fourteen years old.

5. On the 19th October 1861, an endorsement was passed by the Collector of North Arcot, declining to make a reference to the Collector of Madras and directing the claimant to produce evidence in support of his claim.

6. It does not appear that he moved further in the matter until 1870 when he renewed his claim, which was then referred to the Tahsildar for inquiry. The claimant's explanation in regard to the delay in prosecuting his claim is not satisfactory. It is proved, however, that he is the grandson and legal heir of the last incumbent. The Collector recommends the renewal of the grant in the name of Mujaoodeen and payment of arrears (Rupees 512-11-8) *from the date of last payment*, 11*th January* 1854.

7. The Board are of opinion that he is not entitled to the arrears that accrued previous to the date of his grandmother's death, 29th July 1858.

No. 121. ORDER THEREON, 10th October 1874, No. 1,304.

Miscellaneous.

THE renewal of the grant in the name of Muj-oo-deen and the payment of the arrears that have accrued since he first preferred his claim on the 11th August 1861 are sanctioned.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) C. G. MASTER,
Acting Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.
" the Accountant-General.

Erd. F. R. Bantleman.

Govt. of 1874 Dubious
REVENUE.
To
The BOARD OF REVENUE,
&c., &c., &c.
Dated October 10th.
Read. Encl.
G. O., 10th October 1874, No. 1,804.
OCTOBER.
Nos. 130-131.
Dehat Inam Allowance enjoyed by descend-
ants of the late Brandon Bdit of North Arcot.—Sanctioning the
renewal of the grants of Rs. 25 per annum, to
the —, to her grandson, Maly-oo-deen, and the pay-
ment to him of the arrears that have accrued since
11th August 1861.
10.6.07
15-11-1

No. 533.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 10th March 1874.

Read the following paper from W. S. WHITESIDE, Esq., Collector of North Arcot, to J. GROSE, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Chittoor, 29th November 1873:—

Statement of lapsed Dehat Inam recommended for renewal in the District of North Arcot.

Case No.	Taluq and Village, extent and value of the entire Inam.	When and by whom granted; name of the original grantee; Sanads in support of the grant.	Nature of the grant, Charity or Service; if Service, nature of the duty prescribed by the grant.	Name of the last Holder, date of his decease, and the relationship in which he stood to the original grantee.	Share enjoyed by the deceased and that held by the other Members of the family.	Family left by the deceased, and name and age of the parties, their circumstances, and the means of subsistence possessed by them.	Recommendation of the Collector, and the grounds on which it is made.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
19	A portion of ready-money allowance granted in lieu of Dehat Inam of Punji village, in Wallajah Taluq, at Rupees 26 per annum.	Granted by Nabob Sadatoollah Khan to Shaik Abdul Vahab on the 22nd Shaban, 6th Jeelooss.	For subsistence hereditary.	Sharafoon Beebee, died on the 19th July 1858, Great Great Grand daughter of the original grantee.	Entire allowance of Rupees 26, per annum.	Grandson Mirjaoodeen, age thirty years, is in receipt of a monthly Carnatic pension of Rupees 10.	1. Sharafoon Beebee was in receipt of a Punji Dehat Inam allowance of Rupees 26, per annum, under the Order of Government, dated 13th June 1851, No 564, communicated with the Board's Proceedings, dated 23rd idem, and died on the 19th July 1858. 2. Mirjaoodeen, the individual mentioned in Column 7 of this Statement, presented on the 11th August 1861, to the Treasury Deputy-Collector Mr. S. R. Lock, a petition claiming the allowance in question on the ground of his relationship as grandson of the deceased, and stating that the fact may be ascertained by a reference to the Collector of Madras. He was informed by an endorsement, dated 19th October 1861, that no reference would be made to Madras, but that if he chose he might himself produce the necessary Potenamah (Certificate of death) and other documents in support of his claim, which would then be taken into consideration. To this endorsement no reply was received at that time. On the 19th April 1864, Ghoolam Mohadeen, brother of the deceased, presented a petition to the then Treasury Deputy-Collector M. Venkata Ruthna Pillai, claiming the registry of the allowance in his name by virtue of a Hibbanamah (Deed of gift) filed with his petition and said to have been executed to him by the deceased, transferring the right of her allowance to him. 3 Ghoolam Mohadeen's claim was rejected by M. Venkata Ruthna Pillai, in his endorsement, dated 25th April 1865, No. 35, on the ground that the document had been executed long ago, and that another claimant Mirjaoodeen, Grandson of the deceased, had come forward for the allowance in question. After this Ghoolam Mohadeen urged his claim no further, and died on the 4th September 1869. 4. Mirjaoodeen again presented a petition on the 25th January 1870, requesting that his claim to the allowance might be ascertained through the Tahsildar of Wallajah Taluq, and the allowance continued in his name.

Statement of lapsed Dehat Inam recommended for renewal in the District of North Arcot.—(Continued.)

Case No.	Taluq and Village, extent and value of the entire Inam.	When and by whom granted, name of the original grantee, Sanads in support of the grant.	Nature of the grant, Charity or Service, if Service, nature of the duty prescribed by the grant.	Name of the last holder, date of his decease, and the relationship in which he stood to the original grantee.	Share enjoyed by the deceased and that held by the other members of the family.	Family left by the deceased and name and age of the parties, their circumstances and the means of subsistence possessed by them.	Recommendation of the Collector and the grounds on which it is made.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
							5. The matter was accordingly referred to the Tahsildar, and from his report and a perusal of the correspondence in the Collector's Office, the following facts have been elicited. 6. In the list submitted to the Board, with the Collector Mr. Bourdillon's letter, No. 139, dated 14th November 1850, and which received the sanction of Government, in their Order, dated 13th June 1851, No. 564, quoted above, the name of the deceased is entered as sister of Ghoolam Mohadeen. The deceased had three heirs, viz., (1) son Mahomed Khader; (2) His wife Kyroombee; and (3) his son Mirjaoodeen; the first, Mahomed Khader; died about two years prior to the death of his mother. His wife Kyroombee also died about twelve years ago. Mirjaoodeen, the present claimant, is the son of Mahomed Khader and grandson of the deceased Sharafoon Beebee, and this fact has been established during the inquiry held before the Tahsildar of Wallajah Taluq. 7. As already stated, the present claimant advanced his claim about three years after the death of his grandmother and his claim was then rejected. He again urged his claim in 1870, after a silence of ten years, but at the time of his grandmother's death, he appears to have been a Minor of fourteen years of age. 8. The hereditary character of Punji Dehat Inam was fully recognized by Government as will be seen from the orders quoted in the margin. Under these circumstances, and if the fact of Mirjaoodeen being already in the enjoyment of a Carnatic stipend of 10 Rupees per month, which he draws at Madras, is no bar to his present claim, the Collector begs to recommend the registry of the allowance enjoyed by the late Sharafoon Beebee in the name of the said Mirjaoodeen, and payment to him of the arrears amounting to Rs. 512-11-8 due from 12th January 1854 up to 30th September 1873, at 26 Rupees per annum. The deceased resided during her life-time at Madras. Her allowance was not paid to her for a period of five-and-a-half years, owing to her failure to produce her Life Certificate. The last payment made to her was up to 11th January 1854, as per sanction, conveyed in the Order of Government, dated 14th October 1853, No. 1,150, communicated in the Board's Proceedings, dated 27th idem.

Orders of Government, dated 19th July 1855, No. 873, communicated with the Board's Procs., dated 28th Idem, No. 1,939. Despatch from the Secretary of State, dated 9th September 1859, No. 10, paragraph 25, communicated in Board's Procs, dated 13th May 1860, No. 2.

Referred to the Collector of Madras who will require the claimant Mirjaoodeen to explain why having preferred his claim in 1861 he failed to prosecute it until 1870.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) H. E. STOKES,
Acting Sub-Secretary.

To the Collector of Madras.

Copy to the Collector of North Arcot.

Exd. G. A. Turton.

5/1304

No. 1,247.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 26th May 1874.

Read the following letter from R. RAGOONATHA ROW, Deputy-Collector, to A. McC. WEBSTER, Esq., Acting Collector of Madras, dated 11th April 1874, No. 436:—

IN reply to your docket No. 187 of 20th ultimo, forwarding for report Revenue Board's Proceedings No. 533 of 10th idem, I have the honour to inform you that the claimant Mirjaoodien, for the renewal of a lapsed Dehat Inam in North Arcot District, is reported by the Government Agent, Chepauk, to have obtained leave in July last for no fixed period, in order to proceed to North Arcot, and he has not returned since.

2. I am therefore unable to submit the report called for.

No. 69.

SUBMITTED to the Board of Revenue for information, with reference to their Proceedings quoted by the Deputy-Collector.

(Signed) A. McC. WEBSTER,
Acting Collector.

MADRAS,
23rd April 1874.

COMMUNICATED to the Collector of North Arcot, who will obtain the required explanation from Mirjaoodeen.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) C. A. GALTON,
Acting Sub-Secretary.

To the Collector of North Arcot.
Copy to the Acting Collector of Madras.

Exd. T. O'Connor.

Rs. 333 7/4

1874.

Board of Revenue,

MADRAS.

PROCEEDINGS, 10TH MARCH 1874, No. 533.

Received 15 May 74

Encl.

MARCH.

No. 533.

Dehat Inam allowance—of Rupees 26, per annum, enjoyed by deceased Sharafoon Beebee, of North Arcot, and claimed by her grandson Mirjaoodeen of Madras.—Collector of latter district to require claimant to explain why he failed to prosecute his claim till 1870, after having preferred it in 1861.

Rev. 3330/74.

1874.

Board of Revenue,

MADRAS.

PROCEEDINGS, 26TH MAY 1874, No. 1,247.

Encl.

Received.

MAY.

No. 1,247.

Delat Inam Allowance—of Rupees 26 per annum, enjoyed by deceased Sharafoon Beebee of North Arcot, and claimed by her grandson Mirjaoodeen of Madras.—Report from Collector of Madras that claimant is now in North Arcot, and that explanation called for as to why he failed to prosecute his claim in 1870 after having preferred it in 1861 cannot therefore be submitted, communicated to Collector of North Arcot, with request that required explanation may be obtained from Mirjaoodeen.

Rev. 13 May 1874

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

C No. 3330

1331

From Pro. Bd of Rev.

Dated 15th Sept. 1874.

FOR ORDERS.

If the grant is known & the
Letter applicant's claim shd
be disposed of established
& since contains the Govt
to him, & the letter comes from
the Collr in which he

Y
1804

Preferred his claim - 23
1861. The heirs-at-law
prefer that under the Rules
which must be upheld.

Lot

5 10

Mrd
8 10

FOR ORDERS.

may be obtained from Mirjaoodeen.

No. 2,620.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 15th September 1874.

Read again Board's Proceedings, dated 10th March 1874, No. 533.

" " " " 26th May " " 1,247.

Read also the following letter from W. S. WHITESIDE, Esq., Collector of North Arcot, to J. GROSE, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Vellore, 30th June 1874, No. 347:—

I HAVE the honor, with reference to the Proceedings of the Board, dated 26th ultimo, No. 1,247, to submit herewith a translation of a deposition taken from Mujaoodeen by my Treasury-Deputy as regards the delay in prosecuting his claim to a lapsed Dehat Inam allowance.

2. The claimant states in his deposition that, after preferring his claim in the year 1861, he proceeded to Madras leaving a Vakil to prosecute it; that his Vakil gave him no information, and, on hearing of his death after some time, he addressed a petition in the year 1867 to the then Deputy-Collector; that not obtaining any reply to this communication, he again presented another petition to the present Deputy-Collector Mr. Marjoribanks in the year 1870, upon which the inquiry into his claim took place.

3. There is nothing in the records, the Treasury Deputy-Collector reports, to corroborate petitioner's statements, either that he had employed a Vakil formerly or that he had presented a petition in 1867. It is, however, certain that the endorsement granted by Mr. Locke in the year 1861, and alluded to in the 2nd paragraph of my remarks in the statement of lapsed Inam embodied in the Board's Proceedings, dated 10th March 1874, No. 533, never reached the petitioner; for in searching for further information as to petitioner's claim the endorsement in question was found in the records as having been returned from the Post-office owing to petitioner not being found.

4. I may add that petitioner's name is Mujaoodeen and not Mirjaooddin as incorrectly printed in the Board's Proceedings.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.—Translation of Deposition.

SUBMITTED for the orders of Government.

2. Sharafoon Bibi, who held an hereditary grant (Dehat Inam) of Rupees 26 per annum, died, 29th July 1858, at Madras where she usually resided. For about 5½ years previous to her death her allowance was not paid, because she had not furnished the requisite life certificate. In these circumstances, the grant lapsed under Standing Circular No. 179.

3. In 1861, three years after Sharafoon Bibi's death, Mujaoodeen claimed the grant, asserting that he was the grandson of the last incumbent and referring to the Collector of Madras in proof of his assertion.

4. The delay of three years in claiming the grant is accounted for by the fact that when his mother died Mujaoodeen was a minor, fourteen years old.

5. On the 19th October 1861, an endorsement was passed by the Collector of North Arcot, declining to make a reference to the Collector of Madras and directing the claimant to produce evidence in support of his claim.

6. It does not appear that he moved further in the matter until 1870 when he renewed his claim, which was then referred to the Tahsildar for inquiry. The claimant's explanation in regard to the delay in prosecuting his claim is not satisfactory. It is proved, however, that he is the grandson and legal heir of the last incumbent. The Collector recommends the renewal of the grant in the name of Mujaoodeen and payment of arrears (Rupees 512-11-8) *from the date of last payment, 11th January* 1854.

7. The Board are of opinion that he is not entitled to the arrears that accrued previous to the date of his grandmother's death, 29th July 1858.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

Acting Sub-Secretary.

To the Acting Secretary to Government, Revenue Department,
with an original Enclosure, and Board's Proceedings, Nos. 533 and 1,247 of 1874.
„ the Collector of North Arcot.
Copy to the Collector of Madras.

Exd. F. R. Bantleman.

No. 3330.
Dated 13th September 1874.

BOARD OF REVENUE.
MADRAS.

PROCEEDINGS, 15TH SEPT. 1874, No. 2,620.

Read the letter from the Collector of North Arcot, dated 19th October 1861, Exd. 3.

Received 1874.

SEPTEMBER.
No. 2,620.

Deduct Inam Allowance — of Rupees 26 per annum enjoyed by deceased Sharafoon Bibi of North Arcot.—Letter from Collector forwarding explanation of Mujaoodeen, grandson of deceased, regarding delay in prosecuting his claim to —, submitted for orders of Government —Opinion expressed that claimant is not entitled to the arrears that accrued previous to the date of his grandmother's death, 29th July 1858.