

- (1) Number of Pages 10
 (2) Date of repair 19-11-66.
 (3) Initials of the Referencer [Signature]

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

Revenue DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.	<u>944</u>	Press
B.P. No.		Mis.
Dated <u>28.7.1874</u> 196 <u>6</u> .		

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department
28th July 1874.

No. 245. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 13th July 1874, No. 1,790:—

Read again Board's Proceedings, dated 9th June 1874, No. 1,400.

• Read also the following letter from W. S. WHITESIDE, Esq., Collector of ~~the District~~ to J. GROSE, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Chittoor, 20th April 1874, No. 241.

In continuation of my letter, dated 5th December 1873, No. 761, I have the honor to submit a statement showing the name of road and the extent of land taken up for it from the Mukta Village of Chittoor in the Arnee Jaghira.

2. The Muktadar asserts that he put forward his claim at first in 1864, but there is nothing on record to prove his statement. It was not until the 7th October 1872 that he sent in to the then Acting Sub-Collector his petition, with a statement showing the amount claimed by him as compensation.

3. It will be seen that the total extent of land for which compensation is claimed is A. 2-35 wet, assessed at Rupees 21-4-8. From this must be deducted the extent relating to the items noted in the margin, and specified in columns 6, 7, 8, and 9 of the enclosed statement.

4. No. I. is a portion of the extent of the old bundy-track or roadway, and it is cultivated from Fasil 1230 downwards by one Govinda Odayan, and the assessment thereof paid to the Muktadar. No. II. is unassessed waste taken up for the road by which no loss has been caused to the Muktadar, so that the actual extent for which compensation should be given is A. 2-17, assessed at Rupees 20-2-10.

5. The Muktadar applies for a refund of past assessment and a permanent reduction in his Jodi payable to the Jaghiredar equal to the annual assessment due on the lands taken up for the road.

6. The Mukta was originally held on lease for 90 pagodas per annum by one Dada Rao; but when his son, Terunni Rao, was adopted by the family of the late Jaghiredar, it was granted to him and enjoyed at present by his son and grandsons at a reduced rent of 60 pagodas. The grant is supported by a sanad, dated 22nd April of Fasil 1236.

7. It appears that this item is not included in the statement of compensation filed by the Jaghiredar of Arnee in 1870. I have the honor, therefore, to recommend that the amount of compensation of Rupees 181-1-6 due to the Muktadar be paid to the petitioner, and a reduction of Rupees 20-2-10 in the Jodi payable by him to the Jaghiredar, as well as a corresponding reduction in the existing perches of the Jaghira, be sanctioned. The existing perches is Rupees 5,932-9-6, and the reduced perches will be Rupees 5,912-6-8.

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
28th July 1874.

Submitted for the orders of Government, with the Board's recommendation that a reduction of Rupees 20-12-2 be made in the paisehush of the Arnee Jaghireddar from Fash 1283, on the condition that he gives a corresponding reduction in the Jodi payable to him by the Muddalor, whose lands have been taken up for public purposes.

2. The compensation for past years, i.e., Rupees 185-1-0, is sanctioned from the Road Fund Reserve of the Vellore Circle.

No. 246

ORDER THEREON, 28th July 1874, No. 944

Miscellaneous

Sanction is accordingly granted for the reduction of paisehush on the Jaghire of Arnee by Rs. 20-12-10 (not Rs. 20-12-2, as stated in the Board's Proceedings) from Fash 1283, subject to the condition specified by the Board.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) C. G. MASTER,
Acting Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue
the Accountant General

W. A. G. G. G.

944
2

No. 1,400.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 9th June 1874.

Read the following letters:—

From W. S. WHITESIDE, Esq., Collector of North Arcot, to J. GROSE, Esq.,
Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Chittoor, 5th December 1873,
No. 761.

ADVERTING to Board's endorsement, dated 3rd May 1870, on Government
petition (herewith sent) bearing Board's Nos. 47 and 48 of 1870, presented by
the Jaghiredar of Arnee, I have the honour to submit the following report:—

2. A statement showing the names of roads and the extent of lands taken up
Board's Proceedings, dated 25th August 1864, for them from the Estate, and other
No. 1,526. particulars is enclosed. In this state-
Board's Proceedings, dated 24th April 1865, ment is omitted the lands taken up for
No. 2,059. the road from Arnee to Vellore, for the
Board's Proceedings, dated 10th February 1865, reasons assigned in the marginally noted
No. 771. correspondence.
Board's Proceedings, dated 10th January 1866,
No. 182.

3. I have shown against each road the year in which the claim for compen-
sation was advanced. These claims were put forward in a very general way, and
it was not until 31st October 1870, that the Jaghiredar sent in to the Sub-Collector
a complete statement showing the extent of land taken up for the several roads in
each village, and the amount claimed as compensation.

4. This statement was sent by the Sub-Collector for verification by the Taluq
authorities, and the papers were not finally received by me until a few days ago.

5. It will be seen that the total extent of land for which compensation is
claimed is acres 267-22, assessed at Rupees 539-7-3. From this must be deducted

the extent relating to the items noted in
the margin, and specified in columns
10, 12 and 14 of my statement.

No. 1 is the extent of the ancient bandy track or pathway, which was included
in the lands taken up for the formation of the roads.

No. 2 represents the extent of Village Service Inams. No claim was put
forward by the parties concerned, and the Jaghiredar did not give them other
lands instead, and until this is done, the Jaghiredar's claim cannot be accepted. I
have now directed the manager to select waste lands to be granted in lieu of
Village Service Inams, and when this is received, it will be duly submitted for
sanction with proposals for reduction of Peishcush, equal to the assessment of
lands so selected and approved.

No. 3 is unassessed waste from which no profit is derived. So that the balance
for which compensation should be given (if it must be given) is acres 144-75 assessed
at Rupees 417-4-5.

6. The Jaghiredar wants a refund of past assessment and a permanent reduc-
tion in his peishcush, equal to the annual assessment upon all lands taken up for
roads, but in one of his former applications he made the same request, while in
another he demanded the price of the lands taken up calculated at the selling
price of the neighbouring lands, and reduction of peishcush: but in his present

application, he demanded only reduction of peishenah and refund of past assessment.

7. The claim is not barred and is, I think, sustainable in a Court of Law; but as the Jaghiredar is himself to blame, refund of past assessment should be given up to the 31st October 1870, on which date only he forwarded complete information as to his claim. He will therefore be entitled to refund of assessment from 1st November 1870 and to reduction of Rupees 447-4-5 from his present peishenah of Rupees 8,000 which will then come to Rupees 5,552-11-7.

8. There can be no doubt whatever as to the Estate having been greatly benefited by the construction. If the several roads for which compensation is now demanded, and taking into consideration the peculiarly favourable tenure of which it is held, claim for refund of assessment may, I consider, be fairly rejected and reduction of peishenah only allowed.

9. As regards the claim for compensation on account of stoppage of the collection of Moturpin, I beg to refer the Board to G. O. dated 13th February 1872, No. 280, by which the matter was finally disposed of, the peishenah of Jaghira having on this account been reduced from Rupees 10,000 to Rupees 6,000.

10. I regret the delay that has taken place in this matter. There was much unnecessary correspondence between this and the Sub-Collector's Offices until the end of 1869, and the settlement was delayed owing chiefly to the disposition of the officers then in charge of the district to disallow and discourage claims for compensation; but, as I said before, no complete information was furnished by the Jaghiredar until the end of October, and then the delay rests with the Tahsildars to whom the papers were referred by the Sub-Collector.

Enclosure No. 1.—Petition.

Enclosure No. 2.—Statement.

From the same Officer, dated 5th January 1874, No. 1.

Answer.—Informs that the petition of Thirumala Row Saib was forwarded with letter No. 761, dated 5th ultimo.

The Jaghiredar, having claimed compensation within the time limited by law, he is entitled to it, from the date at which the land was taken up, and not merely from the date of his application.

2. Lands in lieu of Village Service Inams taken up, may be granted to the Inamdars separately, as proposed by the Collector.

3. The Board agree with the Collector, that no compensation need be made for the landy track taken up by the Jaghira, but compensation must be granted on account of the unaccessed waste taken up. If it had not been taken up, it is possible that it would have been brought under cultivation and yielded a revenue to the Jaghiredar, but as it is also equally possible, that it might have remained waste, the Board consider that half the assessment (Rupees 59-10-3) of the land, may be remitted from the peishenah, and compensation paid for past years at the same rate.

4. The Collector is requested to furnish a revised statement, with reference to the foregoing observations. The dates of the acquisitions are given in the statement as stated by the Jaghiredar; but the Collector does not state whether they are correct. If the exact dates cannot be ascertained, the compensation may be calculated from the year following that in which the road was commenced. Interest should be allowed at 6 per cent.

5. The correct totals of columns 9 and 18 in the Statement, sent by the Collector, ought, according to the details given, be respectively Rupees 539-7-8, and Rupees 732-2-11, and not Rupees 541-7-8, and Rupees 799-14-7, as given in the statement.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) J. GROSE,
Secretary.

To the Collector of North Arcot.

Edw. G. A. Tinsley.

Compensation for lands taken up for the
Arnee Jaghirdar of North Arcot, in
1864, and a revised statement of lands taken up
for the same purpose.

JUNE
No. 1,400

Received

21/6/74
21/6/74

Proceedings, 9th June 1874, No. 1,400

MADRAS.

Board of Revenue.

1874.

See 21/6/74

No. 1,790.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 13th July 1874.

Read again Board's Proceedings, dated 9th June 1874, No. 1,400.

Read also the following letter from W. S. WHITESIDE, Esq., Collector of North Arcot, to J. GROSE, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Chittoor, 20th April 1874, No. 211:—

In continuation of my letter, dated 5th December 1873, No. 761, I have the honor to submit a statement showing the name of road and the extent of land taken up for it from the Mukta Village of Chittoor in the Arnee Jaghire.

2. The Muktdar asserts that he put forward his claim at first in 1864, but there is nothing on record to prove his statement. It was not until the 7th October 1872 that he sent in to the then Acting Sub-Collector his petition, with a statement showing the amount claimed by him as compensation.

3. It will be seen that the total extent of land for which compensation is claimed is A. 2-35 wet, assessed at Rupees 21-4-8. From this must be deducted the extent relating to the items noted in the margin, and specified in columns 6, 7, 8, and 9 of the enclosed statement.

4. No. I. is a portion of the extent of the old bandy-track or pathway, and it is cultivated from Fash 1280 downwards by one Govinda Odayan, and the assessment thereof paid to the Muktdar. No. II. is unassessed waste taken up for the road by which no less has been taken up by the Muktdar; and that the extent for which compensation should be given is A. 2-17, assessed at Rupees 20-2-10.

5. The Muktdar applies for a refund of past assessment and a permanent reduction in his Jodi payable to the Jaghiredar equal to the annual assessment due on the lands taken up for the road.

6. The Mukta was originally held on lease for 90 pagodas per annum by one Dada Rao; but, when his son, Terunai Rao, was adopted by the family of the late Jaghiredar, it was granted to him and enjoyed at present by his son and grandsons at a reduced rent of 60 pagodas. The grant is supported by a sanad, dated 22nd April of Fash 1226.

7. It appears that this item is not included in the statement of compensation filed by the late Jaghiredar of Arnee in 1870. I have the honor, therefore, to recommend that the amount of compensation of Rupees 185-1-6 due to the Muktdar be paid to him as a permanent reduction of Rupees 20-2-10 in the Jodi payable by him to the Jaghiredar, as well as a corresponding reduction in the existing peishoush of the Jaghire, be sanctioned. The existing peishoush is Rupees 5,932-3-6, and the reduced peishoush will be Rupees 5,912-6-8.

Enclosure No. 1.—Statement.

Submitted for the orders of Government, with the Board's recommendation that a reduction of Rupees 20-12-2 be made in the peishoush of the Arnee Jaghiredar from Fash 1284, on the condition that he gives a corresponding reduction in the Jodi payable to him by the Muktdar, whose lands have been taken up for public purposes.

