

(1) Number of Pages..... 8
(2) Date of repair..... 22 11 66
(3) Initials of the Referencer..... D. J. M.

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

Revenue DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.	961	Press
B.P. No.		Mis.
Dated	1-8-1874	196 .

Not to be folded or roughly handled

961

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
1st August 1874.*

No. 1. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 27th July 1874, No. 1,974:—

Read the following letter from W. S. FOSTER, Esq., Acting Collector of the Godavery District, to J. GROSE, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Cocanada, 10th July 1874, No. 226:—

I HAVE the honor to forward, herewith, translation of plaint in suit, No. 12, of 1874, preferred by Mannem Chinna Kanakaya, Proprietor of Gutala, in the District Court, for the recovery of the villages of Savagiri and Chiduru from the Government.

2. The claim of the Proprietor was fully reported to the Board in my letter of the 10th June 1873, No. 187, and disposed of in Government Order of the 15th September 1873, No. 987, communicated with the Board's Proceedings of the 26th idem, No. 6,484 (Miscellaneous), and I have now no further information to furnish the Board.

3. The plaint was filed in the Court on the 25th April 1874, and the District Judge issued notice on the same day fixing the first hearing of the suit for the 29th August 1874. Immediately afterwards, the Court was adjourned, and the copy of the plaint was furnished on the 2nd instant. The Board are aware that I can do nothing in the matter, unless I get a copy of the plaint, and thus came first to understand what the claim is. There is only a month and half allowed to me for preparing to defend the suit. I have in the meanwhile to obtain the sanction of the Board. The District Judge must be perfectly aware that the Court would be adjourned, and that copies would not be granted during the adjournment. He should have allowed time, so as to leave the full three months after I am furnished with copies. I, therefore, request that the Board will ask the Government to insist on the Courts giving proper time.

4. In the present case, I have called for information from the taluq as to the correctness of the past proceeds and the boundaries of the villages.

5. It will be observed from paragraph 4 of the plaint, the total peishkash of the two villages was given as Rupees 56-8-0, and the particulars are given for Rupees 54*. Again, Rupees 3,906 have been claimed as mesne profits, etc., and the particulars were given for Rupees 4,471.

* Savagiri...	25	
Chiduru	25	
Total...	50	

On these subjects, I have called for explanation. On receipt of the necessary information, I shall prepare and forward the draft answer for approval.

6. I have directed the Government Vakil to apply for an extension of the hearing for three months. Meanwhile, I have the honor to request that the Board will sanction the defence of the suit at the expense of Government.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.—Translation of Plaint.

Under the circumstances, the Board resolve to recommend Government to sanction the defence of the suit in the first instance at the expense of Government.

2. The Court will in all probability grant an extension of time, and there seems to be no need for Government to interfere in the manner suggested in paragraph 3.

3. The draft answer should be submitted as soon as it can be prepared.

No. 2. ORDER THEREON, 1st August 1874, No. 961.

Miscellaneous.

This defence of the Suit at the cost of Government is sanctioned on the usual terms.
(True Extract.)

(Signed) C. G. MASTER,
Acting Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.
Erd. A. Grubert.

1874. P. 904. communicated with...

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE.

Dated 27th July 1874.

Read the following:-

No. 226.

From W. S. Foster Esq^r
Acting Collector.

To J. Grace Esq^r
Secretary to the Board of Revenue,
Madras.

Sir,

I have the honor to forward herewith translation of Plaint in date 18th of 1874 prepared by Narayana Chinnai Kanakappa, Proprietor of Putala, in the District Court for the recovery of the village of Sengir and Chidambaram from the Government.

The claim of the Proprietor was fully reported to the Board in my letter of the 1st June 1873. It was then disposed of by Government Order of the 15th September 1873. It was communicated with the

GOVT. OF 1874

REVENUE

THE BOARD OF REVENUE

27th July 1874

Read

G. O. of August 1874, No. 411.

ADJUDG

Nov 12

See No. 12 of 1874 in the District Court of

Respectfully, I have no further information to furnish the Board.

3. The Plaintiff was filed in the Court on the 23rd April 1874, and the District Judge issued notice on the same day fixing the first hearing of the suit for the 29th August 1874. Immediately afterwards the Court was adjourned, and the copy of the Plaintiff was forwarded on the 2nd instant. The General and perceive that I can do nothing in the matter unless I get a copy of the Plaintiff, and then come first to understand what the claim is. There is only a month and half allowed to me for preparing to defend the suit. I have no responsibility to attend the direction of the Board. The District Judge and I are perfectly aware that the Court would be adjourned, and that copies would not be granted during the adjournment. We should have allowed

time so as to leave the field 3 months after
I am furnished with copies. I therefore re-
quest that the Board will ask the Govern-
ment to insist on the Courts giving
proper time.

As to the present case, I have called for information from the Judge as to the contents of the past records and the boundaries of the Village.

5. It will be observed from
para 2 of the Pleint, the total Population
of the two villages was given as 26,500
ob. & as the particulars are given.

for Report to be again
 Surgeon 28 The first 396 have been
 Children 28 claimed as Maine profits
56 24⁰⁰ and the particulars are
 given for 1784 & 1785. One these subjects have
 called for explanation. On receipt of the
 necessary information, I shall prepare
 and forward the Draft answer for
 approval.

I have directed the Government
to apply for an extension of the
lease for 3 months. Meanwhile I have
the honor to request that the Board
will sanction the defruct of the land
at the expense of Government.

Godwin District } I have
Collector's office } signed W. S. Foster
Comandante } of Collector.
10th July 1874

Under the circumstances, the
Board wishes to recommend Government
to sanction the defruct of the land in
the first instance at the expense of
Government.

The Board will in all pro-
bability grant an extension of time,
and there must be no work for
Government to interfere in measures
suggested by private parties.

The draft answer should
be

94
be submitted as soon as it can be pre-
pared.

True copy & Extracts

J. G. G. G.
Secretary

To
The Secretary to Government
Revenue Department
with original enclosure.

W. S. Foster
Collector

