

(1) Number of Pages.....8
(2) Date of repair.....23/11/66
(3) Initials of the Referencer.....D.S.

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

Revenue DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.	956	Press
B.P. No.		Mis.
Dated	31 st July	196 1874

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
31st July 1874.*

No. 270. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 7th July 1874, No. 1,713 :—

Read the following Proceedings of the Madras Government, dated 27th May 1874, No. 646, Revenue Department :—

ABSTRACT.—*Appeal preferred to the High Court against acquittal of certain individuals who smuggled Salt from Goa into British Territory.*—Refers to Revenue Board, for report, letter from Government of India referring to the result of the —, and inquiring whether Section 24 of the Customs Act VI. of 1863 should not be amended.

THE Board see no reason for amending Section 24 of Act VI. of 1863, but they think it of great importance that the words "other than those cognizable under Section 218 of this Act by an officer in charge of a Customs-house" should be omitted in Section 222.

2. There are numerous places along the coast of this Presidency where offences against the Act may be committed, and where Magistrates are within reach, but where there is no officer in charge of a Customs-house to adjudicate. It has even been held by a District Judge that Collectors and Deputy Collectors are not "officers in charge of a Customs-house."—(Board's Proceedings, dated the 24th October 1870, No. 6,281.)

No. 271. ORDER THEREON, 31st July 1874, No. 956.

Separate Revenue, No. 22.
Salt.

Before addressing the Government of India, His Excellency the Governor in Council resolves to call upon the Advocate-General for his opinion on the question which has been raised.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) C. G. MASTER,
Acting Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue,
the Government Solicitor.

Erd. J. Wright.

all the above

RECEIVED
JULY 10 1874
REVENUE DEPARTMENT
MADRAS

No. 1,713.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 7th July 1874.

Read the following Proceedings of the Madras Government, dated 27th May 1874,
No. 646, Revenue Department :—

ABSTRACT.—*Appeal preferred to the High Court against acquittal of certain individuals who smuggled Salt from Goa into British Territory.*—Refers to Revenue Board, for report, letter from Government of India referring to the result of the—, and inquiring whether Section 24 of the Customs Act VI. of 1863 should not be amended.

The Board see no reason for amending Section 24 of Act VI. of 1863, but they think it of great importance that the words "other than those cognizable under Section 218 of this Act by an officer in charge of a Customs-house" should be omitted in Section 222.

2. There are numerous places along the coast of this Presidency where offences against the Act may be committed, and where Magistrates are within reach, but where there is no officer in charge of a Customs-house to adjudicate. It has even been held by a District Judge that Collectors and Deputy Collectors are not "officers in charge of a Customs-house."—(Board's Proceedings dated the 24th October 1870, No. 3,281.)

(A true Extract.)

J. E. M.
Secretary.

To the Acting Secretary to Government, Revenue Department.

Copy to the Collector of South Canara, with Exemption Order.

Enc. J. Wright.

14

2



Dict: 194 provides
for the case in 24 129
about 11-12-19
another class of
cases 11/11
24/11

1257

THE

No. 1712.

On the other hand, the Government informed that there is no reason for amending Section 24 of Chapter 44, Act No. 100 of 1963, and that the Government intended to amend it in 1965. In case any amendment of it takes place, but that certain roads should be omitted from Section 24.

3
Gulf of Mexico
Faint. Part of section
Section of Gulf of Mexico
of 1863.

215 July 1863