

(1) Number of Pages..... 8  
(2) Date of repair..... 22 11 66  
(3) Initials of the Referencer..... D. J. M.

# MADRAS RECORD OFFICE

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

*Revenue* DEPARTMENT

|          |          |       |
|----------|----------|-------|
| G.O. No. | 961      | Press |
| B.P. No. |          | Mis.  |
| Dated    | 1-8-1874 | 196 . |

*Not to be folded or roughly handled*

96

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,  
1st August 1874.*

No. 1. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 27th July 1874, No. 1,974:—

Read the following letter from W. S. FOSTER, Esq., Acting Collector of the Godavery District, to J. GROSE, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Cocanada, 10th July 1874, No. 226:—

I HAVE the honor to forward, herewith, translation of plaint in suit, No. 12, of 1874, preferred by Mannem Chinna Kanakaya, Proprietor of Gutala, in the District Court, for the recovery of the villages of Savagiri and Chiduru from the Government.

2. The claim of the Proprietor was fully reported to the Board in my letter of the 10th June 1873, No. 187, and disposed of in Government Order of the 15th September 1873, No. 987, communicated with the Board's Proceedings of the 26th idem, No. 6,484 (Miscellaneous), and I have now no further information to furnish the Board.

3. The plaint was filed in the Court on the 25th April 1874, and the District Judge issued notice on the same day fixing the first hearing of the suit for the 29th August 1874. Immediately afterwards, the Court was adjourned, and the copy of the plaint was furnished on the 2nd instant. The Board are aware that I can do nothing in the matter, unless I get a copy of the plaint, and thus came first to understand what the claim is. There is only a month and half allowed to me for preparing to defend the suit. I have in the meanwhile to obtain the sanction of the Board. The District Judge must be perfectly aware that the Court would be adjourned, and that copies would not be granted during the adjournment. He should have allowed time, so as to leave the full three months after I am furnished with copies. I, therefore, request that the Board will ask the Government to insist on the Courts giving proper time.

4. In the present case, I have called for information from the taluq as to the correctness of the past proceeds and the boundaries of the villages.

5. It will be observed from paragraph 4 of the plaint, the total peishkash of the two villages was given as Rupees 56-8-0, and the particulars are given for Rupees 54\*. Again, Rupees 3,906 have been claimed as mesne profits, etc., and the particulars were given for Rupees 4,471.

|               |    |  |
|---------------|----|--|
| * Savagiri... | 25 |  |
| Chiduru ..... | 25 |  |
| Total...      | 50 |  |

On these subjects, I have called for explanation. On receipt of the necessary information, I shall prepare and forward the draft answer for approval.

6. I have directed the Government Vakil to apply for an extension of the hearing for three months. Meanwhile, I have the honor to request that the Board will sanction the defence of the suit at the expense of Government.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.—Translation of Plaint.

Under the circumstances, the Board resolve to recommend Government to sanction the defence of the suit in the first instance at the expense of Government.

2. The Court will in all probability grant an extension of time, and there seems to be no need for Government to interfere in the manner suggested in paragraph 3.

3. The draft answer should be submitted as soon as it can be prepared.

No. 2

ORDER THEREON, 1st August 1874, No. 961.

Miscellaneous.

This defence of the Suit at the cost of Government is sanctioned on the usual terms.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) C. G. MASTER,  
Acting Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.

Erd. A. Grubert.

1874. P. 904. communicated with the Board.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE.

Dated 27<sup>th</sup> July 1874.

Read the following:-

No. 226.

From W. S. Foster Esq<sup>r</sup>  
Acting Collector.

To J. Grace Esq<sup>r</sup>  
Secretary to the Board of Revenue,  
Madras.

Sir,

I have the honor to forward herewith translation of Plaint in date 18<sup>th</sup> of 1874 prepared by Narayana Chinnai Kanakappa, Proprietor of Putala, in the District Court for the recovery of the village of Sengir and Chidambaram from the Government.

The claim of the Proprietor was fully reported to the Board in my letter of the 1<sup>st</sup> June 1873. It was then disposed of by Government Order of the 15<sup>th</sup> September 1873. It was communicated with the

GOVT. OF 1874

REVENUE

THE BOARD OF REVENUE

27<sup>th</sup> July 1874

Read

G. O. of August 1874, No. 411.

ADJUDICATED

Nov. 12.

See No. 12 of 1874 in the District Court of Madras for the recovery of the village of Sengir and Chidambaram from the Government.

Records & Proceedings of the 26<sup>th</sup> June & 1<sup>st</sup> July (1894) and I have now no further information to furnish the Board.

The Plaintiff was filed in the Court on the 23<sup>rd</sup> April 1894, and the District Judge issued notice on the same day fixing the first hearing of the suit for the 29<sup>th</sup> August 1894. Immediately afterwards the Court was adjourned, and the copy of the Plaintiff was furnished on the 2<sup>nd</sup> instant. The Board are aware that I am doing nothing in the matter unless I get a copy of the Plaintiff, and then come first to understand what the claim is. There is only a month and half allowed time for preparing to defend the suit. I have been the unsuccessful to obtain the sanction of the Board. The District Judge is it is perfectly aware that the Court would have furnished such that copies would not be granted during the adjournment. It should have allowed

time so as to have the full 3 months after I am furnished with copies. I therefore request that the Board will ask the Government to insist on the Courts giving proper time.

As to the present case, I have called for information from the Police as to the correctness of the past proceeds and the boundaries of the Villages.

It will be observed from para 4 of the Plaintiff, the total Proceeds of the two Villages was given as Rupees 56-0-0 and the particulars are given

|                   |                          |
|-------------------|--------------------------|
| for Rupees 56-0-0 | Again                    |
| Sungim 28         | Rupees 32-0-0 have been  |
| Widow 28          | claimed as Meane profits |
| 28                | and the particulars are  |

given for 1894-95. On this subject I have called for explanation. On receipt of the necessary information, I shall prepare and forward the Draft answer for approval.

I have directed the Government  
to apply for an extension of the  
lease for 3 months. Meanwhile I have  
the honor to request that the Board  
will sanction the defruct of the land  
at the expense of Government.

Godwin District } I have  
Collector's office } signed W. S. Foster  
Comandante } of Collector.  
10<sup>th</sup> July 1874

Under the circumstances, the  
Board wishes to recommend Government  
to sanction the defruct of the land in  
the first instance at the expense of  
Government.

The Board will in all pro-  
bability grant an extension of time,  
and there must be no work for  
Government to interfere in measures  
suggested by the Board.

The draft answer should  
be

94  
be submitted as soon as it can be pre-  
pared.

True copy & Extracts

J. G. G. G.  
Secretary

To  
The Secretary to Government  
Revenue Department  
with original enclosure.

W. S. Foster  
Collector

